



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Immunology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJI_44878
Title of the Manuscript:	UTILIZATION OF IMMUNIZATION SERVICES BY MOTHERS OF UNDER-FIVE IN ELELE, RIVERS STATE, NIGERIA.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p><b>Title:</b></p> <p>1) Should indicate the study design.</p> <p>2) The title did not suit with the objectives of the study. Make Corrections accordingly.</p> <p><b>Materials and Methods:</b></p> <p>1 The organization of information should be corrected. The study participant selection criteria should be stated before the sampling technique.</p> <p>2) The author should explain how a sample size of 400 was calculated clearly with help of a formula.</p> <p>3) The author should define the outcome variables Knowledge, Attitude and Awareness explicitly. For example what is considered good knowledge and basis for that calculation?</p> <p>4) The author has to specify the validity procedure used for the questionnaire and statistics used like Cronbach's alpha.</p> <p>5) The author was advised to address any potential sources of bias in the study.</p> <p>6) The author was advised to mention the ethical committee approval reference number.</p> <p><b>Discussion:</b></p> <p>1) The author was advised to state the limitations of the study.</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	Abstract: Introduction may be curtailed to suit the author guidelines of the journal. The abstract should be limited to 300 words.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<p>The scientific background and rationale for the investigation being reported</p> <p>The objectives of the study was explicitly linked to the rationale of the study</p> <p>The author explicitly related previous studies with his/her findings</p> <p>The references are recent and relevant</p>	





SDI Review Form 1.6

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	
	The author was advised to mention ethical committee approval reference number	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b><i>Vinodkumar Mugada</i></b>
Department, University & Country	<b><i>Vignan Institute of Pharmaceutical Technology, India</i></b>