



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Language, Literature and Culture Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJL2C_42593
Title of the Manuscript:	Mark Twain's The Adventures of Huckleberry Finn: A Study on Racism and Slavery
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Congratulations on the Introduction. It is very well written, however, it would be very enriching describe the historical context of racism in the United States, drawing a parallel to the current context. Exploring more in the about symbolic, modern or aversive racism. For being a classic, given its date of publication and the writer's source of inspiration.</p> <p>Improvement suggestion:</p> <p>Abstract: Remove lines: 10 - 16 Describe study Methodology of the authors and Result.</p> <p>Introduction The introduction is very well written Add the references. Explore more in the about symbolic, modern or aversive racism (concepts). Line: 43 - 52</p> <p>Methodology Describe the search method of the authors of the article, after line 64. Example: The present research has as proposal the analysis of methodological content used by (writer)..... in his (book) Then describe what is being analysed, with your words. Line: 65 – 77, it is more suitable for discussion and results.</p> <p>Line: 152 "if one can call it one"</p>	



SDI Review Form 1.6

	<p>Avoid value judgments.</p> <p>Line: 154,155 This assumption should be avoided because there are several reasons so that the subject's mother is not mentioned.</p> <p>Conclusion Lines: 172 – 174 As? Finalize completion describing more about the focus of this work and the perception about the results obtained.</p> <p>The other items are in accordance with the proposed work</p>	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Iracema do Vale Pinheiro</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Brasil</i>