# **Original Research Article**

# THE EFFECT OF VARYING INCLUSION LEVELS OF BAOBAB (Adansonia digitata) LEAF MEAL ON THE FLOATABILITY AND STABILITY OF FISH FEED PELLETS

# **ABSTRACT**

To assess the effect of varying inclusion levels of Baobab (Adansonia digitata) leaf meal on the floatability and stability of locally formulated fish feed pellets. Five isonitrogenous fish feed (35% CP) with five different inclusion levels of Baobab leaf meal (BLM) including 0.0% (control), 4 %, 8 %, 12 % and 16% labelled  $D_1$ ,  $D_2$ ,  $D_3$ ,  $D_4$  and  $D_5$  were formulated respectively. The study was carried out in Fish Nutrition Laboratory of the Department of Fisheries, University of Maiduguri. Between June to August 2015. Ten (10) pellets of each experimental feed were placed gently on the surface of water in a plastic basin of size 55 x 25cm for 50 minutes. Floatability and stability were recorded at different time intervals. After 50 minutes period of exposure to water, results showed that floatability of the experimental feed increased significantly (P<0.05) with increase in (BLM) inclusion level with Feed D<sub>5</sub> recording the highest floatability time of 41.66 ± 2.88 minutes and Feed D<sub>1</sub> (Control) sank immediately with 0.00±0.00 minutes of floatability. Similarly, stability of the experimental feed in water also increased significantly (P<0.05) with increase in (BLM) inclusion level with Feed D<sub>5</sub> recording the highest water stability time of 42.66 ± 1.17 minutes and Feed D<sub>1</sub> (Control) recorded the least water stability time of 18.54 ± 2.10 minutes. Based on findings of this study, the inclusion of (BLM) at 16 % level has the potential to enhance fish feed floatability and stability. (BLM) is relatively cheap, toxic free and available specifically in the Northern part of Nigeria. (BLM) is easy to process and its usage in formulating local floating feed is cheap compared to the cost of importing extruded floating feed from the Western nation.

13 14

15

Keyword: Water Stability; Floatability; Baobab Leaf Meal (BLM); Fish Feed Pellets; Adansonia digitata

20 21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

# 1. INTRODUCTION

Fish farming is increasingly becoming very lucrative in Nigeria because Nigeria is one of the largest fish consumers in the world. For optimal health, fast growth and sustainable production of farmed fish, a balanced feed with a good physical characteristic such as pellet stability and floatability which is also rich in nutrients required by fish has to be fed. Fish nutrition is therefore critical to sustainable aquaculture production as it represents about 60 – 80 % of the total production cost [1]. According to Lim and Cuzon, [2], aquafeed can either be pelleted or extruded with particles of high durability to withstand handling, transportation stress and be of good water stability to minimize disintegration and loss of nutrients upon

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

<mark>45</mark> 46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58 59

60

61

62

exposure to water. Floating feeds is very suitable for pelagic or surface feeders because fish quickly get access to the feed and do not expend much energy in swimming to the bottom to source for feed [3]. Impaired growth has been documented on feeding fish with non-floating and unstable feeds due to disintegration and sinking of feed into mud or pond bottom restricting utilization by the target fish [4]. Such disintegration may lead to bacterial build up which is capable of causing diseases to the fish. Use of stable and floating feed will help in complete utilization by the fish and minimum wastage which will help in a more profitable and sustainable aquaculture production [5] [6]. Moreover, floating fish feed will enable the farmer to observe how much and how actively their fish are responding to feeding [7]. Baobab is deciduous tree which has a lifespan of hundreds to thousands of years [8]. According to Huges and Philippe [9], Baobab spends only 4 months of the year in leaf with the fresh young leaves containing nutrients such as protein (4 %), vitamin A and C [10]. The fruit pulp has a very high content of vitamin C which is almost ten (10) times that of oranges [11]. Baobab leaf is also an excellent source of iron, calcium, potassium, Manganese, Molybdenum, magnesium, zinc and phosphorus [10]. In Nigeria, Baobab is specifically available in the Northern part of the country. Baobab is generally comprised of eight (8) species with large, spectacular and nocturnal flowers [12]. Adansonia digitata is a Baobab species that is indigenous to drier part of Africa. Adansonia gibbosa is another Baobab species that is restricted to the North-Western Australia and the remaining six (6) species are endemic to Madagascar [13]. A. digitata which grows in the arid and semi-arid region of Africa is commonly known as monkey bread which is derived from the fact that monkeys eat Baobab fruit. One of the major challenges fish farmers are facing is the sinking and poor stability of locally formulated feed which results in leaching of nutrients into the water, disintegration of feed, water pollution and growth of harmful bacteria which may cause diseases. This may result in poor growth performance of fish and reduced profitability [14]. Therefore, the objective of the study is to evaluate the effect of Baobab leaf meal (A. digitata) on the floatability and stability of fish feed pellets formulated with locally available raw materials.

