

**FLOATING AND STABILITY EFFECT ON FISH FEED PELLETS  
USING DIFFERENT CONCENTRATION OF BAOBAB  
(*Adansonia digitata*), LEAVE MEAL.**

---

13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32

**ABSTRACT**

This study is about floating and stability of fish feed pellets using different concentration of Baobab (*Adansonia digitata*) leaf meal (BLM), in local region. Five isonitrogenous fish feed (35% CP) with five different concentrations: 0, 4, 8, 12 and 16% (D<sub>1</sub>, D<sub>2</sub>, D<sub>3</sub>, D<sub>4</sub> and D<sub>5</sub> respectively) of BLM. Ten (10) pellets of each experimental concentration were placed into plastic beaker (55 x 25 x 30 cm) for 50 minutes. Fish pellets floating, and stability were recorded every five minutes. Results shown that fish feed pellets floating increased significantly ( $P<0.05$ ) with increase in BLM concentration. Highest floatage time ( $41.66 \pm 2.88$  minutes) was recorded in D<sub>5</sub>, unlike control fish feed pellets (D<sub>1</sub>) which sank down immediately. Same results were shown with fish feed pellets stability which increases significantly ( $P<0.05$ ) with BLM concentration. Highest stability time ( $42.66 \pm 1.17$  minutes) was recorded for D<sub>5</sub>, lowest values was found with D<sub>1</sub> (Control) with  $18.54 \pm 2.10$  minutes. Study findings let us conclude that 16% BLM concentration has the potential to increase fish feed pellets floating and stability. BLM is relatively cheap, toxic free, easy to process and available specifically in Northern part of Nigeria, unlike importing extruded floating feed from Western nations.

Keyword: Water Stability; Floatability; Baobab Leaf Meal (BLM); Fish Feed Pellets; *Adansonia digitata*

**1. INTRODUCTION**

Fish farming is increasingly becoming very lucrative in Nigeria because Nigeria, is one of the largest fish consumers in the world. For optimal health, fast growth and sustainable production of farmed fish, a balanced feed with a good physical characteristic such as pellet stability and floatability which is also rich in nutrients required by fish has to be fed. Fish nutrition is therefore critical to sustainable aquaculture production as it represents about 60 – 80 % of the total production cost [1]. According to Lim and Cuzon, [2], aquafeed can either be pelleted or extruded with particles of high durability to withstand handling, transportation stress and be of good water stability to minimize disintegration and loss of nutrients upon exposure to water. Floating feed is very suitable for pelagic or surface feeders because fish quickly get access to the feed and do not expend much energy in swimming to the bottom to source for food [3]. Impaired growth has been documented on feeding fish with non-floating and unstable feeds due to disintegration and sinking of feed into mud or pond bottom

33 restricting utilization by the target fish [4]. Such disintegration may lead to bacterial build up  
34 which is capable of causing diseases to the fish. Use of stable and floating feed will help in  
35 complete utilization by the fish and minimum wastage which will help in a more profitable  
36 and sustainable aquaculture production [5] [6]. Moreover, floating fish feed will enable the  
37 farmer to observe how much and how actively their fish are responding to the feed [7].  
38 Baobab is deciduous tree which has a lifespan of hundreds to thousands of years [8].  
39 Baobab spends only 4 months of the year in leaf with the fresh young leaves containing  
40 nutrients such as protein (4 %), vitamin A and C [9]. The fruit pulp has a very high content of  
41 vitamin C which is almost ten (10) times that of oranges [11].  
42 Baobab leaf is also an excellent source of iron, calcium, potassium, Manganese,  
43 Molybdenum, magnesium, zinc and phosphorus. Energy value varies from 1180-  
44 1900kJ/100g of which 80% is metabolized energy. The leaves are rich in pro-vitamins A and  
45 C. In terms of protein content and WHO standards, leaves of baobab can be rated 'good' in  
46 that they score well for 5 of the 8 essential amino acids [10]. In Nigeria, Baobab is  
47 specifically available in the Northern part of the country. Baobab is generally comprised of  
48 eight (8) species with large, spectacular and nocturnal flowers [12]. Baobab leaf was used in  
49 this study because of the above properties of the leaf and its stickiness effect when mixed with a  
50 little water. *Adansonia digitata* is a Baobab species that is indigenous to the drier part of Africa.  
51 *Adansonia gibbosa* is another Baobab species that is restricted to the North-Western  
52 Australia and the remaining six (6) species are endemic to Madagascar [13]. *A. digitata*  
53 which grows in the arid and semi-arid region of Africa is commonly known as monkey bread  
54 which is derived from the fact that monkeys eat Baobab fruit. One of the major challenges  
55 fish farmers are facing is the sinking and poor stability of locally formulated feed which  
56 results in leaching of nutrients into the water, disintegration of feed, water pollution and  
57 growth of harmful bacteria which may cause diseases. This may result in poor growth  
58 performance of fish and reduced profitability [14]. Therefore, the objective of the study is to  
59 evaluate the effect of Baobab leaf meal (*A. digitata*) on the floatability and stability of fish  
60 feed pellets formulated with locally available raw materials.

