

Original Research Article

WATER QUALITY CONTAMINATION AND ACUTE TOXICITY OF PAINT EFFLUENT ON AFRICAN MUD CATFISH (*Clarias gariepinus*, Burchell, 1822) FINGERLINGS

ABSTRACT

The toxicological effects of paint effluents on *Clarias gariepinus* fingerlings and its culture water was studied. Ten fingerlings were used in each aquarium and exposed to 4 different concentrations of the effluent along with the control group. The fingerlings were exposed to 0%, 85%, 90%, 95% and 100% concentration of the effluent in a triplicate experiment. A total of 150 *C. gariepinus* fingerlings with a mean weight of $1.92 \pm 0.34\text{g}$ were used throughout the study. The physico-chemical parameters of the culture water varied with increase in paint effluent concentration. Temperature, pH and conductivity increased with increasing concentration of effluent in most cases, while DO decreased with increase in effluent concentration. The mortality data trend of fingerlings exposed to the toxicant were concentration dependent. The 96 hours LC_{50} value with 95% confidence limit of *C. gariepinus* fingerlings exposed to the effluent was $39.81\% \pm 12.68$, and was significant with a determination coefficient (r^2) of 0.95 at $P < 0.05$. The high LC_{50} value for the fingerlings exposed to the paint effluent showed that the effluent is less toxic, but still caused mortality to the fingerlings at increased concentration. Although the paint effluents are less toxic, it was still able to alter the physicochemical parameters of the culture water as well as cause mortality to fingerlings. As a result, I hereby advice paint industries to treat their effluents properly and reduce the microbial and chemical load before discharging into the environment. Also, the Government should enforce laws and regulations prohibiting indiscriminate discharge of untreated effluents by industries into drainage channels and any water body, because failure to enforce these laws could lead to the mortality of biological organisms, pollute organisms in surrounding water by altering the physico-chemical parameters and cause harmful diseases to humans that consume the resources from this polluted aquatic environment.

KEYWORDS: Water quality, contamination, toxicity, paint effluents, *Clarias gariepinus*, fingerlings

1. INTRODUCTION

Clarias gariepinus (African sharp tooth catfish) belongs to the Clariidae family. They could also be described as air-breathing catfishes. It is a large eel-like fish with a white belly and dark gray or black colour at the back. It can grow and attain a maximum weight of 60kg (130lb) and total length of 1.7m (5ft 7in). Their bodies are slender, flat bony headed, posses a

45 broad and terminal mouth with four pairs of barbells. Their pectoral fins have spines and also
46 posses gill arches with its breathing organs. *Clarias gariepinus* feeds on dead and living
47 animal matter. They are the most cultured fish species in Nigeria, because of their high
48 resistance to disease and adverse environmental conditions [1].

49 In Nigeria, paint production utilizes large volume of water without adequate
50 wastewater treatment plant [2]. Hence, large quantities of both hazardous and non-hazardous
51 wastes are inherently released to the environment, thus causing health related problems,
52 ecological imbalance and bioaccumulations in aquatic organisms. Wastewater is any water
53 that has been adversely affected in quality by anthropogenic influence. It can originate from a
54 combination of domestic, industrial, commercial or agricultural activities, surface runoff or
55 storm water, and from sewer inflow or infiltration [3]. Increase in volume and diversity of
56 solid waste and sewage generation has led to eutrophication of water bodies, destruction of
57 fisheries and decrease in the aesthetic and recreational values of water resources [4] leading
58 to water pollution.

59 Water pollution is the contamination of water bodies such as lakes, rivers, oceans and
60 groundwater by human activities. All water pollution affects organisms and plants that lives
61 in these water bodies and in almost all cases, the effect is damaging not only to the individual
62 species and populations, but also to the natural biological communities. It occurs when
63 pollutants are discharged directly or indirectly into water bodies without adequate treatment
64 to remove harmful constituents [5]. Discharge of untreated waste can cause bacteria or viral
65 contamination of fisheries and shell fish beds, thus resulting in high risk to public health [6].
66 Nutrients in sewage such as nitrogen and phosphorus promote excessive algal blooms, which
67 depletes oxygen in the water, leading to fish kills and destruction of other aquatic life. Rivers
68 are used as site for the disposal of refuse, human sewage, municipal and domestic waste
69 waters from kitchens, abattoirs and industries. Streams and rivers running through areas of
70 significant human influence such as farms, cities and industrial locations are therefore prone
71 to pollution, especially in Nigeria where environmental protection regulations are not strictly
72 enforced [7].

