

**THE EFFECT OF VARYING INCLUSION LEVELS OF BAOBAB
(*Adansonia digitata*) LEAF MEAL ON THE FLOATABILITY
AND STABILITY OF FISH FEED PELLETS**

13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

ABSTRACT

To assess the effect of varying inclusion levels of Baobab (*Adansonia digitata*) leaf meal on the floatability and stability of locally formulated fish feed pellets. Five isonitrogenous fish feed (35% CP) with five different inclusion levels of Baobab leaf meal (BLM) including 0.0% (control), 4 %, 8 %, 12 % and 16% labelled D₁, D₂, D₃, D₄ and D₅ were formulated respectively. The study was carried out in Fish Nutrition Laboratory of the Department of Fisheries, University of Maiduguri. Between June to August 2015. Ten (10) pellets of each experimental feed were placed gently on the surface of water in a plastic basin of size 55 x 25cm for 50 minutes. Floatability and stability were recorded at different time intervals. After 50 minutes period of exposure to water, results showed that floatability of the experimental feed increased significantly ($P<0.05$) with increase in (BLM) inclusion level with Feed D₅ recording the highest floatability time of 41.66 ± 2.88 minutes and Feed D₁ (Control) sank immediately with 0.00 ± 0.00 minutes of floatability. Similarly, stability of the experimental feed in water also increased significantly ($P<0.05$) with increase in (BLM) inclusion level with Feed D₅ recording the highest water stability time of 42.66 ± 1.17 minutes and Feed D₁ (Control) recorded the least water stability time of 18.54 ± 2.10 minutes. Based on findings of this study, the inclusion of (BLM) at 16 % level has the potential to enhance fish feed floatability and stability. Baobab Leaf Meal is relatively cheap, toxic free and available specifically in the Northern part of Nigeria. (BLM) is easy to process and its usage in formulating local floating feed is cheap compared to the cost of importing extruded floating feed from the Western nation.

Keyword: Water Stability; Floatability; Baobab Leaf Meal (BLM); Fish Feed Pellets; Adansonia digitata

1. INTRODUCTION

Fish farming is increasingly becoming very lucrative in Nigeria because Nigeria, is one of the largest fish consumers in the world. For optimal health, fast growth and sustainable production of farmed fish, a balanced feed with a good physical characteristic such as pellet stability and floatability which is also rich in nutrients required by fish has to be fed. Fish nutrition is therefore critical to sustainable aquaculture production as it represents about 60 – 80 % of the total production cost [1]. According to Lim and Cuzon, [2], aquafeed can either be pelleted or extruded with particles of high durability to withstand handling, transportation stress and be of good water stability to minimize disintegration and loss of nutrients upon

29 exposure to water. Floating feeds is very suitable for pelagic or surface feeders because
30 fish quickly get access to the feed and do not expend much energy in swimming to the
31 bottom to source for feed [3]. Impaired growth has been documented on feeding fish with
32 non-floating and unstable feeds due to disintegration and sinking of feed into mud or pond
33 bottom restricting utilization by the target fish [4]. Such disintegration may lead to bacterial
34 build up which is capable of causing diseases to the fish. Use of stable and floating feed will
35 help in complete utilization by the fish and minimum wastage which will help in a more
36 profitable and sustainable aquaculture production [5] [6]. Moreover, floating fish feed will
37 enable the farmer to observe how much and how actively their fish are responding to feeding
38 [7]. Baobab is deciduous tree which has a lifespan of hundreds to thousands of years [8].
39 Baobab spends only 4 months of the year in leaf with the fresh young leaves containing
40 nutrients such as protein (4 %), vitamin A and C [9]. The fruit pulp has a very high content of
41 vitamin C which is almost ten (10) times that of oranges [11]. Baobab leaf is also an
42 excellent source of iron, calcium, potassium, Manganese, Molybdenum, magnesium, zinc
43 and phosphorus [10]. In Nigeria, Baobab is specifically available in the Northern part of the
44 country. Baobab is generally comprised of eight (8) species with large, spectacular and
45 nocturnal flowers [12]. Baobab leaf was used in this study because of the above properties
46 of the leaf and its stickiness effect when mixed with a little water. *Adansonia digitata* is a Baobab
47 species that is indigenous to drier part of Africa. *Adansonia gibbosa* is another Baobab
48 species that is restricted to the North-Western Australia and the remaining six (6) species
49 are endemic to Madagascar [13]. *A. digitata* which grows in the arid and semi-arid region of
50 Africa is commonly known as monkey bread which is derived from the fact that monkeys eat
51 Baobab fruit. One of the major challenges fish farmers are facing is the sinking and poor
52 stability of locally formulated feed which results in leaching of nutrients into the water,
53 disintegration of feed, water pollution and growth of harmful bacteria which may cause
54 diseases. This may result in poor growth performance of fish and reduced profitability
55 [14]. Therefore, the objective of the study is to evaluate the effect of Baobab leaf meal (*A.*
56 *digitata*) on the floatability and stability of fish feed pellets formulated with locally available
57 raw materials.