61

## 62 **2. METHODOLOGY**

63 The study was carried out in the fish nutrition laboratory of the Department of Fisheries,  
64 University of Maiduguri, Borno State, Nigeria. It is located at 11.85 latitude and 13.16 longitude  
65 and is situated at an elevation of 325 meters above sea level. It occupies a total landmass of  
66 50,778sq km (Ministry of land and survey Maiduguri, 2008).

67 Fresh Baobab leaves (*A. digitata*) were collected from the Botanical garden of the University  
68 of Maiduguri, and identified by a Botanist from the University of Maiduguri. The leaves were  
69 soaked in water for 24 hours in order to eliminate anti-nutritional factors. Thereafter, the  
70 leaves were sundried and grounded into powder using a hammer mill and kept in an  
71 airtight container until required.

72

**Table 1: Percentage composition of experimental diets**

Ingredients	Experimental diets				
	D <sub>1</sub>	D <sub>2</sub>	D <sub>3</sub>	D <sub>4</sub>	D <sub>5</sub>
Wheat Bran	55.36	55.36	55.36	55.36	55.36
Fish Meal	21.67	21.67	21.67	21.67	21.67
Soya Bean	21.67	21.67	21.67	21.67	21.67
Premix	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30
Vitamin C	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05
Salt	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30
Methionine	0.35	0.35	0.35	0.35	0.35
Lysine	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30
Baobab leave	0	4	8	12	16

73

74 Ten (10) pellets of each experimental feed were placed gently on the surface of water in a  
75 plastic basin of size 55 x 25cm for 50 minutes and floatability was recorded after every 5  
76 minutes interval.

77 Water stability test was conducted using 10 pellets (2mm) diameter tied in a nylon sieve  
78 material of (0.1mm mesh). They were carefully tied with a twine to avoid breakage. Ten (10)  
79 for each treatment were fixed in a plastic basin of size 55 x 25cm and allowed to remain for  
80 time interval ranging from 10 minutes to 50 minutes with removal after every 10 minutes. At  
81 the end of every test, one of the samples for each replicate was lifted slowly with the aid of  
82 the twine and allowed to drain for 3 minutes after which the contents were put on flat boards  
83 and oven-dried at 105°C for 24 hours to obtain the whole pellet at the start of the test (Lim  
84 and Cuzon, 1994). The water stability (WS) was calculated using the equation below;

85 Water Stability (mins) = weight of retained whole pellets/ initial weight of pellets x time taken  
86 The proximate composition of each experimental diet was analyzed according to the  
87 methods of AOAC [15]. Protein and lipid were determined by the micro Kjeldahl and Soxhlet  
88 extraction of samples.

89

90

91

92

93 Data obtained from the experiment were subjected to One Way Analysis of Variance  
94 (ANOVA) with the aid of Statistix version 8.0 and means separation between the treatments  
95 was done using LSD at 0.05 % confidence level (P= 0.05).

### 96 **3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

97  
98 The proximate composition (Table 2) of the experimental diets formulated with varying levels  
99 of Baobab leaf meal (BLM) showed that the highest crude protein (32.80%), crude fibre  
100 (19.66%), crude fat (8.10%), crude ash (2.33%) and NFE (35.95%), were obtained in D<sub>5</sub> (16  
101 % BLM), while the lowest crude protein (29.47%), crude fibre (13.33), crude fat (6.55%) and  
102 the highest moisture content (10.56%) were analyzed from D<sub>1</sub> (control). D<sub>3</sub> (8 % BLM) had  
103 the highest dry matter of 97.30 % and the lowest crude ash of (1.66 %). There was no  
104 significant difference (P>0.05) between the proximate compositions of the diets with varying  
105 inclusion levels of Baobab leaf meal (BLM) because the diets are isonitrogenous and the  
106 crude protein is the same.

