



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_35798
Title of the Manuscript:	Epidemiological analysis of infective endocarditis at the Gold Coast area health service
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Line 82: give the ratio of male to female Why can't you add figures in the paragraph of results and discussion. That helps us see what you are speaking about. Is it possible to add more references as you have only 6. You should add at least four more references.	Male to female ratio is now defined Figures have now been incorporated as a part of the results Furthermore references have been sought and added
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Line 46: was one of the few....	This grammatical error has been addressed
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		Thank you for your kind opinion and comments. Your constructive feedback has been quite useful