



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_38572
Title of the Manuscript:	Knowledge and safety practices related to exposure to physical and chemical hazards among welders in Sokoto, Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The paper assessed the knowledge and safety practices related to exposure to physical and chemical hazards among welders in Sokoto, Nigeria. It is well written. However, the conclusion section does not capture the nifty gritty required in that section. The conclusion is superficial and needs to include among others, the outcome of the paper and most especially the significance of the study, the implications and recommendations.	The consensus of opinion among authors is that the major outcomes of the study, their significance and implications have been extensively presented in the discussion. Although, the conclusion was brief, the findings regarding the objectives of the study and the recommendations were also presented as succinctly as possible. Done (highlighted in red color in the manuscript)
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	The entire paper still requires some editorial work.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		