107 Results obtained for the floatability of the experimental diets (Table 3) formulated with  
108 varying levels of Baobab leaf meal (BLM) showed that after 50 minutes of exposure to water,  
109 the control diet did not float at all recording a mean floating time of 0.00 ± 0.00 minutes.  
110 Furthermore, feed D<sub>2</sub>, D<sub>3</sub>, D<sub>4</sub> and D<sub>5</sub> showed a significant (P<0.05) improvement in their  
111 floating ability compared to the control feed (D<sub>1</sub>). D<sub>5</sub> had the maximum floatation period of  
112 41.66 ± 2.88 minutes, followed by D<sub>4</sub> (25.00 ± 0.00 minutes), D<sub>3</sub> (10.00 ± 5.00 minutes) and  
113 D<sub>2</sub> (8.33 ± 2.88 minutes).

114 Results obtained for the stability of the experimental diets (Table 3) formulated with varying  
115 levels of Baobab leaf meal (BLM) showed that feed formulated with BLM had a significantly  
116 higher (P<0.05) stability compared to the control feed (D<sub>1</sub>). After 50 minutes of exposure to  
117 water, feed D<sub>5</sub> had the highest water stability of 42.66 ± 1.17 minutes whereas feed D<sub>1</sub> had  
118 the lowest water stability of 18.54 ± 2.10 minutes. Feed D<sub>2</sub> had water stability of 32.76 ± 1.05  
119 minutes, D<sub>3</sub> (35.23 ± 2.42 minutes) and D<sub>4</sub> (39.12±2.94).

120

121

122

123

124

125

126

127

128

129 **Table 2: Proximate composition of the experimental diets**

Indices	D <sub>1</sub> (0 % BLM)	D <sub>2</sub> (4 % BLM)	D <sub>3</sub> (8 % BLM)	D <sub>4</sub> (12 % BLM)	D <sub>5</sub> (16 % BLM)
Crude Protein	29.47±0.55 <sup>b</sup>	31.7±0.32 <sup>ab</sup>	32.05±1.05 <sup>a</sup>	31.44±0.45 <sup>ab</sup>	32.80±1.11 <sup>a</sup>
Fat	6.55±7.66 <sup>c</sup>	7.52±1.00 <sup>b</sup>	7.77±0.57 <sup>b</sup>	8.01±2.48 <sup>a</sup>	8.10±2.50 <sup>a</sup>
Fibre	13.33±2.51 <sup>c</sup>	16.00±1.0 <sup>bc</sup>	16.00±1.0 <sup>bc</sup>	17.00±2.30 <sup>ab</sup>	9.66±1.15 <sup>a</sup>
Ash	2.00±0.00 <sup>a</sup>	2.33±0.57 <sup>a</sup>	1.66±0.57 <sup>a</sup>	2.00±0.00 <sup>a</sup>	2.33±0.57 <sup>a</sup>
Dry matter	89.43±7.66 <sup>a</sup>	97.23±0.64 <sup>a</sup>	97.30±0.43 <sup>a</sup>	94.31±1.59 <sup>a</sup>	95.80±2.95 <sup>a</sup>
Moisture	10.56±7.66 <sup>a</sup>	2.76±0.64 <sup>a</sup>	2.70±0.43 <sup>a</sup>	5.60±1.51 <sup>a</sup>	4.28±0.95 <sup>a</sup>
NFE	38.09±0.043	39.62±0.094	39.8±0.193	35.95±0.225	32.83±0.316

130 \*Means with the same superscript are not significantly different ( $P>0.05$ )

131 **Table 3: Pellets characteristics of experimental diets formulated with Baobab leaf**  
132 **meal (BLM)**

Pellet Characteristics	D <sub>1</sub> (0%BLM)	D <sub>2</sub> (4%BLM)	D <sub>3</sub> (8%BLM)	D <sub>4</sub> (12%BLM)	D <sub>5</sub> (16%BLM)
Initial weight of pellets (g)	1.42±0.08 <sup>c</sup>	2.69±0.06 <sup>b</sup>	3.12±0.20 <sup>a</sup>	3.01±0.80 <sup>ab</sup>	3.40±0.15 <sup>a</sup>
Weight of retained whole pellets (g)	0.53±0.08 <sup>d</sup>	1.76±0.08 <sup>c</sup>	2.20±0.20 <sup>bc</sup>	2.36±0.23 <sup>b</sup>	2.90±0.05 <sup>a</sup>
Stability (mins)	18.54±2.10 <sup>c</sup>	32.76±1.05 <sup>b</sup>	35.23±2.42 <sup>b</sup>	39.12±2.94 <sup>ab</sup>	42.66±1.17 <sup>a</sup>
Floatability (mins)	0.00±0.00 <sup>d</sup>	8.33±2.88 <sup>c</sup>	10.00±5.00 <sup>c</sup>	25.00±0.00 <sup>b</sup>	41.66±2.88 <sup>a</sup>
Floatability rate (%)	0.00±0.00 <sup>d</sup>	16.66±2.88 <sup>c</sup>	20.00±5.00 <sup>c</sup>	50±0.00 <sup>b</sup>	83.32±2.88 <sup>a</sup>

