Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Environment & Ecology
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_AJEE_45214**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Impact of ecotourism on the environment, society and culture of Ratargul swamp forest in Sylhet, Bangladesh**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	The manuscript '**Impact of ecotourism on the environment, society and culture of Ratargul swamp forest in Sylhet, Bangladesh**' submitted to the Asian Journal of Environment & Ecology is within the scope of the journal. The outcomes are of utmost importance in a local context of analysis. The title is informative and relevant; the aim is clear. **Introduction:** Sufficient background information is provided with defined problem and objectives. **Materials and methods**: ✓ Research design is not explained ✓ Target population and the sampling techniques are lacking is missing ✓ Move line 45-69 to this section under 'Study area' ✓ The use of research instruments in the study (questionnaires, interview guide and observation checklist) are not well explained especially the methods used iin administering the questionnaires and in carrying out the interviews. ✓ Data analysis procedure used in the study is lack **Results and Discussion** ✓ Lines 73 – 108 are presented as if they present the summary of the study. You should first present the results before discussing. ✓ Discussion is scientific based but is not supported by available evidences (not cited). **Conclusion and recommendations**: Is based on the study finding. However, the recommendation provided in the abstract is missing in this section "..... this swamp environment, government and forest department should make and implement some management policies which should be maintained strictly considering the opinion of the local people" **Generally**, the paper needs thorough editing. The author may need to consult an expert with a background in English language to assist in editing. References are insufficient and need revision as per guidelines of the journal.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	The article has good applicability.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	***Yuda Odongo Owino***
Department, University & Country	***University of Kabianga, Kenya***