

**FLOATING AND STABILITY EFFECT ON FISH FEED PELLETS  
USING DIFFERENT CONCENTRATION OF BAOBAB  
(*Adansonia digitata*), LEAVE MEAL.**

**ABSTRACT**

This study is about floating and stability of fish feed pellets using different concentration of Baobab (*Adansonia digitata*) leaf meal (BLM), in local region. Five isonitrogenous fish feed (35% CP) with five different concentrations: 0, 4, 8, 12 and 16% (D<sub>1</sub>, D<sub>2</sub>, D<sub>3</sub>, D<sub>4</sub> and D<sub>5</sub> respectively) of BLM. Ten (10) pellets of each experimental concentration were placed into plastic beaker (55 x 25 x and 30 cm) for 50 minutes. Fish pellets floating, and stability were recorded every five minutes. Results shown that fish feed pellets floating increased significantly ( $P<0.05$ ) with increase in BLM concentration. Highest floatage time ( $41.66 \pm 2.88$  minutes) was recorded in D<sub>5</sub>, unlike control fish feed pellets (D<sub>1</sub>) which sank down immediately. Same results were shown with fish feed pellets stability which increases significantly ( $P<0.05$ ) with BLM concentration. Highest stability time ( $42.66 \pm 1.17$  minutes) was recorded for D<sub>5</sub>, lowest values was found with D<sub>1</sub> (Control) with  $18.54 \pm 2.10$  minutes. Study findings let us conclude that 16% BLM concentration has the potential to increase fish feed pellets floating and stability. BLM is relatively cheap, toxic free, easy to process and available specifically in Northern part of Nigeria, unlike importing extruded floating feed from Western nations.

**Keyword:** Water Stability; Floatability; Baobab Leaf Meal (BLM); Fish Feed Pellets; *Adansonia digitata*

**1. INTRODUCTION**

Fish farming is increasingly becoming very lucrative in Nigeria because Nigeria, is one of the largest fish consumers in the world. For optimal health, fast growth and sustainable production of farmed fish, a balanced feed with a good physical characteristic such as pellet stability and floatability which is also rich in nutrients required by fish has to be fed. Fish nutrition is therefore critical to sustainable aquaculture production as it represents about 60 – 80 % of the total production cost [1]. According to Lim and Cuzon, [2], aquafeed can either be pelleted or extruded with particles of high durability to withstand handling, transportation stress and be of good water stability to minimize disintegration and loss of nutrients upon exposure to water. Floating feed is very suitable for pelagic or surface feeders because fish quickly get access to the feed and do not expend much energy in swimming to the bottom to source for food [3]. Impaired growth has been documented on feeding fish with non-floating and unstable feeds due to disintegration and sinking of feed into mud or pond bottom

restricting utilization by the target fish [4]. Such disintegration may lead to bacterial build up which is capable of causing diseases to the fish. Use of stable and floating feed will help in complete utilization by the fish and minimum wastage which will help in a more profitable and sustainable aquaculture production [5] [6]. Moreover, floating fish feed will enable the farmer to observe how much and how actively their fish are responding to the feed [7]. Baobab is deciduous tree which has a lifespan of hundreds to thousands of years [8]. Baobab spends only 4 months of the year in leaf with the fresh young leaves containing nutrients such as protein (4 %), vitamin A and C [9]. The fruit pulp has a very high content of vitamin C which is almost ten (10) times that of oranges [11]. Baobab leaf is also an excellent source of iron, calcium, potassium, Manganese, Molybdenum, magnesium, zinc and phosphorus. Energy value varies from 1180-1900kJ/100g of which 80% is metabolized energy. The leaves are rich in pro-vitamins A and C. In terms of protein content and WHO standards, leaves of baobab can be rated 'good' in that they score well for 5 of the 8 essential amino acids [10]. In Nigeria, Baobab is specifically available in the Northern part of the country. Baobab is generally comprised of eight (8) species with large, spectacular and nocturnal flowers [12]. Baobab leaf was used in this study because of the above properties of the leaf and its stickiness when mixed with a little water. *Adansonia digitata* is a Baobab species that is indigenous to drier parts of Africa. *Adansonia gibbosa* is another Baobab species that is restricted to the North-Western Australia and the remaining six (6) species are endemic to Madagascar [13]. *A. digitata* which grows in the arid and semi-arid region of Africa is commonly known as monkey bread which is derived from the fact that monkeys eat Baobab fruit. One of the major challenges fish farmers are facing is the sinking and poor stability of locally formulated feed which results in leaching of nutrients into the water, disintegration of feed, water pollution and growth of harmful bacteria which may cause diseases. This may result in poor growth performance of fish and reduced profitability [14]. Therefore, the objective of the study is to evaluate the effect of Baobab leaf meal (*A. digitata*) on the floatability and stability of fish feed pellets formulated with locally available raw materials.