133 \*Means with the same superscript are not significantly different ( $P>0.05$ )

134 Findings of this study showed that feed formulated with Baobab leaf meal (BLM)  
135 exhibited floating ability which increased with the increase in inclusion level. The control feed  
136 (D<sub>1</sub> – 0% BLM) formulated with no Baobab leaf meal (BLM) inclusion had no floating ability  
137 while D<sub>5</sub> (16 % BLM) had the highest floating ability and water stability. In fish feed  
138 formulation, water stability, floatability and nutrient leaching rate are the main issues.  
139 Although the feed will sink and disintegrate but it is lower compared to the time taken for the  
140 fishes to consume the feed that is 10-15 minutes [1]. The implication of findings obtained in  
141 this study is that feeding fish with feed D<sub>5</sub> (16 % BLM) will not result in loss of feed pellet and  
142 nutrients due to sinking into mud or pond bottom which may decay leading to water pollution  
143 and bacterial growth which may cause diseases. The different inclusion level of Baobab (*A.*  
144 *digitata*) leaf added to the feed, contributed to the floatability and the stability of the fish feed  
145 after exposure for 50 minutes. According to Solomon *et al.*, [16], wheat grain starch (WGS)

146 recorded 50 % floatation at 50 minutes exposure to water. This is however lower than results  
147 obtained for Feed D<sub>5</sub> (16% BLM) with a floatability rate of 83.32 % but similar to floatability  
148 rate of 50% obtained for Feed D<sub>4</sub> (8% BLM) after exposure to water for 50 minutes. The  
149 difference could be attributed to the difference in the ingredients used in formulating the  
150 experimental diets. This implies that the inclusion of Baobab leaf meal (BLM) in fish feed will  
151 result in a better floatability compared to wheat grain starch (WGS). The floatability  
152 characteristics observed in Baobab leaf meal (BLM) could be due to the presence of high  
153 gluten protein in Baobab leaf meal (BLM) compared to wheat grain starch (WGS). [17]  
154 reported a water stability as high as 82.81 % in fish feed formulated with cassava starch as a  
155 binder after 50 minutes exposure to water. This is however lower than the 83.32 % reported  
156 for Feed D<sub>5</sub> (16 % BLM) in the present study. [18] reported a floatation period of 40% when  
157 crushed water melon shell was added at 15% in a fish diet, this is however lower than 50%  
158 obtained from this study at 12% inclusion of (BML). Findings of this study indicates that  
159 Baobab leaf meal (BLM) has proven to aid feed buoyancy and stability when included in the  
160 right form and percentage. When feed sinks, there is a serious nutrient loss due to leaching  
161 of the essential vitamins like Vitamin A, D, E, K of fat soluble status and about one third of  
162 the free plus protein bound amino acid. Extruded floating feed cost is quite a disadvantage  
163 over a dried and moist pellet [19]. And as such, floating feed is a management tool as it  
164 enables the farmer to observe the feeding activity of their fishes [20]. Though feed (D<sub>1</sub> – 0%  
165 BLM) and (D<sub>2</sub> – 4% BLM) exhibited low buoyancy, the two feeds can still be utilized by  
166 benthic feeders like Catfish [21].

167 The result from this study showed that ingredients used in fish feed formulation  
168 influenced the pellet characteristics. The natural binding quality of the ingredient used in feed  
169 formulation could be utilized to their fullest capacity instead of adding non-nutritive agents.  
170 Therefore, to formulate floating local feed, careful selection of feedstuff or ingredients is a  
171 necessity to enhance the buoyancy of feed since some feedstuffs have positive buoyancy  
172 characteristics.

173  
174  
175

#### 174 **4. CONCLUSION**

176 The use of Baobab leaf meal (BLM) as a binder and floatability agent in local feed formulation  
177 has yielded a very positive result in the present study. Baobab Leaf Meal (BLM) is relatively  
178 cheap, toxic free and available specifically in the Northern part of Nigeria. Baobab leaf meal  
179 (BLM) is easy to process and its usage in floating feed formulation is cheap compared to the  
180 cost of importing extruded floating feed from the Western nation. However, there is a need to  
181 perform an in-vitro experiment with fish.

