



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_31284
Title of the Manuscript:	Surgical wound infections in Obstetrics and Gynecology ward in Specialist Hospital Sokoto – North West Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1. Why was nutrient agar utilized for your research? Nutrient agar can only support growth of non-fastidious organism. Why not used blood agar plate instead? 2. Micrococcus and Staphylococcus may have the same morphological and gram staining results. How did you identify staphylococcus from micrococcus using only gram stain and catalase? 3. Please also include in your inclusion and exclusion criteria if the patient had took prophylaxis prior to surgery so it may explain the 13 positive isolates out of 105 surgeries. Also, were the patients treated the same? Were their wounds periodically dressed? 4. The introduction is very lengthy. 5. On line 165 to 169 you describe that table 2 shows the distribution of SSI, yet your table 2 indicates no SSI. Please have this cleared. 6. What is the reason that after wound closure a bacteriological sample is taken and after SSI is noted another sample is taken?	Noted and correction have been made Microscopy =Staph occurred in chains and micrococcus occur in tetrads. With the latter appearing larger than the former. Noted and correction have been made Noted and correction have been made This was done to compare the isolates before and after suture.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	1. Please arrange your spacing and indentions in the whole paper. 2. There are words that the first letter were capitalized but does not need to. 3. Line 73 and 74 must be included in your inclusion and exclusion criteria. 4. Suggesting that media preparation (line 108 to 113) be deleted. 5. Lines 127 – 130 must not be in bulleted form. Have them in a paragraph form instead. 6. Please italicize words that need to be italicized. 7. Please unify your references.	Noted Noted Noted and correction have been made Noted and correction have been made Noted and correction have been made Noted and correction have been made Noted and correction have been made Noted and correction have been made
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		