



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_38798
Title of the Manuscript:	Orthopaedic Complications Of Sickle Cell Anaemia And Their Management Approaches In Makurdi, Nigeria
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	--	
Minor REVISION comments	Well executed work, but 1. "There were a total of 53 orthopaedic complications occurring in 31 patients with 17 of the patients presenting with more than one complication (Table2)". [line112]. Table 2 is not exactly showing that, in it AVN is 17 and so on. This confusion needs to be corrected. 2. ' Reference ' section is not as per Journal guidelines. This needs to be corrected, too.	
Optional/General comments	-	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Kallol Kumar Bhattacharyya
Department, University & Country	The Gerontology Institute, Georgia State University, USA