58

59 **2. METHODOLOGY**

60 The study was carried out in fish nutrition laboratory of the Department of Fisheries,
61 University of Maiduguri, Borno State, Nigeria. It is located 11.85 latitude and 13.16 longitude
62 and it is situated at elevation 325 meters above sea level. It occupies a total landmass of
63 50,778sq km (Ministry of land and survey Maiduguri, 2008).

64 Fresh Baobab leaves (*A. digitata*) were collected from the Botanical garden of the University
65 of Maiduguri, and identified by a Botanist from University of Maiduguri. The leaves were
66 soaked in water for 24 hours in order to eliminate anti-nutritional factors. Thereafter, the

67 leaves were sundried before grounded into powder using the hammer miller and kept in an
68 airtight container until required.

69 **Table 1: Percentage composition of experimental diets**

Ingredients	Experimental diets				
	D ₁ (0 % BLM)	D ₂ (4 % BLM)	D ₃ (8 % BLM)	D (12 % BLM)	D ₅ (16 % BLM)
Wheat Bran	55.36	55.36	55.36	55.36	55.36
Fish Meal	21.67	21.67	21.67	21.67	21.67
Soya Bean	21.67	21.67	21.67	21.67	21.67
Premix	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30
Vitamin C	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05
Salt	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30
Methionine	0.35	0.35	0.35	0.35	0.35
Lysine	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30
Baobab leave	0	4	8	12	16

70

71 Ten (10) pellets of each experimental feed were placed gently on the surface of water in a
72 plastic basin of size 55 x 25cm for 50 minutes and floatability was recorded after every 5
73 minutes interval.

74 Water stability test was conducted using 10 pellets (2mm) diameter tied in a nylon sieve
75 material of (0.1mm mesh). They were carefully tied with a twine to avoid breakage Ten (10)
76 for each treatment were fixed in a plastic basin of size 55 x 25cm and allowed to remain for
77 time interval ranging from 10 minutes to 50 minutes with removal after every 10 minutes. At
78 the end of every test, one of the samples for each replicate was lifted slowly with the aid of
79 the twine and allowed to drain for 3 minutes after which the contents were put on flat boards
80 and oven-dried at 105°C for 24 hours to obtain the whole pellet at the start of the test (Lim
81 and Cuzon, 1994). The water stability (WS) was calculated using the equation below;

82 $\text{Water Stability (mins)} = \frac{\text{weight of retained whole pellets}}{\text{initial weight of pellets}} \times \text{time taken}$

83 The proximate composition of each experimental diet was analyzed according to the
84 methods of AOAC [15]. Protein and lipid were determined by the micro Kjeldahl and Soxhlet
85 extraction of samples.

86

87

88

89

90 Data obtained from the experiment were subjected to One Way Analysis of Variance
91 (ANOVA) with the aid of Statistix version 8.0. Means separation between the treatments was
92 done using LSD at 0.05 % confidence level (P= 0.05).