#### 2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

**2.1 STUDY AREA:** the study was carried out in fish nutrition laboratory of the Department of Fisheries, University of Maiduguri, Borno State, Nigeria. It is located 11.85 latitude and 13.16 longitude and it is situated at elevation 325 meters above sea level. It occupies a total landmass of 50,778sq km (Ministry of land and survey Maiduguri, 2008).

63 64

65

# 2.2 Composition and formulation of experimental feed

The experimental feed (Table 1) is composed of Baobab leaf meal (BLM), soybeans meal, fishmeal, wheat bran, vitamin premix, methionine, sodium chloride, calcium, lysine, vitamin

C, and water. Fresh Baobab leaves (*A. digitata*) were collected from the Botanical garden of the University of Maiduguri, and identified by a Botanist from University of Maiduguri. The leaves were soaked in water for 24 hours in other to eliminate anti-nutritional factors. Thereafter, the leaves were sundried before grounded into powder using the hammer miller and kept in an airtight container until required. Five isonitrogenous diets (35 % CP) labeled D<sub>1</sub>, D<sub>2</sub>, D<sub>3</sub>, D<sub>4</sub> and D<sub>5</sub> were formulated using Pearson square method with five varying levels of Boabab leaf meal (BLM). The varying levels included 0 % (control), 4 %, 8 %, 12 % and 16 %.

Table 1: Percentage composition of experimental diets

| Ingredients  | Experimental diets |             |           |            |            |  |  |  |  |
|--------------|--------------------|-------------|-----------|------------|------------|--|--|--|--|
|              | $D_1$              | $D_2$ $D_3$ |           | D          | $D_5$      |  |  |  |  |
|              | (0 % BLM)          | (4 % BLM)   | (8 % BLM) | (12 % BLM) | (16 % BLM) |  |  |  |  |
| Wheat Bran   | 55.36              | 55.36       | 55.36     | 55.36      | 55.36      |  |  |  |  |
| Fish Meal    | 21.67              | 21.67       | 21.67     | 21.67      | 21.67      |  |  |  |  |
| Soya Bean    | 21.67              | 21.67       | 21.67     | 21.67      | 21.67      |  |  |  |  |
| Premix       | 0.30               | 0.30        | 0.30      | 0.30       | 0.30       |  |  |  |  |
| Vitamin C    | 0.05               | 0.05        | 0.05      | 0.05       | 0.05       |  |  |  |  |
| Salt         | 0.30               | 0.30        | 0.30      | 0.30       | 0.30       |  |  |  |  |
| Methionine   | 0.35               | 0.35        | 0.35      | 0.35       | 0.35       |  |  |  |  |
| Lysine       | 0.30               | 0.30        | 0.30      | 0.30       | 0.30       |  |  |  |  |
| Baobab leave | 0                  | 4           | 8         | 12         | 16         |  |  |  |  |
| Total        | 100                | 100         | 100       | 100        | 100        |  |  |  |  |



**Figure 1:** Baobab (*Adansonia digitata*) tree form the University of Maiduguri botanical garden.

<mark>81</mark> 

# 2.3 Floatability Experiment

Ten (10) pellets of each experimental feed were placed gently on the surface of water in a plastic basin of size 55 x 25cm for 50 minutes and floatability was recorded after every 5 minutes interval.

