



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_37873
Title of the Manuscript:	Analysis of Factors Influencing Regulatory Compliance to Contain Manmade Ionizing Radiation from Medical Diagnostic Imaging Equipments in Corporate Hospitals, Tamil Nadu, India
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The information in the result section was presented in both table and graph, no need for duplication. Results is preferred to be presented in tables or graphs	The data tables provide the responses in terms of number of respondents while the graphical representation is the cumulative percentage. In order to make this clearer, the word 'Number of responses' has been added in all the appropriate tables.
Minor REVISION comments	• Where is the references: - line 16 to 41 - line 52 to 60 • Line 131 repeated in line: 132 • Research Hypothesis: line 133 to 155: No need to explain hypothesis • The same information in data collection instruments line: 187 to 191 was repeated in section 2.2.2	References for Lines 16 to 41 and lines 52 to 60 have been appended in the manuscript. Lines 131 and 132 have been reviewed and duplication removed. The detailed explanation prior to citing the hypothesis have been removed. The repetition in section 2.2.2 has been removed.
Optional/General comments	• No need to explain the basic information as: - Results: Arithmetic mean was used to measure the central tendency - Line 206 to 210: The reliability refers to the extent to which an experiment, test or any measuring procedure yields the same results on repeated trials. - Line317-319: The TLD measures ionizing radiation exposure by measuring the intensity of visible light 318 emitted from a crystal in the detector when it is heated. • Abbreviation: you must said first the complete word, then use the abbreviation - line: 93, 94, 99, 114,.. • Capital letter: why used? Ex: - line 99-100: Hospitals and Diagnostic Laboratories - line 120: Regulatory requirements - line 238: the corresponding Mean	Arithmetic mean definition was removed in results section. - Lines 206 to 210 : The reliability definition has been removed. - Lines 317 to 319 were removed. Abbreviation: 93,94,99,114 have been corrected with the complete word first followed by abbreviation. Capital Letters: Line 99 – 100 corrected Line 120 – regulatory requirements corrected Line 238 – the corresponding mean – corrected.