93 **3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

94

95 The proximate composition (Table 2) of the experimental diets formulated with varying levels
96 of Baobab leaf meal (BLM) showed that the highest crude protein (32.80%), crude fibre
97 (19.66%), crude fat (8.10%), crude ash (2.33%) and NFE (35.95%), was obtained in D₅ (16
98 % BLM), while the lowest crude protein (29.47%), crude fibre (13.33), crude fat (6.55%) and
99 the highest moisture content (10.56%) was analyzed from D₁ (control). D₃ (8 % BLM) had
100 the highest dry matter of 97.30 % and the lowest crude ash of (1.66 %). There was no
101 significant difference (P>0.05) between the proximate compositions of the diets with varying
102 inclusion levels of Baobab leaf meal (BLM) because the diets are isonitrogenous and the
103 crude protein is the same.

104 Results obtained for the floatability of the experimental diets (Table 3) formulated with
105 varying levels of Baobab leaf meal (BLM) showed that after 50 minutes of exposure to water,
106 the control diet did not float at all recording a mean floating time of 0.00 ± 0.00 minutes.
107 Furthermore, feed D₂, D₃, D₄ and D₅ showed a significant (P<0.05) improvement in their
108 floating ability compared to the control feed (D₁). D₅ had the maximum floatation period of
109 41.66 ± 2.88 minutes, followed by D₄ (25.00 ± 0.00 minutes), D₃ (10.00 ± 5.00 minutes) and
110 D₂ (8.33 ± 2.88 minutes).

111 Results obtained for the stability of the experimental diets (Table 3) formulated with varying
112 levels of Baobab leaf meal (BLM) showed that feed formulated with BLM had a significantly
113 higher (P<0.05) stability compared to the control feed (D₁). After 50 minutes of exposure to
114 water, feed D₅ had the highest water stability of 42.66 ± 1.17 minutes whereas feed D₁ had
115 the lowest water stability of 18.54 ± 2.10 minutes. Feed D₂ had water stability of 32.76 ± 1.05
116 minutes, D₃ (35.23 ± 2.42 minutes) and D₄ (39.12±2.94).

117

118

119

120

121

122

123

124

125

126

127 **Table 2: Proximate composition of the experimental diets**

Indices	D₁ (0 % BLM)	D₂ (4 % BLM)	D₃ (8 % BLM)	D₄ (12 % BLM)	D₅ (16 % BLM)
Crude Protein	29.47±0.55 ^b	31.7±0.32 ^{ab}	32.05±1.05 ^a	31.44±0.45 ^{ab}	32.80±1.11 ^a
Fat	6.55±7.66 ^c	7.52±1.00 ^b	7.77±0.57 ^b	8.01±2.48 ^a	8.10±2.50 ^a
Fibre	13.33±2.51 ^c	16.00±1.0 ^{bc}	16.00±1.0 ^{bc}	17.00±2.30 ^{ab}	9.66±1.15 ^a
Ash	2.00±0.00 ^a	2.33±0.57 ^a	1.66±0.57 ^a	2.00±0.00 ^a	2.33±0.57 ^a
Dry matter	89.43±7.66 ^a	97.23±0.64 ^a	97.30±0.43 ^a	94.31±1.59 ^a	95.80±2.95 ^a
Moisture	10.56±7.66 ^a	2.76±0.64 ^a	2.70±0.43 ^a	5.60±1.51 ^a	4.28±0.95 ^a
NFE	38.09±0.043	39.62±0.094	39.8±0.193	35.95±0.225	32.83±0.316

128 *Means with the same superscript are not significantly different ($P>0.05$)129 **Table 3: Pellets characteristics of experimental diets formulated with Baobab leaf**130 **meal (BLM)**

Pellet Characteristics	D₁ (0%BLM)	D₂ (4%BLM)	D₃ (8%BLM)	D₄ (12%BLM)	D₅ (16%BLM)
Initial weight of pellets (g)	1.42±0.08 ^c	2.69±0.06 ^b	3.12±0.20 ^a	3.01±0.80 ^{ab}	3.40±0.15 ^a
Weight of retained whole pellets (g)	0.53±0.08 ^d	1.76±0.08 ^c	2.20±0.20 ^{bc}	2.36±0.23 ^b	2.90±0.05 ^a
Stability (mins)	18.54±2.10 ^c	32.76±1.05 ^b	35.23±2.42 ^b	39.12±2.94 ^{ab}	42.66±1.17 ^a
Floatability (mins)	0.00±0.00 ^d	8.33±2.88 ^c	10.00±5.00 ^c	25.00±0.00 ^b	41.66±2.88 ^a
Floatability rate (%)	0.00±0.00 ^d	16.66±2.88 ^c	20.00±5.00 ^c	50±0.00 ^b	83.32±2.88 ^a

