



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_41289
Title of the Manuscript:	Expression of TLRs and CD14 does not necessarily correlate with the type of pathogenic bacteria in the tonsils of tonsillectomy patients
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The aim of this study is to correlate the expression of TLR 2 and 4 and CD14 to the bacterial pathogens in chronic tonsillitis in children. The study was well designed. I suggest to identify better "chronic tonsillitis", as recurrent tonsillitis and chronic tonsillitis are commonly used as the same, "chronic tonsillitis being defined in different ways, sometimes being associated to recurrent tonsillitis+ hyperplasia of the tonsils+ adenomegalia+ systemic repercussions as failure to growth. Recurrent tonsillitis is defined as >5 episodes of acute tonsillitis in one year or >4 tonsillitis in 2 consecutive years or > 3 tonsillitis in 3 consecutive years. This definition was not stated, only > 3 infections per year (revise the very young children (4 years old...)).</p> <p>The study group was not described, neither number of children enrolled, age distribution, number of infections per year, etc, this information should be included. Discussion pointed out the most important facts of immunologic response, the importance. Also discussed well the fact that no streptococcus sp was found in cultures.</p> <p>Conclusion should answer the objective, thus the first part of the paragraph is not part of your conclusion</p>	<p>OK. Thank you for sharing your knowledge on the terminology of "recurrent tonsillitis" and "chronic tonsillitis". In certain appropriate sentences, the term recurrent tonsillitis is replaced by chronic tonsillitis.</p> <p>Implicitly the number of patients has been depicted on the data. But for the goodness, we have added (n = 34) after "The research subjects were children.." in the "2.1 Research subjects" section</p> <p>OK. The conclusion sentence is revised as follows: In the case of chronic tonsillitis the expression of TLRs and their co-receptors CD14 do not necessarily describe and correlated with the type of pathogenic bacteria on the surface of the tonsils.</p>
Minor REVISION comments	Some phrase are missing the verbs or are not complete, (like line 57,155-159, 219, 231, 233...), please have a look at the text.	Ok. All the missing verbs have been completed.
Optional/General comments	Interesting article with minor corrections	Thank you for such a positive comment.