



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Language, Literature and Culture Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJL2C_45166
Title of the Manuscript:	Experimenting Reader's Theatre to Improve Omani Pupils' Reading Motivation
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Contemporary references preferably from 2015 to 2018 must be sourced. The research gap must be identified and the rationale should be clearly outlined.	Contemporary references from 2015 to 2018 were added. These include: Haughey (2015) Marshall (2017) Richardson (2016) Young & Nageldinger (2017) Young, Stokes, & Rasinski (2017) The research gap and the rationale were added (line 37).
Minor REVISION comments	The limitations of the research should be indicated.	The limitations of the research have been included (line 389).
Optional/General comments	The researcher should state how the data was saturated to ensure triangulation and contribute to the reliability and validity of the study.	Issues pertaining to saturation were added (line 185, 301) The impracticality to triangulate and how other similar studies were faced with the same issue was mentioned (line 340). The term trustworthiness, as proposed by Lincoln and Guba (1985), was preferred over reliability and validity for the qualitative data.

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	