



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Language, Literature and Culture Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJL2C_40925
Title of the Manuscript:	The Impact of Religion and Spirituality on Literature: A Systematic Review
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	- The keywords should be explained briefly in the introduction. - If the work is an opinion paper, line 45, 82 and 149 should be removed. - However, if the work is an empirical paper, the author should include the research design, population, sample and sampling technique, instrument for data collection, validity and reliability of the instrument and method of data analysis in both the abstract and materials and methods section of the manuscript.	I have applied Phenomenological Studies as my method which is an examination of my experiences through descriptions that are provided by being involved in different religions through living in 3 different continents (Middle East, East Asia (India), Europe). I have named my experiences as lived experiences. My main aim in applying phenomenological studies is to give a description of the meaning that my experiences hold for each subject under study. I believe this type of research method is applied in the study of areas in which there is little knowledge available like the Effect of religion on Persian Literature. In the presented phenomenological research, I have given a description of my experiences and studies as I have perceived them.
Minor REVISION comments	- The result should be presented based on the interpretation of the analysis made, if possible in tabular form. - There should be recommendations after the conclusion section. - The referencing section should be revisited and should be made to conform to Science Domain referencing style.	- In terms of adding contrary arguments, I would have to say, since the topic is rich and vast, unfortunately adding more arguments is going to make the discussion lengthy and most probably a bit boring. I have saved the contrary argument for the following articles that are in progress. - Unfortunately, due to not having an easy access to some references in person is not possible.
Optional/General comments	- Remove line 233 - All the works cited in this manuscript should be included in the reference.	