



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_28816
Title of the Manuscript:	DETERMINANTS OF ADVERSE TRANSFUSION REACTIONS AT DELTA STATE UNIVERSITY TEACHING HOSPITAL, OGHARA, DELTA STATE, NIGERIA: ANALYSIS OF 46 CASES
New Title:	DETERMINANTS OF ADVERSE TRANSFUSION REACTIONS AT DELTA STATE UNIVERSITY TEACHING HOSPITAL, OGHARA, DELTA STATE, NIGERIA
Type of Article:	Original Research Paper

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
Line 102: Should be part of strength of the study while discussing on limitation then this should be the strength of your study. Line 104- Should in past tense Line 104-110: It would be appropriate to read as "The aim of this work was to analyze the profile of blood transfusion reactions in Delta State University Teaching Hospital (Delsuth) with a view to detecting the factors associated with the occurrence of such reactions and by so doing we can proffer preventive measures." Remove the rest of the writings. Line 168- Omit the word "respectively" Line 231-233; recommendations should base on the determined factors rather than anemia e.g on age what should be done, history of previous transfusion, etc. -Other tables will be more appropriate to appear as table 1 Line 269- Categorize into three groups: Hyperthermia, Normal range and Hypothermia.... will be more informative Ethical clearance: - Every study should have Ethical approval from authorized board (Institutional Ethical committee, etc.), How did you get access to use the data from the institution (Delta State University Teaching Hospital)? The reason is if you didn't seek ethical approval conduct such study, how sure are we that in the process of data collection you were able to protect the confidentiality of the patient's files information's? And if the permission was not sought to have access to the patient's files (information) you may be hold accountable for breach of privacy of the institution.	Strength of study incorporated in the limitation Rephrased to past tense The objective completely modified and re-phrased accordingly "Respectively" deleted Recommendation modified based on factor like previous transfusion(s) rather than anaemia Other tables namely, tables 2-6 have been modified to appear as table 1 Categorized into the three groups A letter of Ethical approval has been obtained and attached.