



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFAR_42867
Title of the Manuscript:	Growth responses of Clariid Catfish Hybrid (Clarias gariepinus ♀ X Heterobranchus bidosarlis ♂) fingerlings fed dietary Lablab Bean Meal (Lablab Purpureus)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) Author should include recommendations and suggestion for further research using LBM to feed other fish species.</li> <li>2) Correct the sentence in line 27 – 29 to “ whereby an organism is provided with.....”</li> <li>3) Please check the sentence in line 39 – 41 and remove “ is used”, it was repeated.</li> <li>4) Correct sentence in line 41 – 43 to “presence of anti-nutritional factors such as: tannin, phytates.....”</li> <li>5) In line 47, insert a comma immediately after Heteroclarias at the start of the sentence.</li> <li>6) In line 48, remove “the” just before “ resistance to disease”.</li> <li>7) Include the full meaning of LBM and SBC below table 1 for easy understanding.</li> <li>8) It is a standard requirement in fish experiment that fishes transferred from ponds to laboratory should be allowed for 2 weeks to acclimate to laboratory conditions, and should be fed twice daily at 3 – 5% of their body weight during this period. This is to ensure that any mortality experienced during the experiment is not caused by stress. This should be included in your feeding trial section of materials and methods.</li> <li>9) It is also a standard that fishes are fed 3 – 5% of their body weight throughout the experiment duration to avoid underfeeding and overfeeding. Please it should be included in your feeding trial.</li> <li>10) In your experiment, you did not compare the growth performance of the fish fed the formulated feed containing different quantity of LBM to coppens. Coppens should have be used as the control because it is the world-wide accepted fish feed, which forms the basis for growth performance comparison. This is very compulsory.</li> <li>11) You did not acknowledge those who created the formulars you used to calculate weight gain, SGR, PWG, FCR and survival rate. Please cite properly.</li> <li>12) Correct the depressed in line 119 to decreased.</li> <li>13) Your line 122 and 123 are in different font size compared to other fonts used.</li> </ol>	Thank you. I have made the necessary corrections



SDI Review Form 1.6

	<p>14) Tables should not be used to start any section in your results. The beginning of the result write-up should come first and the table in-between the write-up beginning and the conclusion of the result write-up of that section.</p> <p>15) Please remove “the” at the beginning of line 152.</p> <p>16) Include conclusion section immediately after the discussion.</p> <p>17) Include section for ethical consideration for the use of fish for experiment in your country.</p> <p>18) The author(s) should also include the competing interest section if they are more than 1 after the ethical consideration section.</p> <p>19) Please check your reference list and arrange it according to the requirement of science domain journals. You can download author guidelines for this purpose.</p> <p>20) Cited references should be numbered and cited as [1] and so on. For example Falayi (2009) should be cited as [1] and so on and should be listed in the order they appear in the text when arranging the list of reference.</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	The article should be reviewed according to the comments pointed out.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<p>The manuscript would have been spot-on if coppens was used, so as to compare the growth performance of fish fed the formulated diet containing different quantity of LBM and those fed coppens.</p> <p><b>Ethical issues</b> The authors should include a section for ethical considerations for permission to use fish for experiment in their country.</p> <p><b>Competing Interest</b> The authors did not even include competing interest at all. This should be included.</p>	