# 2.4 Stability Test

Water stability test was conducted using 10 pellets (2mm) diameter tied in a nylon sieve material of (0.1mm mesh). They were carefully tied with a twine to avoid breakage Ten (10) for each treatment were fixed in a plastic basin of size 55 x 25cm and allowed to remain for time interval ranging from 10 minutes to 50 minutes with removal after every 10 minutes. At the end of every test, one of the samples for each replicate was lifted slowly with the aid of the twine and allowed to drain for 3 minutes after which the contents were put on flat boards and oven-dried at 105°C for 24 hours to obtain the whole pellet at the start of the test (Lim and Cuzon, 1994). The water stability (WS) was calculated using the equation below;

Water Stability (mins) = weight of retained whole pellets/ initial weight of pellets x time taken

# 2.5 Proximate Analysis of the Experimental Diets

The proximate composition of each experimental diet was analyzed according to the methods of AOAC [15]. Protein and lipid were determined by the micro Kjeldahl and Soxhlet extraction of samples.

#### 106 2.6 Data analysis 107 Data obtained from the experiment were subjected to One Way Analysis of Variance 108 (ANOVA) with the aid of Statistix version 8.0. Means separation between the treatments was 109 done using LSD at 0.05 % confidence level (P= 0.05). 110 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION 3.1 Proximate Composition of the Experimental Diets 111 The proximate composition (Table 2) of the experimental diets formulated with varying levels 112 113 of Baobab leaf meal (BLM) showed that the highest crude protein (32.80%), crude fibre 114 (19.66%), crude fat (8.10%), crude ash (2.33%) and NFE (35.95%), was obtained in D<sub>5</sub> (16115 % BLM), while the lowest crude protein (29.47%), crude fibre (13.33), crude fat (6.55%) and 116 the highest moisture content (10.56%) was analyzed from D<sub>1</sub> (control). D<sub>3</sub> (8 % BLM) had the highest dry matter of 97.30 % and the lowest crude ash of (1.66 %). There was no 117 118 significant difference (P>0.05) between the proximate compositions of the diets with varying 119 inclusion levels of Baobab leaf meal (BLM). 120 3.2 Floatability of the Experimental Diets 121 Results obtained for the floatability of the experimental diets (Table 3) formulated with 122 varying levels of Baobab leaf meal (BLM) showed that after 50 minutes of exposure to water, 123 the control diet did not float at all recording a mean floating time of $0.00 \pm 0.00$ minutes. Furthermore, feed D<sub>2</sub>, D<sub>3</sub>, D<sub>4</sub> and D<sub>5</sub> showed a significant (P<0.05) improvement in their 124 125 floating ability compared to the control feed (D<sub>1</sub>). D<sub>5</sub> had the maximum floatation period of 126 41.66 $\pm$ 2.88 minutes, followed by D<sub>4</sub> (25.00 $\pm$ 0.00 minutes), D<sub>3</sub> (10.00 $\pm$ 5.00 minutes) and 127 $D_2$ (8.33 ± 2.88 minutes). 128 3.3 Stability of the Experimental Diets 129 Results obtained for the stability of the experimental diets (Table 3) formulated with varying 130 levels of Baobab leaf meal (BLM) showed that feed formulated with BLM had a significantly 131 higher (P<0.05) stability compared to the control feed ( $D_1$ ). After 50 minutes of exposure to 132 water, feed D<sub>5</sub> had the highest water stability of 42.66 ± 1.17 minutes whereas feed D<sub>1</sub> had 133 the lowest water stability of $18.54 \pm 2.10$ minutes. Feed D<sub>2</sub> had water stability of $32.76 \pm 1.05$ 134 minutes, $D_3$ (35.23 ± 2.42 minutes) and $D_4$ (39.12±2.94). 135 136 137 138 139 140 141