131 *Means with the same superscript are not significantly different ($P>0.05$)

132 Findings of this study showed that feed formulated with Baobab leaf meal (BLM)
 133 exhibited floating ability which increased with the increase in inclusion level. The control feed
 134 (D₁ – 0% BLM) formulated with no Baobab leaf meal (BLM) inclusion had no floating ability
 135 while D₅ (16 % BLM) had the highest floating ability and water stability. In fish feed
 136 formulation, water stability, floatability and nutrient leaching rate are the main issues.
 137 Although the feed will sink and disintegrate but it is lower compared to the time taken for the
 138 fishes to consume the feed that is 10-15 minutes [1]. The implication of findings obtained in
 139 this study is that feeding fish with feed D₅ (16 % BLM) will not result in loss of feed pellet and

140 nutrients due to sinking into mud or pond bottom which may decay leading to water pollution
141 and bacterial growth which may cause diseases. The different inclusion level of Baobab (*A.*
142 *digitata*) leaf added to the feed, contributed to the floatability and the stability of the fish feed
143 after exposure for 50 minutes. According to Solomon *et al.*, [16], wheat grain starch (WGS)
144 recorded 50 % floatation at 50 minutes exposure to water. This is however lower than results
145 obtained for Feed D₅ (16% BLM) with a floatability rate of 83.32 % but similar to floatability
146 rate of 50% obtained for Feed D₄ (8% BLM) after exposure to water for 50 minutes. The
147 difference could be attributed to the difference in the ingredients used in formulating the
148 experimental diets. This implies that the inclusion of Baobab leaf meal (BLM) in fish feed will
149 result in a better floatability compared to wheat grain starch (WGS). The floatability
150 characteristics observed in Baobab leaf meal (BLM) could be due to the presence of high
151 gluten protein in Baobab leaf meal (BLM) compared to wheat grain starch (WGS). [17]
152 reported a water stability as high as 82.81 % in fish feed formulated with cassava starch as a
153 binder after 50 minutes exposure to water. This is however lower than the 83.32 % reported
154 for Feed D₅ (16 % BLM) in the present study. Findings of this study indicates that Baobab
155 leaf meal (BLM) has proven to aid feed buoyancy and stability when included in the right
156 form and percentage. When feed sinks, there is a serious nutrient loss due to leaching of the
157 essential vitamins like Vitamin A, D, E, K of fat soluble status and about one third of the free
158 plus protein bound amino acid. Extruded floating feed cost is quite a disadvantage over a
159 dried and moist pellet [18]. And as such, floating feed is a management tool as it enables the
160 farmer to observe the feeding activity of their fishes [19]. Though feed (D₁ – 0% BLM) and
161 (D₂ – 4% BLM) exhibited low buoyancy, the two feeds can still be utilized by benthic feeders
162 like Catfish [20]. The result from this study showed that ingredients used in fish feed
163 formulation influenced the pellet characteristics. The natural binding quality of the ingredient
164 used in feed formulation could be utilized to their fullest capacity instead of adding non-
165 nutritive agents. Therefore, to formulate floating local feed, careful selection of feedstuff or
166 ingredients is a necessity to enhance the buoyancy of feed since some feedstuffs have
167 positive buoyancy characteristics.

168

169 **4. CONCLUSION**

170

171 The use of Baobab leaf meal (BLM) as a binder and floatability agent in local feed formulation
172 has yielded a very positive result in the present study. Baobab Leaf Meal (BLM) is relatively
173 cheap, toxic free and available specifically in the Northern part of Nigeria. Baobab leaf meal
174 (BLM) is easy to process and its usage in floating feed formulation is cheap compared to the
175 cost of importing extruded floating feed from the Western nation. However, there is a need to
176 perform an in-vitro experiment with fish.