182  
183  
184  
185  
186  
187  
188  
189  
190  
191  
192  
193  
194  
195  
196  
197  
198  
199  
200  
201  
202  
203  
204  
205  
206  
207  
208  
209  
210  
211  
212  
213  
214  
215  
216  
217  
218  
219  
220

## REFERENCES

1. Masser MP and Wurts WA. Managing recreational fish ponds. *World Aquaculture*. 1992;23(2): 41-47.
2. Lim C and Cuzon G. Water Stability of Shrimp Pellet: A Review. *Asian Fisheries Science*. 1994 ;7: 115 – 127.
3. Ballarin AM, Heller LO. *Evaluation of Yam Starch (Discorea rotundata) as Aquatic Feed Binder*. *Pakistan Journal of Nutrition*. 2010;9(7): 668 – 671.
4. Johnson TA, Wandsvick SK. *Fish Nutrition and Development in Aquaculture*. Published by C & H. 2-6 Boundary Row, London SE1 8HN. 1991;1-119.
5. Sadiku and Jauncey K. Digestibility, apparent amino acid available and waste generation potential of soybean flour – poultry meat blend based diets for tilapia fingerlings. *Aquaculture Research*. 1995;26: 651 – 657. Survey NIFFR, New Bussa, Nigeria techniques on the anti-nutrient contents of baobab seeds (*Adansonia digitata*)
6. Wood J. Selecting equipment for producing farm made aquafeed. In: New MB, Tacon AJG, Savas C (eds) *Farm made Aquafeed*. FAO/AADCP Thailand. 1993;135-147.
7. Mgbenka BO and Lovell RT. The progressive fish culturist. *Aquaculture Fish service with U.S. Dept, IF & W. series*.1984;Vol. 46: 4.
8. Gebauer J, El-Siddig K, Ebert G. Baobab (*Adansonia digitata L.*): A review on a multipurpose tree with promising future in the Sudan. 2002;67: 155-160.
9. Huges AO, Phillips OM. *Flow and Reactions in Permeable Rocks*, Cambridge Univ. Press, New York. 1989;pp. 285.
10. Yazzie D, VanderJagt DJ, Pastuszyn A, Okolo A, Glew RH. The amino acid and mineral content of baobab (*Adansonia digitata L.*) Leaves. *J Food Compost Anal*. 1994;7 : 189-193.
11. Sidibe M, Williams JT. *Baobab, Adansonia digitata*. Southampton: International Centre for Underutilised Crops. 1998.
12. Baum DA. A systematic revision of *Adansonia* (Bombacaceae). *Ann Mo Bot Gard*. 1995;(82): 440-470.
13. BAUM DA. The ecology and conservation of the baobabs of Madagascar. *Primate Re p*. 1996;46:311±327.
14. Eyo VO, Ekanem AP, Jimmy UU. A comparative study of the gonado-somatic index (GSI) and gonad gross morphology of African catfish (*Clarias gariepinus*) fed unical aqua feed and coppens commercial feed. *Croatian Journal of Fisheries*. 2014;72:63–69.

- 221 15. AOAC. Official methods of analysis. 15 ed. Th Association of official analytical  
222 Chemists, Washington, D.C. 1990.
- 223 16. Solomon SG, Ataguba GA, Abeje A. Water Stability and Flootation Test of Fish Pellets  
224 using Local Starch Sources and Yeast (*Saccharomyces cerevisiae*). *International*  
225 *Journal of Latest Trends in Agriculture and Food Sciences*. 2011;1(1).
- 226 17. Orire AM, Sadiku SO, Tiamioyu LO. Suitability of Cassava starch as feed Binder.  
227 Science Forum: *J. Pure Appl. Sci*. 2001; pp: 61-65.
- 228 18. OBI MN, Kolo, RJ. and Orire AM. The production of floating fish feed using melon shell  
229 as a floating agent. *International journal of science and nature*, 2011;2(3);Pp: 477-482
- 230 19. Effiong BN, Sanni A, Sogbesan OA. Comparative studies on the binding potential and  
231 water stability of duckweed meal, corn starch and cassava starch. *New York Science*  
232 *Journal*,1992;2(4): 50 – 57.
- 233 20. Rokey G, Plattner G. *A practical approach to aquafeed extrusion*. Feed Mgmt. 2003;  
234 54(1): 24 – 27.
- 235 21. Falayi BA. Comparative studies of binding agents for water stability and nutrient  
236 retention in African catfish *Clarias gariepinus* (B) Feeds. Masters in Technology Thesis  
237 Dept. of Wildlife and Fisheries, Federal University of Technolgy, Akure. 2000;Pp. 75.