## 2. METHODOLOGY

The study was carried out in the fish nutrition laboratory of the Department of Fisheries, University of Maiduguri, Borno State, Nigeria. It is located 11.85 latitude and 13.16 longitude and it is situated at an elevation of 325 meters above sea level. It occupies a total landmass of 50,778sq km (Ministry of land and survey Maiduguri, 2008).

Fresh Baobab leaves (*A. digitata*) were collected from the Botanical garden of the University of Maiduguri, and identified by a Botanist from the University of Maiduguri. The leaves were soaked in water for 24 hours in order to eliminate anti-nutritional factors. Thereafter, the leaves were sundried before being ground into powder using the hammer mill and kept in an airtight container until required.

72

**Table 1: Percentage composition of experimental diets**

| Ingredients  | Experimental diets |                |                |                |                |
|--------------|--------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
|              | D <sub>1</sub>     | D <sub>2</sub> | D <sub>3</sub> | D <sub>4</sub> | D <sub>5</sub> |
| Wheat Bran   | 55.36              | 55.36          | 55.36          | 55.36          | 55.36          |
| Fish Meal    | 21.67              | 21.67          | 21.67          | 21.67          | 21.67          |
| Soya Bean    | 21.67              | 21.67          | 21.67          | 21.67          | 21.67          |
| Premix       | 0.30               | 0.30           | 0.30           | 0.30           | 0.30           |
| Vitamin C    | 0.05               | 0.05           | 0.05           | 0.05           | 0.05           |
| Salt         | 0.30               | 0.30           | 0.30           | 0.30           | 0.30           |
| Methionine   | 0.35               | 0.35           | 0.35           | 0.35           | 0.35           |
| Lysine       | 0.30               | 0.30           | 0.30           | 0.30           | 0.30           |
| Baobab leave | 0                  | 4              | 8              | 12             | 16             |

73

74 Ten (10) pellets of each experimental feed were placed gently on the surface of water in a  
 75 plastic basin of size 55 x 25cm for 50 minutes and floatability was recorded after every 5  
 76 minutes interval.

77 Water stability test was conducted using 10 pellets (2mm) diameter tied in a nylon sieve  
 78 material of (0.1mm mesh). They were carefully tied with a twine to avoid breakage. Ten (10)  
 79 for each treatment were fixed in a plastic basin of size 55 x 25cm and allowed to remain for  
 80 time interval ranging from 10 minutes to 50 minutes with removal after every 10 minutes. At  
 81 the end of every test, one of the samples for each replicate was lifted slowly with the aid of  
 82 the twine and allowed to drain for 3 minutes after which the contents were put on flat boards  
 83 and oven-dried at 105°C for 24 hours to obtain the whole pellet at the start of the test (Lim  
 84 and Cuzon, 1994). The water stability (WS) was calculated using the equation below;

85 Water Stability (mins) = weight of retained whole pellets/ initial weight of pellets x time taken

86 The proximate composition of each experimental diet was analyzed according to the  
 87 methods of AOAC [15]. Protein and lipid were determined by the micro Kjeldahl and Soxhlet  
 88 extraction of samples.

89

90

91

92

Data obtained from the experiment were subjected to One Way Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) with the aid of Statistix version 8.0 and means separation between the treatments was done using LSD at 0.05 % confidence level ( $P=0.05$ ).

### 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The proximate composition (Table 2) of the experimental diets formulated with varying levels of Baobab leaf meal (BLM) showed that the highest crude protein (32.80%), crude fibre (19.66%), crude fat (8.10%), crude ash (2.33%) and NFE (35.95%), were obtained in D<sub>5</sub> (16 % BLM), while the lowest crude protein (29.47%), crude fibre (13.33), crude fat (6.55%) and the highest moisture content (10.56%) were analyzed from D<sub>1</sub> (control). D<sub>3</sub> (8 % BLM) had the highest dry matter of 97.30 % and the lowest crude ash of (1.66 %). There was no significant difference ( $P>0.05$ ) between the proximate compositions of the diets with varying inclusion levels of Baobab leaf meal (BLM) because the diets are isonitrogenous and the crude protein is the same.

