



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

|                          |                                                                                            |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Journal Name:            | <a href="#">Asian Journal of Medicine and Health</a>                                       |
| Manuscript Number:       | Ms_AJMAH_31634                                                                             |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Some haematological and hemostatic indexes in young semi-vegetarians in sub-Sahara Africa. |
| Type of the Article      |                                                                                            |

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

|                                            | <b>Reviewer's comment</b>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 | <b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           |                                                                                                                                                                                      |
| <b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments      | <p>The use of English, scientific language is the requirement e.g “there is not much talk” in the background section</p> <p>In the study population section, there is use of present tense instead of past tense which is used in reporting</p> <p>In the sample collection and methods section, this sentence “an ethical approval from the university authority” is hanging in the air there is need for completion</p> <p>In the same section there is also a mention of a bench centrifuge, it can be better if the model and number be indicated for the sake of specification and reproducibility of the study.</p> |                                                                                                                                                                                      |
| <b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments    | <p>In the discussion section dwell much on the results of the study at present so that the other similar studies should be just agreeing or disagreeing</p> <p>In addition to the above in the discussion section, it can be better if there can be an addition of other related studies either agreeing with the present study's results or disagreeing</p>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              |                                                                                                                                                                                      |

**Reviewer Details:**

|                                  |                                                                                          |
|----------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Name:                            | <b>Harris Jinazali</b>                                                                   |
| Department, University & Country | <b>Food Security &amp; Nutrition, University of Livingstonia, Malawi, Central Africa</b> |