73 In the processing of basic industrial raw materials to finished goods, various harmful
74 wastes, effluents and other toxic by-products are generated alongside the desired products.
75 These toxic materials if discharged untreated into the environment are capable of interfering
76 with the components as well as affecting man and other living components of the ecosystem.
77 In areas where industrial effluents are discharged into surface waters, there is general
78 reduction in the quality of such water and its ability to support aquatic life. Effluent is

generally considered to cause water pollution, such as the outflow from a sewage treatment plant facility or the waste water discharge from industrial facilities [8].

In Africa, coastal water bodies are mainly polluted by run-off and industrial discharge [9]. The discharge of industrial effluents had led to alterations in the quality and ecology of receiving water bodies [10]. These have resulted to challenges to water resource managers, aquatic ecologists and hydrobiologists in developing countries like Nigeria. Most effluent contains heavy metals, which have also been found to be highly toxic especially when their natural concentrations are exceeded. At acceptable concentration, most of the metal promote the functions of most enzymes but could lead to a lot of adverse metabolic reaction when their concentration rises beyond tolerance limit. The contaminants under greatest regulatory scrutiny in paint wastewater streams are total suspended solids (TSS), and to a somewhat lesser extent, heavy metals, biological oxygen demand (BOD) and (COD) chemical oxygen demand [11]. Fishes found in rivers/lagoons receiving high discharges of effluent from industries, do have a range of alterations related to physiological abnormalities [12]. These effects have been attributed to various estrogenic chemicals known to be present within treated or/and untreated industrial effluents. Indeed, extensive laboratory-based studies have confirmed that chemicals contained in industrial effluents can induce many effects seen in effluent exposed fish [13]. Furthermore, it has been shown that exposure to industrial effluents can inhibit the reproduction of fish [14]. Although, the concentrations of chemicals typically measured in Waste water (treated or un-treated) may be low, compared to those required to affect fish reproduction in short-term laboratory studies, there are still major concerns about long-term exposures to effluents. This is because a prolonged exposure to some of these chemicals increases their level of effect and in some cases, this are shown to have population-level consequences.

The study was aimed at evaluating the culture water contamination and acute toxic effect of paint effluent on the survival of *C. gariepinus* (African Catfish) fingerlings.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Study area

The Paint Manufacturing Company is located at Amika Utuk lane, Esin Ufot Efut Clan, Calabar South Local Government Area, Cross River State, South east Nigeria. It is geographically located between latitude 4° 56' 46.875" N and longitude 8° 20' 05.877" E (Fig 1) at an altitude of 30.0meters. Uwanse lane links up with other major streets in Calabar South like Goldie, Uwanse, Mount Zion Street. The area is located in the sub-equatorial belt

and is characterized by the wet and dry seasons. The wet season begins in April and ends in September with a peak in June and July, while the dry season starts from October and ends in March [15]. Its topography is basically plane except a few sloppy terrain which ends in a ravine. The area has functional drainage system which collate rain water into a bigger drainage channel that finally empty into the river. However, it is always flooded each time it rains heavily due to blockage in the drainage channels. The vegetation is however, affected by activities like agriculture, construction and urbanization. Apart from the wind system, other climatic parameters such as mean annual rainfall and temperature, global radiation reflections coefficient to mention a few also influence the study area [16].




Fig 1: Map of Calabar showing the location of the paint effluent

2.2 Collection and acclimation of test Organism

One hundred and fifty fingerlings of *Clarias gariepinus* were randomly selected and transported from the University of Calabar fish farm using two uncovered rubber buckets along with its habitat water between the hours of 8:00am and 9:00am. The fish were visibly free of any deformities, lesions or disease. *C. gariepinus* used had a mean weight of 1.92 ± 0.34 g were used through-out the study.

In the laboratory, the test organism was kept in a tank measuring 60 X 30 X 30cm³, filled with borehole water and continually aerated for a minimum of 14 days to allow them acclimate to prevailing laboratory conditions. During the acclimation, the fingerlings were

fed with Coppens feed at 5% of their body weight twice a day and the culture water was changed daily to avoid accumulation of toxic waste metabolites and food particles.

2.3 Characterization of paint effluents

The paint effluents was analysed and its physico-chemical parameters determined. Dissolved Oxygen was measured using dissolved Oxygen (DO) meter (DO-5509 model) in mg/L, Hydrogen ion (pH) concentration was determined using a pocket sized pH meter (pH-1 model). A thermometer was used to measure temperature in degree Celsius. Electrical Conductivity was measured with the aid of Hannah conductivity meter (BM-211 model) to the nearest $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$. Also, the effluents were analysed using UV/VIS Spectrophotometer (HACH 5000) for levels of heavy metal.

