



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_39992
Title of the Manuscript:	Disconnected Pancreatic Duct syndrome, Made Easy
Type of the Article	Mini review

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The manuscript cannot be approved in present form. I have attached sticky notes at places which author may include in the text. The text needs work on syntax and grammar. The references except one are old. Please cite new references.	- Most of the suggested adjustment were carried out, Neck as common site of obstruction - EUS in treatment was added, - New references were added
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Mini review provides concise and focused review of literature on a topic. Author need to include about disease rarity, seriousness, and prognosis along with features for prompt recognition ,classification, diagnosis and management of the topic discussed.The author need to reconcile old as well as new data that has recently been published, discuss it critically . Include role of diagnostic EUS.	- Added new references - EUS included. - Regarding about disease rarity, seriousness, and prognosis, nothing was clearly mentioned in the literature, I have added what I collected
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Does the author has own relevant research or work on the topic. Please include, if any.	No I don't have.

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>