**Editor's comment:**

1. The information is very interesting but the authors did not write well in English.  Maybe they need to send to mother tongue translator to obtain better manuscript. It is very difficult to understand it at first read.

2. If the manuscript is about using a different concentration of leaves to pellets floatability, the authors need to focus on it.  Because they are not making a study about acceptability on fishes or making a new fish diet.

3. The authors only made a comparison with wheat grain. They need to compare with others fish pellets to make better discussion.

4. I do not understand water stability process. Why authors make it? To obtain what?

5. The manuscript need a lot of scientific work to make better manuscript.

**Author's Feedback:**

1. Mistakes in English were observed and well corrected.
2. After the formulation of the diets, proximate analysis was conducted and there was no significant difference with the commercial feeds recommended for fish.
3. Other discussions (with Wheat grain, cassava starch and water crushed melon shell) has been discussed.
4. Water stability was conducted to see how far the nutrient are transferred to the fish without disintegration. It also helps to know the total amount of nutrient leached into the water. Baobab leaf has a stickening effect which helps to compact the feed together making it impermeable for water to penetrate for some minutes as stated in the result. Because when water penetrates, much nutrients will be leached into the water.
5. More scientific work has been done.