



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_35022
Title of the Manuscript:	Reducing Maternal Mortality: Awareness Of Danger Signs In Pregnancy
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Please remove questions? In the discussion section and discuss in good sentences without letting the reader answer e.g. " How do we save women..... causes of maternal mortality?" Discuss as 'It would be difficult to save women of type 1 delay.....'. There are several sentences like this.	Thank you. The manuscript has been revised and the questions have been rephrased into comments and discussed to show that education and awareness are pivotal in terms of maternal decision-making, and potentially influencing outcomes. We have also edited the manuscript such that rather than asking the reader multiple rhetorical questions, the points have been elucidated as opportunities for future research and the need to facilitate standardized education.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Findings section, line 17 write 12 properly	Thank you. Pg 5. /2 corrected to 12.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Find a better word for 'carnage'	Carnage has been replaced with