Table 2: Proximate composition of the experimental diets

| (0 % BLM)<br>29.47±0.55 <sup>b</sup> | (4 % BLM)   | (8 % BLM)  | (12 % BLM)   | (16 % BLM)  |
|--------------------------------------|---|--|--|---|
| 29.47±0.55 <sup>b</sup>              | ah  |  |  | ,   |
|                                      | 31.7±0.32 <sup>ab</sup>                           | 32.05±1.05 <sup>a</sup>  | 31.44±0.45 <sup>ab</sup>   | 32.80±1.11 <sup>a</sup>   |
| 6.55±7.66 <sup>c</sup>               | 7.52±1.00 <sup>b</sup>                            | 7.77±0.57 <sup>b</sup>   | 8.01±2.48 <sup>a</sup>   | 8.10±2.50 <sup>a</sup>  |
| 13.33±2.51 <sup>c</sup>              | 16.00±1.0 <sup>bc</sup>                           | 16.00±1.0 <sup>bc</sup>  | 17.00±2.30 <sup>ab</sup>   | 9.66±1.15 <sup>a</sup>  |
| 2.00±0.00 <sup>a</sup>               | 2.33±0.57 <sup>a</sup>                            | 1.66±0.57 <sup>a</sup>   | 2.00±0.00 <sup>a</sup>   | 2.33±0.57 <sup>a</sup>  |
| 89.43±7.66 <sup>a</sup>              | 97.23±0.64 <sup>a</sup>                           | 97.30±0.43 <sup>a</sup>  | 94.31±1.59 <sup>a</sup>  | 95.80±2.95 <sup>a</sup>   |
| 10.56±7.66 <sup>a</sup>              | 2.76±0.64 <sup>a</sup>                            | 2.70±0.43 <sup>a</sup>   | 5.60±1.51 <sup>a</sup>   | 4.28±0.95 <sup>a</sup>  |
|                                      | 39.62±0.094                                       | 39.8±0.193   |  |   |
| 2                                    | 2.00±0.00 <sup>a</sup><br>39.43±7.66 <sup>a</sup> | 2.00±0.00 <sup>a</sup> 2.33±0.57 <sup>a</sup><br>39.43±7.66 <sup>a</sup> 97.23±0.64 <sup>a</sup><br>10.56±7.66 <sup>a</sup> 2.76±0.64 <sup>a</sup> | 2.00±0.00 <sup>a</sup> 2.33±0.57 <sup>a</sup> 1.66±0.57 <sup>a</sup><br>39.43±7.66 <sup>a</sup> 97.23±0.64 <sup>a</sup> 97.30±0.43 <sup>a</sup><br>10.56±7.66 <sup>a</sup> 2.76±0.64 <sup>a</sup> 2.70±0.43 <sup>a</sup> | 2.00±0.00 <sup>a</sup> 2.33±0.57 <sup>a</sup> 1.66±0.57 <sup>a</sup> 2.00±0.00 <sup>a</sup> 39.43±7.66 <sup>a</sup> 97.23±0.64 <sup>a</sup> 97.30±0.43 <sup>a</sup> 94.31±1.59 <sup>a</sup> |

\*Means with the same superscript are not significantly different (P>0.05)

Table 3: Pellets characteristics of experimental diets formulated with Baobab leaf
meal (BLM)

| Pellet Characteristics           | D <sub>1</sub>          | D <sub>2</sub>          | $D_3$                   | D <sub>4</sub>           | D <sub>5</sub>          |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|
|                                  | (0%BLM)                 | (4%BLM)                 | (8%BLM)                 | (12%BLM)                 | (16%BLM)                |
| Initial weight of pellets (g)    | 1.42±0.08 <sup>c</sup>  | 2.69±0.06 <sup>b</sup>  | 3.12±0.20 <sup>a</sup>  | 3.01±0.80 <sup>ab</sup>  | 3.40±0.15 <sup>a</sup>  |
| Weight of retained whole pellets | 0.53±0.08 <sup>d</sup>  | 1.76±0.08 <sup>c</sup>  | 2.20±0.20 <sup>bc</sup> | 2.36±0.23 <sup>b</sup>   | 2.90±0.05 <sup>a</sup>  |
| (g)                              |                         |                         |                         |                          |                         |
| Stability (mins)                 | 18.54±2.10 <sup>c</sup> | 32.76±1.05 <sup>b</sup> | 35.23±2.42 <sup>b</sup> | 39.12±2.94 <sup>ab</sup> | 42.66±1.17 <sup>a</sup> |
| Floatability (mins)              | $0.00\pm0.00^{d}$       | 8.33±2.88 <sup>c</sup>  | 10.00±5.00 <sup>c</sup> | 25.00±0.00 <sup>b</sup>  | 41.66±2.88 <sup>a</sup> |
| Floatability rate (%)            | $0.00\pm0.00^{d}$       | 16.66±2.88°             | 20.00±5.00 <sup>c</sup> | 50±0.00 <sup>b</sup>     | 83.32±2.88 <sup>a</sup> |