2.4 Range finding

Feeding was discontinued 48 hours to the time of commencement of the experiment. Range finding was carried out for 4 days to determine the definitive concentrations range. This was done by putting 10 fingerlings into each aquarium and exposed to 4 widely spaced toxicant concentrations and along with a control (borehole water) making it five concentrations. The fingerlings were exposed to the effluent for 96 hours [16].

2.5 Test procedure

Fifteen glass aquaria of dimensions 60 X 30 X 30cm³ were used for the actual experiment. Ten fingerlings were randomly stocked in 4 aquaria containing 1 liter of culture water (borehole water) with the control as the fifth. Static non-renewal test method was used, meaning that the test organism maintained the same solution, same concentration, throughout the prescribed time interval. The fingerlings were exposed to 0%, 85%, 90%, 95% and 100% concentration of the paint effluent in a triplicate experiment. A total of 150 *C. gariepinus* fingerlings with a mean weight of $1.92 \pm 0.34\text{g}$ were used throughout the study. The mortality and general behavior of fish was also observed after 24, 48, 72 and 96 hours of exposure. Fingerlings were considered dead when they cannot move any longer, even when touched with a glass rod. Dead fingerlings were removed immediately and then its mortality recorded.

Four water quality parameters (Temperature, pH, dissolve oxygen, and conductivity) was measured and recorded after 24, 48, 72 and 96 hours of contamination of culture water with the paint effluents using a pH meter (pH-1 model), Hannah conductivity meter (BM-211 model) to the nearest $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$., Dissolve Oxygen (DO) meter (DO-5509 model) to the nearest

mg/L and Thermometer to the nearest °C for pH, conductivity, DO and temperature respectively.

2.6 Data analysis

The mortality data obtained was subjected to Probit transformation. Regression analysis was also performed and the LC_{50} value was computed using Predictive Analytical Software (PASW). The 95% confidence interval was computed and the slope of the regression line was tested using chi-square. Analysis of variance (ANOVA) was also used to test for the significance of the difference in the physico-chemical parameters between each treatment group for each exposure duration at 0.05 level of significance and at their relevant degree of freedom. Descriptive statistics (mean and standard deviation) was used on the physicochemical parameters of water of the culture water. Graph was plotted using Microsoft excel (MSE) version 2013.

3. RESULTS

3.1 Heavy metals characterization of paint effluents

The summary of the heavy metals levels of the paint effluent is shown in Table 1. After laboratory analyses, the paint effluents were found to contain various heavy metals ranging from zinc, copper, iron, cadmium, sodium, potassium, chromium, lead, arsenic, mercury, bitumen, and selenium. The result shows that the concentration of zinc (Zn^{2+}) 0.98mg/L complied with WHO maximum permissible level of 15.0mg/L. The concentration of copper (Cu^{2+}) in the effluents was high with 2.52mg/L compared with the standard approved by WHO which is 1.00mg/L. Iron (Fe^{2+}) had amazingly high concentration of 7.01 when compared with the WHO standard of 1.00mg/L. Cadmium (Cd^{+}) concentration in the analyzed effluents was 1.74 mg/L which is quite high considering the 0.001mg/L maximum permissible level of WHO. Sodium (Na^{+}) and potassium (K^{+}) had relatively low concentrations of 4.50 and 3.00 which are within WHO permissible limit of 200 respectively. A high 5.66mg/L value was recorded as the concentration of chromium (Cr^{3+}) in the effluents sample when compared to 0.05mg/L being the recommended WHO standard. An arsenic and lead concentration value of 0.51 and 0.07mg/L was higher than the 0.01 mg/L accepted by WHO. Furthermore, mercury (Hg^{2+}) and selenium (Se^{2+}) concentrations of 0.92 mg/L and 3.11 mg/L were above WHO permissible limit of 0.001 and 0.01 respectively (Table 1).

196 **Table 1: Heavy metals concentration of paint effluents**

197	Metal Ions	Concentration	WHO Limit
198	Zn ²⁺	0.98	15.0
199	Cu ²⁺	2.52	1.0
200	Fe ²⁺	7.01	1.0
201	Cd ⁺	1.50	0.01
202	Na ⁺	4.50	200
203	K ⁺	3.00	200
204	Cr ³⁺	5.66	0.05
205	Pb ²⁺	0.07	0.01
206	Hg ²⁺	0.92	0.001
207	As ³⁺	0.51	0.01
208	Bi ³⁺	2.50	-
209	Se ²⁺	3.11	0.01

210

211 **3.2 Physico-chemical parameters of paint effluent**

212 The summary of the physico-chemical parameters of the paint effluent is shown in
 213 Table 2. The pH of the effluent sample was 6.35 which is within the WHO permissible limit
 214 of 6.5 – 8.5, while the Biological Oxygen demand (BOD₅) of 190mg/L was above the
 215 30mg/L acceptable limit. The Temperature of the effluent samples was 35°C, which is within
 216 the 20 – 32 °C WHO acceptable limit. Total dissolve solid concentration was 2.42 mg/L,
 217 which complied with WHO Permissible limit of 500mg/L. Electrical conductivity (EC) and
 218 Total suspended solid (TSS) values were 238 µs/cm and 7.50mg/L respectively, which were
 219 within WHO permissible limit (Table 2).

