



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_32369
Title of the Manuscript:	Knowledge and Awareness of Anthrax among the Community People at High, Medium and Low Risk Areas of Bangladesh
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Suggested Topic: Risk Factors Assessment of Zoonotic Anthrax Among the People at Risk (PAR), in Areas of Bangladesh. Abstract: "area=areas" first sentence. "insure=ensured" Introduction: Lines 22, (repetition of "animal contact"), recast the sentence..."outbreaks & enzootically", 23& 32 etc. Methodology: 2.1 "Study Area" is okay...delete other details in the heading. Magpi -versions, should be stated. Results: What is the actual number of participant farmers in this study, is it 622 or 623? Some results were reported in figures while others in words (e.g sity seven percent), please it should be uniform and in accordance with the scientific way of reporting in figures and %. The tables should have a heading on top (Table 1), and should be a scientific table with no much borders in between. Table 2, recast the heading (e.g Knowledge & awareness of zoonotic anthrax among PAR, etc including column captions). The $\chi^2= 82.221$, $df = 1$, $p= 0.000$, showed statistical significant relationship between the variables in question and awareness of the zoonotic anthrax but the author(s) remained silent in both his results and discussion sections, please put it in to consideration with your chi-square values in bracket (e.g $\chi^2= 82.221$, $df = 1$, $p= 0.000$). The χ^2 written as a chi square symbol is also NOT correct, put the right symbol please. Discussion:	Title has been changed with some modifications. Area and insure was corrected, deleted "Contact with sick animals" in 32 line for avoid repetition, outbreaks and enzootically was corrected in line 22. 2.1 Study Area: "As well as also there had little outbreak occurred during these year in Pabna, we consider this area as medium risk. On the other hand, In our low risk area, Mymensingh, there had no report of Anthrax outbreak found." Was deleted from line 68. Magpi version was highlighted in line 70. Results: The actual number of participants are 622, I'm sorry for that unexpected wrong. I'm also correction some minor calculation as well. I'm trying to give result in uniform and try to give in scientific way. I have fixed heading of table and border line was removed. Significant between variable and question was headed in edited paper. Chi square symbol was corrected. I have tried to give more information and comparison in discussion section.



SDI Review Form 1.6

	The discussion is section is scanty, please put more explanation with regard to other risk factors that appeared under your results. Compare your findings with others on similar or related topic from different parts of the world, where necessary indicate where there is agreement and disagreement with reasons. Conclusion: Analyze=analyzed, insure=ensured, etc, should be recast. References: Please ensure all the references cited in the text are reflected in the lists as well. Follow strictly, the guidelines for referencing of this journal as stated in the authors' guide.	I know this should be more elaborate but work on it have not sufficient reference that I can give in discussion section. All correction are okay
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Spellings, some grammatical errors, minor technicalities of scientific writeups or reporting style, these and some other minors should be corrected, please.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The paper is worth publishing, as it has a good contribution to the knowledge in Public Health and Zoonoses. However, there are some areas of minor corrections and observations that need to be corrected, especially the grammar and spellings throughout the write-up.	