\*Means with the same superscript are not significantly different (P>0.05)

Findings of this study showed that feed formulated with Baobab leaf meal (BLM) exhibited floating ability which increased with the increase in inclusion level. The control feed ( $D_1 - 0\%$  BLM) formulated with no Baobab leaf meal (BLM) inclusion had no floating ability while  $D_5$  (16 % BLM) had the highest floating ability and water stability. In fish feed formulation, water stability, floatability and nutrient leaching rate are the main issues. Although the feed will sink and disintegrate but it is lower compared to the time taken for the fishes to consume the feed that is 10-15 minutes [1]. The implication of findings obtained in this study is that feeding fish with feed  $D_5$  (16 % BLM) will not result in loss of feed pellet and nutrients due to sinking into mud or pond bottom which may decay leading to water pollution and bacterial growth which may cause diseases. The different inclusion level of Baobab (A. digitata) leaf added to the feed, contributed to the floatability and the stability of the fish feed

159

160

161

162

163

164165

166

167

168

169

170

171

172

173

174

175

176

177

178

179

180

181

after exposure for 50 minutes. According to Solomon et al., [16], wheat grain starch (WGS) recorded 50 % floatation at 50 minutes exposure to water. This is however lower than results obtained for Feed D<sub>5</sub> (16% BLM) with a floatability rate of 83.32 % but similar to floatability rate of 50% obtained for Feed D<sub>4</sub> (8% BLM) after exposure to water for 50 minutes. The difference could be attributed to the difference in the ingredients used in formulating the experimental diets. This implies that the inclusion of Baobab leaf meal (BLM) in fish feed will result in a better floatability compared to wheat grain starch (WGS). The floatability characteristics observed in Baobab leaf meal (BLM) could be due to the presence of high glutein protein in Baobab leaf meal (BLM) compared to wheat grain starch (WGS). [17] reported a water stability as high as 82.81 % in fish feed formulated with cassava starch as a binder after 50 minutes exposure to water. This is however lower than the 83.32 % reported for Feed D<sub>5</sub> (16 % BLM) in the present study. Findings of this study indicates that Baobab leaf meal (BLM) has proven to aid feed buoyancy and stability when included in the right form and percentage. When feed sinks, there is a serious nutrient loss due to leaching of the essential vitamins like Vitamin A, D, E, K of fat soluble status and about one third of the free plus protein bound amino acid. Extruded floating feed cost is guite a disadvantage over a dried and moist pellet [18]. And as such, floating feed is a management tool as it enables the farmer to observe the feeding activity of their fishes [19]. Though feed ( $D_1 - 0\%$  BLM) and (D<sub>2</sub> - 4% BLM) exhibited low buoyancy, the two feeds can still be utilized by benthic feeders like Catfish [20]. The result from this study showed that ingredients used in fish feed formulation influenced the pellet characteristics. The natural binding quality of the ingredient used in feed formulation could be utilized to their fullest capacity instead of adding nonnutritive agents. Therefore, to formulate floating local feed, careful selection of feedstuff or ingredients is a necessity to enhance the buoyancy of feed since some feedstuffs have positive buoyancy characteristics.

182 183 184

# 4. CONCLUSION

185 186

187

188

189

190

The use of Baobab leaf meal (BLM) as a binder and floatability agent in local feed formulation has yielded a very positive result in the present study. Baobab leaf meal (BLM) is relatively cheap, toxic free and available specifically in the Northern part of Nigeria. Baobab leaf meal (BLM) is easy to process and its usage in floating feed formulation is cheap compared to the cost of importing extruded floating feed from the Western nation.

