



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_38431
Title of the Manuscript:	WORK- RELATED MUSCULOSKELETAL DISORDERS AMONG RADIOLOGISTS IN NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	The article is well written and presents clear justification, well defined objective. Could you be with the method described above (what questions were asked of the interviewees?) In the results: they do not mention table 4, table 5 and 6 in the text Discussion: the part that begins: "The radiologist needs to be well informed ..." should not be in the conclusion but in the final considerations / discussion. In the conclusion must be attentive to respond to the purpose of the study!	Questions asked in the questionnaire had been described in the method Tables 4,5, and 6 had been mentioned in the result. The part has been removed and designated recommendation.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		