



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Language, Literature and Culture Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJL2C_40925
Title of the Manuscript:	The Impact of Religion and Spirituality on Literature: A Systematic Review
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The paper requires a literary review on the subject matter. This concept is not an isolated idea. There were many others who have already raised this point. So it would be necessary to include a short literary review either at the introduction section or in Lines: 46-447 Poetry and plays are directly based on religious ceremonies; accordingly, the role of literature is transferring thoughts and religious practices. (I would add a reference or a few to support this statement with relevant literature/research/theory) where it really desperately need to be supported by literary review. It does not have to be long 2-5 papers or books would be enough. The topic may be sensitive due to the religious connotations, however I do not sense that it is written in a controversial manner that would cause offense. Please add relevant literature	I agree with the respected Reviewer's comment. Accordingly, I added 5 more citations, quotations and sources and tried to support my assumptions and the presented concept with diverse literary reviews.
Minor REVISION comments	The overall references section is very limited and based only on online sources, references must be expanded. It will bring the standard of this manuscript to scientific level.	Concerning the given online bibliography, the need for some other sources is tangible ; however, it was unfortunately ignored since the whole article has been written based on the real understanding, experiences, reading online articles by authors. I tried to add more sources and expand the manuscript in order to elevate the standard of the article to a better scientific level.
Optional/General comments	The piece is an interesting and poignant read, however in it's current format, not being embedded in relevant literature on the subject matter it lacks in scientific quality, it reads more like an essay. Please add supporting or contrary arguments to yours from relevant sources - preferably not websites but scholarly articles.	In terms of adding contrary arguments, I would have to say, since the topic is rich and vast, unfortunately adding more arguments is going to make the discussion lengthy and most probably a bit boring. I have saved the contrary argument for the following articles that are in progress.