## SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



## **SDI Review Form 1.6**

| Journal Name:            | Asian Journal of Language, Literature and Culture Studies                      |
|--------------------------|--|
| Manuscript Number:       | Ms_AJL2C_42593   |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Mark Twain's The Adventures of Huckleberry Finn: A Study on Racism and Slavery |
| Type of the Article      |  |

## **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

## **PART 1:** Review Comments

|                                     | Reviewer's comment  | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|-------------------------------------|---|---|
| <u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments | The conclusion makes no sense – not even mentioning racism and slavery. I think the writer needs to review the paper sentence by sentence with a native English speaker/writer. The paper as written does not rise to an acceptable standard of English.  |   |
|                                     | Line 6: How can Twain be a satire? His work could be satirical, but he can't be a walking breathing satire.  Line 7: "study aims to attempt the racism and slavery of" How can you attempt the racism?  The bibliography is replete with sloppy mistakes. For example:  Line 186: * instead of '  Line 188: Nark instead of Mark; why is there a . in front of America?  Line 192: Hack instead of Huck |   |
|                                     | And many more mistakes. A native speaker would change these.  |   |
| Minor REVISION comments             |   |   |
| Optional/General comments           |   |   |

# PART 2:

|  | Reviewer's comment  | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | (If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details) |   |

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

# SCIENCEDOMAIN international www.sciencedomain.org



# SDI Review Form 1.6

# **Reviewer Details:**

| Name:                            | Alan Garfield              |
|----------------------------------|----------------------------|
| Department, University & Country | University of Dubuque, USA |

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)