Results obtained for the floatability of the experimental diets (Table 3) formulated with varying levels of Baobab leaf meal (BLM) showed that after 50 minutes of exposure to water, the control diet did not float at all recording a mean floating time of  $0.00 \pm 0.00$  minutes. Furthermore, feed D<sub>2</sub>, D<sub>3</sub>, D<sub>4</sub> and D<sub>5</sub> showed a significant ( $P<0.05$ ) improvement in their floating ability compared to the control feed (D<sub>1</sub>). D<sub>5</sub> had the maximum floatation period of  $41.66 \pm 2.88$  minutes, followed by D<sub>4</sub> ( $25.00 \pm 0.00$  minutes), D<sub>3</sub> ( $10.00 \pm 5.00$  minutes) and D<sub>2</sub> ( $8.33 \pm 2.88$  minutes).

Results obtained for the stability of the experimental diets (Table 3) formulated with varying levels of Baobab leaf meal (BLM) showed that feed formulated with BLM had a significantly higher ( $P<0.05$ ) stability compared to the control feed (D<sub>1</sub>). After 50 minutes of exposure to water, feed D<sub>5</sub> had the highest water stability of  $42.66 \pm 1.17$  minutes whereas feed D<sub>1</sub> had the lowest water stability of  $18.54 \pm 2.10$  minutes. Feed D<sub>2</sub> had water stability of  $32.76 \pm 1.05$  minutes, D<sub>3</sub> ( $35.23 \pm 2.42$  minutes) and D<sub>4</sub> ( $39.12 \pm 2.94$ ).

129 **Table 2: Proximate composition of the experimental diets**

| Indices       | D <sub>1</sub><br>(0 % BLM) | D <sub>2</sub><br>(4 % BLM) | D <sub>3</sub><br>(8 % BLM) | D <sub>4</sub><br>(12 % BLM) | D <sub>5</sub><br>(16 % BLM) |
|---------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Crude Protein | 29.47±0.55 <sup>b</sup>     | 31.7±0.32 <sup>ab</sup>     | 32.05±1.05 <sup>a</sup>     | 31.44±0.45 <sup>ab</sup>     | 32.80±1.11 <sup>a</sup>      |
| Fat           | 6.55±7.66 <sup>c</sup>      | 7.52±1.00 <sup>b</sup>      | 7.77±0.57 <sup>b</sup>      | 8.01±2.48 <sup>a</sup>       | 8.10±2.50 <sup>a</sup>       |
| Fibre         | 13.33±2.51 <sup>c</sup>     | 16.00±1.0 <sup>bc</sup>     | 16.00±1.0 <sup>bc</sup>     | 17.00±2.30 <sup>ab</sup>     | 9.66±1.15 <sup>a</sup>       |
| Ash           | 2.00±0.00 <sup>a</sup>      | 2.33±0.57 <sup>a</sup>      | 1.66±0.57 <sup>a</sup>      | 2.00±0.00 <sup>a</sup>       | 2.33±0.57 <sup>a</sup>       |
| Dry matter    | 89.43±7.66 <sup>a</sup>     | 97.23±0.64 <sup>a</sup>     | 97.30±0.43 <sup>a</sup>     | 94.31±1.59 <sup>a</sup>      | 95.80±2.95 <sup>a</sup>      |
| Moisture      | 10.56±7.66 <sup>a</sup>     | 2.76±0.64 <sup>a</sup>      | 2.70±0.43 <sup>a</sup>      | 5.60±1.51 <sup>a</sup>       | 4.28±0.95 <sup>a</sup>       |
| NFE           | 38.09±0.043                 | 39.62±0.094                 | 39.8±0.193                  | 35.95±0.225                  | 32.83±0.316                  |

130 \*Means with the same superscript are not significantly different ( $P>0.05$ )

131 **Table 3: Pellets characteristics of experimental diets formulated with Baobab leaf**  
132 **meal (BLM)**