191 192

# **REFERENCES**

193 194 195

196

1. Masser MP and Wurts WA. Managing recreational fish ponds. World Aquaculture. 1992;23(2): 41-47.

- Lim C and Cuzon G. Water Stability of Shrimp Pellet: A Review. Asian Fisheries
   Science. 1994;7: 115 127.
- Ballarin AM, Heller LO. Evaluation of Yam Starch (Discorea rotundata) as Aquatic
   Feed Binder. Pakistan Journal of Nutrition. 2010;9(7): 668 671.
- Johnson TA, Wandsvick SK. Fish Nutrition and Development in Aquaculture. Published
   by C & H. 2-6 Boundary Row, London SEI 8HN. 1991;1-119.
- 5. Sadiku and Jauncey K. Digestibility, apparent amino acid available and waste generation potential of soybean flour poultry meat blend based diets for tilapia fingerlings. *Aquaculture Research.* 1995;26: 651 657.Survey NIFFR, New Bussa, Nigeria techniques on the anti-nutrient contents of baobab seeds (*Adansonia digitata*)
- 207 6. Wood J. Selecting equipment for producing farm made aquafeed. In: New MB, Tacon AJG, Savas C (eds) *Farm made Aquafeed*. FAO/AADCP Thailand. 1993;135-209 147.
- Mgbenka BO and Lovell RT. The progressive fish culturist. Aquaculture Fish service
   with U.S. Dept, IF & W. series.1984; Vol. 46: 4.
- 212 8. Gebauer J, El-Siddig K, Ebert G. Baobab (*Adansonia digitata L.*): A review on a 213 multipurpose tree with promising future in the Sudan. 2002;67: 155-160.
- 9. Huges AO, Phillips OM. Flow and Reactions in Permeable Rocks, Cambridge Univ.
   Press, New York. 1989;pp. 285.
- 10. Yazzie D, VanderJagt DJ, Pastuszyn A, Okolo A, Glew RH. The amino acid and
   mineral content of baobab (*Adansonia digitata L.*) Leaves. J Food Compost Anal.
   1994;7: 189-193.
- Sidibe M, Williams JT. Baobab, *Adansonia digitata*. Southampton: International
   Centre for Underutilised Crops. 1998.
- 12. Baum DA. A systematic revision of Adansonia (Bombacaceae). Ann Mo Bot Gard.
  1995;(82): 440-470.
- 223 13. BAUM DA. The ecology and conservation of the baobabs of Madagascar. Primate Re p. 1996;46:311±327.
- 14. Eyo VO, Ekanem AP, Jimmy UU. A comparative study of the gonado-somatic index (GSI) and gonad gross morphology of African catfish (*Clarias gariepinus*) fed unical aqua feed and coppens commercial feed. Croatian Journal of Fisheries. 2014;72:63–69.
- 229 15. AOAC. Official methods of analysis. 15 ed. Th Association of official analytical Chemists, Washington, D.C. 1990.
- 16. Solomon SG, Ataguba GA, Abeje A. Water Stability and Floatation Test of Fish Pellets
   using Local Starch Sources and Yeast (Saccahromyces cerevisae). International
   Journal of Latest Trends in Agriculture and Food Sciences. 2011;1(1).

- 17. Orire AM, Sadiku SO, Tiamioyu LO. Suitability of Cassava starch as feed Binder.
   Science Forum: J. Pure Appl. Sci. 2001; pp: 61-65.
- 18. Effiong BN, Sanni A, Sogbesan OA. Comparative studies on the binding potential and water stability of duckweed meal, corn starch and cassava starch. *New York Science Journal*,1992;2(4): 50 57.
- 19. Rokey G, Plattner G. A practical approach to aquafeed extrusion. Feed Mgmt. 2003);
   54(1): 24 27.
- 20. Falayi BA. Comparative studies of binding agents for water stability and nutrient retention in African catfish *Clarias gariepinus* (B) Feeds. Masters in Technology Thesis Dept. of Wildlife and Fisheries, Federal University of Technology, Akure. 2000;Pp. 75.