

Editor's Comment:

I am sending highlighted revised manuscript. On this manuscript I highlighted with yellow issues for remove, with blue - for accepting and with red - something unclear.

My notes are as follows:

- 1) The manuscript is very interesting suggesting a rare case.
- 2) There is need of very concise abstract. Maybe the authors misunderstood reviewer Ebt, which had written: "**the abstract is redundant. Detail of histologic variations should be mentioned only in the introduction. Light should be focused only on fibrolipomas.**"

I consider this suggestion that abstract is too long and histologic variations have not place in abstract. My opinion is that 3-4 general sentences about fibrolipoma followed by "Here we present a case of..." would be appropriate.

- 3) There is strong need about correct numbering of reference list - the references should be cited in the order of appearance in the text!!!
- 4) There are typing errors with need of more precise typing.

If the authors consider these suggestions (easy for consideration and realization), the manuscript will achieve better quality. In these conditions, my decision is "Accept after suggested revisions".

Editor's Details:

Dr. Galya Ivanova Gancheva
Associate Professor,
Department of Infectious Diseases, Epidemiology, Parasitology and Tropical Medicine,
Medical University-Pleven, Bulgaria