



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_33379
Title of the Manuscript:	Detection of Antimicrobial Activity of Pyocyanin Produced by Pseudomonas aeruginosa Against Urinary Tract Pathogens
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The antibacterial effect of Pyocyanin against bacteria has been established as a result of other researchers before being studied as described in Introduction. Authors should show the reason why they need perform this study.	It's a beginning to establish more research for different isolated and relation of its pathogenicity and its other metabolites and suitable host.....etc. This study was applied in different country by different isolates.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	1. How many times the authors did to reproducibility of this experiment? 2. Why are not the authors performing statistical processing? 3. How many bacterial counts did the authors consider? 4. The figure of the paper is dirty. 5. The contents of the table are duplicated. 6. Reference formats are not unified.	• Three times • Bacterial count (10^6) • I have no other pictures. sorry • Duplication corrected • References were corrected.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		