



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Language, Literature and Culture Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJL2C_43404
Title of the Manuscript:	Social norms reflected in Japanese kenashi (blaming): Based on the results of questionnaire survey to Japanese undergraduate students
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	The paper is very readable, but in some places the English should be improved. Examples are: (line 35) The phrase "Based on this consideration" is unnecessary. (lines 97-100) "Insult, though... .. pointed out." Is not a complete sentence. (lines 343, 345) It is not conventional to begin a sentence with a numeral. The words "twenty" and "two" should be substituted. The author writes in the first person voice "I" occasionally. It is conventional to use the third person. If possible, a person who is very proficient in technical English should proofread the paper.	I agree. (line 34) I deleted the phrase "Based on this consideration" . (line 96-99) I completed the sentence. (line 346, 358) I replaced numerals to word at the beginning of sentences. I replaced "I" to "the author" or made the sentences passive. I asked my colleague, who is English-native scholar, to proofread again.
Optional/General comments	These problems are very minor. I find no fault with the conception, method, statistical analysis, or interpretations advanced in the paper.	