



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_35798
Title of the Manuscript:	Epidemiological analysis of infective endocarditis at the Gold Coast area health service
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The study focuses on a relevant theme; however, the authors should improve the analysis. There is only descriptive data and it could be interesting to provide associations between clinical data, sociodemographic data and between clinical data itself, using inferential statistics.	Thank you for your input. I have attempted incorporating the socio-demographic data in the manuscript
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	It could be interesting to include means and standard deviations regarding the patient characteristics. Are there any other relevant characteristics, or sociodemographic data? The medical reports have this kind of information, and it would be interesting to analyse associations between these characteristics and the clinical data. It would also be relevant to perform those analyses in order to compare data in the study to literature.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The statistical analysis needs improvement.	