Editor's Comment:

I have read the revised manuscript. The author(s) deleted some edits instead of addressing them as per the comments. In addition, the author(s) added new information instead of just inserting citation as previously suggested.

As an Academic Editor, I have already put so much time and effort on this paper--beyond the call of duty—in an effort to help the author(s) meet the high standards of this journal. Therefore, the author's need be diligent on revising.

The author(s) need to address the edits accordingly—as explained in previous version--without adding any new information, or deleting that which is meant to correct error.

Moreover, what is newly inserted is not properly cited. Adding new information/sentences is making a new version of the manuscript and that means it will need to go back to the reviewers. "Citation needed" is a call for the author(s) to insert <u>names of the authors from the paper/document from where that information was derived</u>. For example, "(<u>Tom and John, 2018</u>)" is a citation ... only insert as such, and then provide the full reference for the same at the end of the document. That's it. The citation is needed to only help remove the presently inadvertent plagiarism.

I have highlighted the same comments with further explanation in the text: I hope the author(s) will address the same.

Attachment: manuscript with comments and edits.

Note to authors: In your next revision, please highlight the <u>newly</u> revised text within the manuscript itself, with a new/different color, for example with <u>green</u> highlight.

Final decision: Accept after revision

Editor's Details:

Dr. Margaret Mwangi Lead Scientist, Environment & Society, SESD, Pennsylvania, USA