



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>Asian Journal of Medicine and Health</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_33128
Title of the Manuscript:	SEROPREVALENCE OF HIV, HBV and HCV AMONG PRISONERS IN SOKOTO, NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • There are a lot of detail on study area. I did not understand correlation of these detail with this study. • What is relation of these references with your study : 7, 8, 11, 12 and 14 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • We tried to explain Sokoto where the study took place. Meanwhile we have reduced the details as observed • I think it was an omission but Tuberculosis has been written as appropriate as one of those infections that was reported globally to exist among prisoners
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	<p>This article usefully focuses on the spread of blood infection on part of society which usually get less attention comparison with rest of population</p> <p>Where ethical approve in this study?</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The ethical approval is attached in the manuscript but the challenge is that the prison official approval based on the application made but did not state ethical approval on the approval letter