| Pellet Characteristics               | D <sub>1</sub><br>(0%BLM) | D <sub>2</sub><br>(4%BLM) | D <sub>3</sub><br>(8%BLM) | D <sub>4</sub><br>(12%BLM) | D <sub>5</sub><br>(16%BLM) |
|--------------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|
| Initial weight of pellets (g)        | 1.42±0.08 <sup>c</sup>    | 2.69±0.06 <sup>b</sup>    | 3.12±0.20 <sup>a</sup>    | 3.01±0.80 <sup>ab</sup>    | 3.40±0.15 <sup>a</sup>     |
| Weight of retained whole pellets (g) | 0.53±0.08 <sup>d</sup>    | 1.76±0.08 <sup>c</sup>    | 2.20±0.20 <sup>bc</sup>   | 2.36±0.23 <sup>b</sup>     | 2.90±0.05 <sup>a</sup>     |
| Stability (mins)                     | 18.54±2.10 <sup>c</sup>   | 32.76±1.05 <sup>b</sup>   | 35.23±2.42 <sup>b</sup>   | 39.12±2.94 <sup>ab</sup>   | 42.66±1.17 <sup>a</sup>    |
| Floatability (mins)                  | 0.00±0.00 <sup>d</sup>    | 8.33±2.88 <sup>c</sup>    | 10.00±5.00 <sup>c</sup>   | 25.00±0.00 <sup>b</sup>    | 41.66±2.88 <sup>a</sup>    |
| Floatability rate (%)                | 0.00±0.00 <sup>d</sup>    | 16.66±2.88 <sup>c</sup>   | 20.00±5.00 <sup>c</sup>   | 50±0.00 <sup>b</sup>       | 83.32±2.88 <sup>a</sup>    |

133 \*Means with the same superscript are not significantly different ( $P>0.05$ )

134 Findings of this study showed that feed formulated with Baobab leaf meal (BLM)  
135 exhibited floating ability which increased with the increase in inclusion level. The control feed  
136 (D<sub>1</sub> – 0% BLM) formulated with no Baobab leaf meal (BLM) inclusion had no floating ability  
137 while D<sub>5</sub> (16 % BLM) had the highest floating ability and water stability. In fish feed  
138 formulation, water stability, floatability and nutrient leaching rate are the main issues.  
139 Although the feed will sink and disintegrate but it is lower compared to the time taken for the  
140 fishes to consume the feed that is 10-15 minutes [1]. The implication of findings obtained in  
141 this study is that feeding fish with feed D<sub>5</sub> (16 % BLM) will not result in loss of feed pellet and  
142 nutrients due to sinking into mud or pond bottom which may decay leading to water pollution  
143 and bacterial growth which may cause diseases. The different inclusion level of Baobab (*A.*  
144 *digitata*) leaf added to the feed, contributed to the floatability and the stability of the fish feed  
145 after exposure for 50 minutes. According to Solomon *et al.*, [16], wheat grain starch (WGS)

recorded 50 % floatation at 50 minutes exposure to water. This is however lower than results obtained for Feed D<sub>5</sub> (16% BLM) with a floatability rate of 83.32 % but similar to floatability rate of 50% obtained for Feed D<sub>4</sub> (8% BLM) after exposure to water for 50 minutes. The difference could be attributed to the difference in the ingredients used in formulating the experimental diets. This implies that the inclusion of Baobab leaf meal (BLM) in fish feed will result in a better floatability compared to wheat grain starch (WGS). The floatability characteristics observed in Baobab leaf meal (BLM) could be due to the presence of high gluten protein in Baobab leaf meal (BLM) compared to wheat grain starch (WGS). [17] reported a water stability as high as 82.81 % in fish feed formulated with cassava starch as a binder after 50 minutes exposure to water. This is however lower than the 83.32 % reported for Feed D<sub>5</sub> (16 % BLM) in the present study. [18] reported a floatation period of 40% when crushed water melon shell was added at 15% in a fish diet, this is however lower than 50% obtained from this study at 12% inclusion of (BML). Findings of this study indicates that Baobab leaf meal (BLM) has proven to aid feed buoyancy and stability when included in the right form and percentage. When feed sinks, there is a serious nutrient loss due to leaching of the essential vitamins like Vitamin A, D, E, K of fat soluble status and about one third of the free plus protein bound amino acid. Extruded floating feed cost is quite a disadvantage over a dried and moist pellet [19]. And as such, floating feed is a management tool as it enables the farmer to observe the feeding activity of their fishes [20]. Though feed (D<sub>1</sub> – 0% BLM) and (D<sub>2</sub> – 4% BLM) exhibited low buoyancy, the two feeds can still be utilized by benthic feeders like Catfish [21].

The result from this study showed that ingredients used in fish feed formulation influenced the pellet characteristics. The natural binding quality of the ingredient used in feed formulation could be utilized to their fullest capacity instead of adding non-nutritive agents. Therefore, to formulate floating local feed, careful selection of feedstuff or ingredients is a necessity to enhance the buoyancy of feed since some feedstuffs have positive buoyancy characteristics.

#### **4. CONCLUSION**

The use of Baobab leaf meal (BLM) as a binder and floatability agent in local feed formulation has yielded a very positive result in the present study. Baobab Leaf Meal (BLM) is relatively cheap, toxic free and available specifically in the Northern part of Nigeria. Baobab leaf meal (BLM) is easy to process and its usage in floating feed formulation is cheap compared to the cost of importing extruded floating feed from the Western nation. However, there is a need to perform an in-vitro experiment with fish.

