



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Language, Literature and Culture Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJL2C_43404
Title of the Manuscript:	Social norms reflected in Japanese kenashi (blaming): Based on the results of questionnaire survey to Japanese undergraduate students
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Title: It requires modification; suggestion is: Social norms reflected in Japanese kenashi (blaming). The rest words do not need to appear on the title. Abstract The effective/functional sample of this research is: 190: 103 males and 87 females, not 202; please correct. Delete first statement under Place and Duration of Study. Under Aims and Study Design, the statement after the first statement which is for design, is not apt. It may be put as: This study adopted or employed survey design where undergraduate students Under Methodology, please make brief statements on population, sample, and instrument. This is the appropriate section for them so, you may remove the sample presentation above and insert it here after correcting it as hinted above. The reference to self "I" is not conventional in such a research report. Key-words: A number of the words such as SPSS may not qualify as key-words. Identified more acceptable words from the presentation include: Linguistic behavior, Socio-Linguistics, Pragmatics, Compliments, Positive/Negative evaluation, Harmonious interpersonal relationships, Inter-Cultural communication, Social diversity, Norms. Most of these nine terms may form the key-words.	I agree. I deleted the subtitle. I corrected. I corrected. I corrected. I replaced "I" to "the author" or made the sentences passive. I corrected.
Minor REVISION comments	Line 28: ... based only on what they did; Line 41: ... Japan. Furthermore, ... is explained (please avoid "I"). 62: ... somebody, (delete has) overlaps in ... 63: ... harming other people's bonors ... 121: ... follow the norms. 124: Problematic situations ... 133: ... and so forth. 151: Japanese ... have also pointed out ... 238: ... as early as 1970s. (Remove apostrophe) 323: The participants ... consist of 190 undergraduate students: 103 males and 87 females. Line 432-433: (Please clarify the statement or correct any error in it) 559: Finally, F3 whose name is ... is discussed. (Please avoid "I"). 561: ... questionnaire. Notwithstanding, F3 is most ... 605: ... age, gender, social status, and so on.	Line 27: I clarified the statement. Line 37-41 and elsewhere: I avoided the use of "I" 61: I corrected. 62: I corrected 120: I corrected 123-134: I corrected the quotation manner. 133: "..., and so forth" is the original description. 152: I corrected 240: I corrected. 326: I corrected the manner, but the number of participants was 202. (190 is after deletion as shown in section 2.3.) Line 435-437: I clarified the statment. 561 and elsewhere: I avoided the use of "I" 561-564: I corrected order of the sentences. 607: I corrected.
Optional/General comments	The research report is generally clear, comprehensive, and in good use of English Language.	