



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_39764
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of the Knowledge of Occupational Hazards and Control Measures among Healthcare Workers of the General Hospital, Minna
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Results: Tables should be presented in standardize format (spacing and font). The Control measure of Occupational Hazards is not well discussed in the context, however, the title of the manuscript is to evaluate the Knowledge of Occupational Hazards and Control Measures among Healthcare Workers Based on the result, author shall provide one or two adaptive strategies of control measure of Occupational Hazard Discussion was weak and briefly discussed , Discussion: Should consist of critical observation or review as opposed to reporting study of previous researcher only. The Discussion section is lacking.. Conclusion - rewrite conclusion and recommendation to summaries the outcomes of this study.-Author(s) did not give the recommendation based on the result and discussion. Please check the grammar of the sentence (past tense and present tense used) References used should be within the latest 10 years reference & latest journal publication The reference was not correctly cited, reference format need to be standardized, please follow the standard citation for the journal	Noted. Standard table is used now. Thanks Reviewer, the title only assesses rather than evaluate. There is no tool used for evaluation in this study. Thanks Prof. The study is exploratory, and its findings were discussed in line with previous studies based on empirical review. The in-text reference style used is superscript. Conclusion was based on findings. Corrected References will be checked and corrected after evaluation. I promise.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>