177
178
179
180
181
182
183
184
185
186
187
188
189
190
191
192
193
194
195
196
197
198
199
200
201
202
203
204
205
206
207
208
209
210
211
212
213
214
215

REFERENCES

1. Masser MP and Wurts WA. Managing recreational fish ponds. *World Aquaculture*. 1992;23(2): 41-47.
2. Lim C and Cuzon G. Water Stability of Shrimp Pellet: A Review. *Asian Fisheries Science*. 1994 ;7: 115 – 127.
3. Ballarin AM, Heller LO. *Evaluation of Yam Starch (Discorea rotundata) as Aquatic Feed Binder*. *Pakistan Journal of Nutrition*. 2010;9(7): 668 – 671.
4. Johnson TA, Wandsvick SK. *Fish Nutrition and Development in Aquaculture*. Published by C & H. 2-6 Boundary Row, London SE1 8HN. 1991;1-119.
5. Sadiku and Jauncey K. Digestibility, apparent amino acid available and waste generation potential of soybean flour – poultry meat blend based diets for tilapia fingerlings. *Aquaculture Research*. 1995;26: 651 – 657. Survey NIFFR, New Bussa, Nigeria techniques on the anti-nutrient contents of baobab seeds (*Adansonia digitata*)
6. Wood J. Selecting equipment for producing farm made aquafeed. In: New MB, Tacon AJG, Savas C (eds) *Farm made Aquafeed*. FAO/AADCP Thailand. 1993;135-147.
7. Mgbenka BO and Lovell RT. The progressive fish culturist. *Aquaculture Fish service with U.S. Dept, IF & W. series*.1984;Vol. 46: 4.
8. Gebauer J, El-Siddig K, Ebert G. Baobab (*Adansonia digitata L.*): A review on a multipurpose tree with promising future in the Sudan. 2002;67: 155-160.
9. Huges AO, Phillips OM. *Flow and Reactions in Permeable Rocks*, Cambridge Univ. Press, New York. 1989;pp. 285.
10. Yazzie D, VanderJagt DJ, Pastuszyn A, Okolo A, Glew RH. The amino acid and mineral content of baobab (*Adansonia digitata L.*) Leaves. *J Food Compost Anal*. 1994;7 : 189-193.
11. Sidibe M, Williams JT. *Baobab, Adansonia digitata*. Southampton: International Centre for Underutilised Crops. 1998.
12. Baum DA. A systematic revision of *Adansonia* (Bombacaceae). *Ann Mo Bot Gard*. 1995;(82): 440-470.
13. BAUM DA. The ecology and conservation of the baobabs of Madagascar. *Primate Re p*. 1996;46:311±327.
14. Eyo VO, Ekanem AP, Jimmy UU. A comparative study of the gonado-somatic index (GSI) and gonad gross morphology of African catfish (*Clarias gariepinus*) fed unical aqua feed and coppens commercial feed. *Croatian Journal of Fisheries*. 2014;72:63–69.

- 216 15. AOAC. Official methods of analysis. 15 ed. Th Association of official analytical
217 Chemists, Washington, D.C. 1990.
- 218 16. Solomon SG, Ataguba GA, Abeje A. Water Stability and Flootation Test of Fish Pellets
219 using Local Starch Sources and Yeast (*Saccharomyces cerevisiae*). International
220 *Journal of Latest Trends in Agriculture and Food Sciences*. 2011;1(1).
- 221 17. Orire AM, Sadiku SO, Tiamioyu LO. Suitability of Cassava starch as feed Binder.
222 Science Forum: *J. Pure Appl. Sci.* 2001; pp: 61-65.
- 223 18. Effiong BN, Sanni A, Sogbesan OA. Comparative studies on the binding potential and
224 water stability of duckweed meal, corn starch and cassava starch. *New York Science*
225 *Journal*,1992;2(4): 50 – 57.
- 226 19. Rokey G, Plattner G. *A practical approach to aquafeed extrusion*. Feed Mgmt. 2003;
227 54(1): 24 – 27.
- 228 20. Falayi BA. Comparative studies of binding agents for water stability and nutrient
229 retention in African catfish *Clarias gariepinus* (B) Feeds. Masters in Technology Thesis
230 Dept. of Wildlife and Fisheries, Federal University of Technolgy, Akure. 2000;Pp. 75.