



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Immunology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJI_44952
Title of the Manuscript:	Missed Immunisation and Immunisation Drop-outs among Infants in Rural Health Facilities in Abakaliki, Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original research paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	As a general comment, the manuscript is well written with the next minor English errors that I identified. Line 51 financial accessibility of services, knowledge of what (which) services exist, education about how to... Line 58 extracted from the existing immunisation registers in the two health facilities studied. A (article missed) Total number of...	Correction done. Pls see line 50 Correction done. See line 62

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> In materials and methods, the authors stated: "Ethical approval for this study was obtained from the Research and Ethics Committee (REC) of the Federal Teaching Hospital Abakaliki (FETHA), Ebonyi State, Nigeria"	See lines 67 to 69