



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_39244
Title of the Manuscript:	OUTCOME OF IATROGENIC GENITOURINARY FISTULA REPAIR IN A TERTIARY INSTITUTION
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	I have read the mentioned journal article titled "outcome of iatrogenic genitourinary fistula in a tertiary institution" and the following are the compulsory comments: 1.0 The title was of interest and relevant for the delivering the information on specializing subject: But failed to reflects the whole content of obstetrics and gynaecology: My advised should change and reading into " Pattern and adverse outcome of iatrogenic genitourinary fistula among obstetrics and gynaecology surgery " 1.1 Author's should clearly report study based area likely" In tertiary institution Southern Zone of Sudan or Ethiopia" 1.2 Arrangement of the all result tables: The word frequency and percentage was not settled into computing auto fit system. Advised to arrange properly and removed the symbol(%) instead and write percentage 1.3 Study site was not clearly mentioned where is this National Obstetric Fistula Center Babber Raga,Katsina found in this World? Ethical issue: Ethical issues were observed, but there are no mention of what were happen of the remaining surgical repaired patients and where the ethical committee obtained to underwent the research	
Minor REVISION comments	The abstract, methodology, results, conclusion, introduction and discussion contains the essential information of the reported journal article information. However, the way are presented needs rearrangement, and make it short but very clear.However, may depend an optional from all of the Authors opinion but also they may leave as it is.	
Optional/General comments	The journal article is adequate and satisfactory in form and content and reflects an adequate understanding of the subject and writing style was adequate, the typographic errors seen can be corrected. But the article should be accepted for publication only after minor changes have been made.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Athanase G.Lilungulu
Department, University & Country	Department ofObstetrics and Gynaecology, Dodoma University, College of Health Sciences, Tanzania