



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_33874
Title of the Manuscript:	PREDICTORS OF OSTEOPATHY AMONG ADULT PATIENTS WITH THALASSAEMIA MAJOR
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Arduous and well executed work, but, 1. Need thorough editing, as space between words and punctuation marks are not well maintained in many places in the article. 2. Repetition of some information, e.g. same information regarding age and sex and the percentages were described in "Subjects and methods (Patients)", in "Results" and in "Discussion". 3. Spelling of the main word "thalassemia" should have an uniformity (somewhere it is "thalassemia" and somewhere it is "thalassaemia"). Though both are correct, but you should use only one in the same article. 4. In the "Method" you've mentioned about "Full history taking", but blood transfusion frequency of the patients and history of their iron chelation (if any / how many of them on iron chelation schedule) were not mentioned, though both are significant in this case.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Kallol Kumar Bhattacharyya
Department, University & Country	Imambara Sadar Hospital, West Bengal, India