



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_33874
Title of the Manuscript:	PREDICTORS OF OSTEOPATHY AMONG ADULT PATIENTS WITH THALASSAEMIA MAJOR
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	• Too much detail in results section of abstract. Cut short and concise results. All details can be adjusted under main results heading. • Mention the rational of study • Define the term of osteopenia and osteoporosis in method section • Correct spelling mistakes in tables • In results what author means by DEXA score? Is it T score or Z score ?? • Author did not indicate the values of BMD, BMC,T and Z score??	• Details were removed • Mentioned in the abstract (Aim of the study) • Both terms were defined • Corrected • T- score is what we mean • BMD is bone mineral density, BMC is not mentioned in our manuscript, T-score bone density compared with what is normally expected in a healthy young adult of same sex. Z-score is the number of standard deviations above or below what is normally expected for someone of same age, sex, weight, and ethnic or racial origin.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		