



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Geographical Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJGR_40098</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>DIMENSIONLESS GEOMORPHOMETRY AND DISCHARGE IN THE IKPA RIVER BASIN, NIGERIA</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original research paper</b>

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	<p>These are highlighted with comments in the body of the article. The formula given for cross-sectional area in line number 188 need to be corrected. XY mentioned in line number 191 is not shown in the formula above. Since further calculations and analysis is based on this formula a recasting of the analysis and interpretation are required.</p> <p>All the measured / calculated data should be given in tabular form.</p>	<p>The issue relating to the scale of topographical map was mention in line 163. Point X is the beginning of the cross section. Point Y is the end of the cross section. There is no justification for recast, recalculation, or reinterpretation in the work based on the already used formula. Several articles have applied it success. The data are summarized on Tables as presented in the work.</p>
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments	<p>There are certain conclusions which are not related to the study and should be deleted from the "Conclusion" section. It is highlighted in the body of the text with comment.</p>	<p>One of the essentials of discharge and morphology assessment is to generate policy formulation for water and /or land resource planning and development. I think there is no harm in making such recommendation for policy framework and allied issues that may attract future researchers to explore</p>
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments	<p>There is scope for improvement of the article which are necessary as indicated in the body of the article.</p>	<p>I sincerely appreciate your efforts. The review is in depth and reflects high level of competencies of the researcher in this research area. Hence, most of the issues you raise are right and have passionately been corrected.</p>