



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_39244
Title of the Manuscript:	OUTCOME OF IATROGENIC GENITOURINARY FISTULA REPAIR IN A TERTIARY INSTITUTION
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The authors reported the prevalence, aetiology and outcome of iatrogenic genitourinary fistula repair at a single centre at Katsina. The structure and idea of the manuscript is clear and well-organized. The main finding and data supported the major conclusion of the work. 1. Author stated that SPSS22 was use for data analysis. However, no any statistics comparison and P value has been reported in the manuscript. 2. In the main body of the manuscript, line 172 showed that a total of 20 references have been referred. However, only 19 papers were listed in the section of References Plagiarism issue: Line 44- 49 https://www.gfmer.ch/fistula/pdf/Obstetric-fistula-2015.pdf	
Minor REVISION comments	Please polish the language and revise many spelling and grammar mistakes, some examples: Line 9: because of close anatomic = because of the close .. Line 10: This study aim = This study aims Line 19: with history of = with the history of Line 32: centrer's = centres	
Optional/General comments	All references should be in the same , standard format as the journal requested.	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Yong Du
Department, University & Country	Biomedical Engineering, University of Houston, USA