## REFERENCES

1. Masser MP and Wurts WA. Managing recreational fish ponds. *World Aquaculture*. 1992;23(2): 41-47.
2. Lim C and Cuzon G. Water Stability of Shrimp Pellet: A Review. *Asian Fisheries Science*. 1994 ;7: 115 – 127.
3. Ballarin AM, Heller LO. *Evaluation of Yam Starch (Discorea rotundata) as Aquatic Feed Binder*. *Pakistan Journal of Nutrition*. 2010;9(7): 668 – 671.
4. Johnson TA, Wandsvick SK. Fish Nutrition and Development in Aquaculture. Published by C & H. 2-6 Boundary Row, London SE1 8HN. 1991;1-119.
5. Sadiku and Jauncey K. Digestibility, apparent amino acid available and waste generation potential of soybean flour – poultry meat blend based diets for tilapia fingerlings. *Aquaculture Research*. 1995;26: 651 – 657. Survey NIFFR, New Bussa, Nigeria techniques on the anti-nutrient contents of baobab seeds (*Adansonia digitata*)
6. Wood J. Selecting equipment for producing farm made aquafeed. In: New MB, Tacon AJG, Savas C (eds) *Farm made Aquafeed*. FAO/AADCP Thailand. 1993;135-147.
7. Mgbenka BO and Lovell RT. The progressive fish culturist. *Aquaculture Fish service with U.S. Dept, IF & W. series*. 1984;Vol. 46: 4.
8. Gebauer J, El-Siddig K, Ebert G. Baobab (*Adansonia digitata* L.): A review on a multipurpose tree with promising future in the Sudan. 2002;67: 155-160.
9. Huges AO, Phillips OM. *Flow and Reactions in Permeable Rocks*, Cambridge Univ. Press, New York. 1989;pp. 285.
10. Yazzie D, VanderJagt DJ, Pastuszyn A, Okolo A, Glew RH. The amino acid and mineral content of baobab (*Adansonia digitata* L.) Leaves. *J Food Compost Anal*. 1994;7 : 189-193.
11. Sidibe M, Williams JT. Baobab, *Adansonia digitata*. Southampton: International Centre for Underutilised Crops. 1998.
12. Baum DA. A systematic revision of *Adansonia* (Bombacaceae). *Ann Mo Bot Gard*. 1995;(82): 440-470.
13. BAUM DA. The ecology and conservation of the baobabs of Madagascar. *Primate Re p*. 1996;46:311±327.
14. Eyo VO, Ekanem AP, Jimmy UU. A comparative study of the gonado-somatic index (GSI) and gonad gross morphology of African catfish (*Clarias gariepinus*) fed unical aqua feed and coppens commercial feed. *Croatian Journal of Fisheries*. 2014;72:63–69.

- 221 15. AOAC. Official methods of analysis. 15 ed. Th Association of official analytical  
222 Chemists, Washington, D.C. 1990.
- 223 16. Solomon SG, Ataguba GA, Abeje A. Water Stability and Floatation Test of Fish Pellets  
224 using Local Starch Sources and Yeast (*Saccharomyces cerevisiae*). *International*  
225 *Journal of Latest Trends in Agriculture and Food Sciences*. 2011;1(1).
- 226 17. Orire AM, Sadiku SO, Tiamioyu LO. Suitability of Cassava starch as feed Binder.  
227 Science Forum: *J. Pure Appl. Sci*. 2001; pp: 61-65.
- 228 18. OBI MN, Kolo, RJ. and Orire AM. The production of floating fish feed using melon shell  
229 as a floating agent. *International journal of science and nature*, 2011;2(3);Pp: 477-482
- 230 19. Effiong BN, Sanni A, Sogbesan OA. Comparative studies on the binding potential and  
231 water stability of duckweed meal, corn starch and cassava starch. *New York Science*  
232 *Journal*, 1992;2(4): 50 – 57.
- 233 20. Rokey G, Plattner G. *A practical approach to aquafeed extrusion*. Feed Mgmt. 2003;  
234 54(1): 24 – 27.
- 235 21. Falayi BA. Comparative studies of binding agents for water stability and nutrient  
236 retention in African catfish *Clarias gariepinus* (B) Feeds. Masters in Technology Thesis  
237 Dept. of Wildlife and Fisheries, Federal University of Technolgy, Akure. 2000;Pp. 75.