

Editor's comment:

See my edited pdf form attached. Notes are mentioned in side balloon boxes.

Editorial Comment:

- The topic is fine and might have some benefit for veterinarian and human health within the local community. However, the absence of scientific research experience and research writing skill is overwhelming the manuscript.
- The paper needs to be rewritten by the assistance and guidance of a scientific research and English language writing agency.
- The notes and critiques I have brought have to be followed.
- Many sections are deficient scientifically, knowledge completion-wise, and analytically.
- The article is full of English language grammatical and punctuation mistakes, all over.

Author's feedback:

- The article has been proof-read by an English proficient writer for the correction of grammar and punctuation mistakes.
- The notes and critiques suggested have been followed.
- Suggestions provided by previous reviewers have also been adopted in the article.
- **Abstract:** Some adjustments were done in the conclusion.
- **Introduction:** The introduction has been merged into different paragraphs and modified as suggested by the former reviewer. New citations were also introduced to boost the literature review content.
- **Materials and methods:** The citation for the methodology adopted has been provided. The different biochemical tests (catalase, coagulase, oxidase ...) used in identify the bacteria have been mentions and source cited and referenced. Grammar and punctuation mistakes have also been corrected.
- **Results:** The texts for Table 1 and figures 1 and 2 in the results have been provided.
- **Discussion:** Some corrections and extra citations relevant to the work have been added.
- **Conclusion and Recommendations:** The conclusion and recommendations have now been reformatted.
- **References:** The references have been formatted to journal format.