220 **Table 2: Physico-chemical parameters of paint effluent**

221	Parameter	Sample Conc.	WHO Limit
222	pH	6.35	6.5 – 8.5
223	Biochemical oxygen demand (mg/L)	190	30
224	Total dissolved solid (mg/L)	2.42	500
225	Electrical conductivity (µs/cm)	238	900

226	Temperature (°C)	28	20 - 32
227	Total suspended solid (mg/L)	7.50	30
228			

229 3.3 Physico-chemical parameters of culture water

230 The summary of the alterations in the physico-chemical parameters of water
 231 contaminated with different concentrations of paint effluents during 96 hours test period is
 232 shown in Table 3. The control had the values for temperature, conductivity, pH and dissolved
 233 oxygen (DO) as $28.300 \pm 0.020^{\circ}\text{C}$, $69.690 \pm 0.438\mu\text{s/cm}$, 7.010 ± 0.218 and $6.555 \pm$
 234 0.005mg/L respectively (Table 3).

235 After 96 hours of contamination of water with 85% of the paint effluents, the values
 236 of $28.500 \pm 0.015^{\circ}\text{C}$ were recorded for temperature, $72.300 \pm 1.083\mu\text{s/cm}$ for conductivity,
 237 7.050 ± 1.283 for pH and $6.435 \pm 0.003\text{mg/L}$ for dissolved oxygen (DO) respectively. The
 238 water contaminated with 90% concentration of paint effluents after 96 hours had the values of
 239 $29.000 \pm 1.050^{\circ}\text{C}$ for temperature, $73.500 \pm 0.707\mu\text{s/cm}$ for conductivity, 7.500 ± 1.582 for
 240 pH and $6.375 \pm 0.001\text{mg/L}$ for dissolved oxygen (DO) respectively. The water contaminated
 241 with 95% concentration of paint effluents after 96 hours had the values of $29.030 \pm 0.001^{\circ}\text{C}$,
 242 $73.800 \pm 1.021\mu\text{s/cm}$, 7.900 ± 2.651 and $6.365 \pm 0.005\text{mg/L}$ for temperature, conductivity,
 243 pH and dissolved oxygen (DO) respectively. The water contaminated with 100%
 244 concentration of paint effluent after 96 hours had the values of $29.500 \pm 1.625^{\circ}\text{C}$ for
 245 temperature, $85.625 \pm 2.360\mu\text{s/cm}$ for conductivity, 8.040 ± 0.014 for pH and $4.415 \pm$
 246 0.558mg/L for dissolved oxygen (DO) respectively (Table 3). The lowest value of water
 247 temperature after 96 hours was observed in the control aquarium ($28.300 \pm 0.020^{\circ}\text{C}$), while the
 248 highest value of water temperature was observed in the 100% paint effluent aquarium
 249 ($29.500 \pm 1.625^{\circ}\text{C}$) (Table 3).

250 **Table 3: A 96 hours alterations in the physico-chemical parameters of water**
 251 **contaminated with different concentrations of paint effluent**
 252

Paint effluent concentrations						
Physico-chemical parameters	0% (Control)	85%	90%	95%	100%	WHO Limit
Temperature ($^{\circ}\text{C}$)	$28.300 \pm 0.020^{\text{a}}$	$28.500 \pm 0.015^{\text{b}}$	$29.000 \pm 1.050^{\text{c}}$	$29.030 \pm 0.001^{\text{d}}$	$29.500 \pm 1.625^{\text{e}}$	20 - 32

Conductivity (µs/cm)	69.690 ± 0.438 ^a	72.300 ± 1.083 ^b	73.500 ± 0.707 ^c	73.800 ± 1.021 ^d	85.625 ± 2.360 ^e	900
pH	7.010 ± 0.218 ^a	7.050 ± 1.283 ^b	7.500 ± 1.582 ^c	7.900 ± 2.651 ^d	8.040 ± 0.014 ^e	6.5 – 8.5
DO (mg/L)	6.555 ± 0.005 ^a	6.435 ± 0.003 ^b	6.375 ± 0.001 ^c	6.365 ± 0.005 ^d	4.415 ± 0.558 ^e	>6

254

255 Values are in mean ± Standard deviation

256 Values with different superscript are significantly different at P<0.05

257 The lowest value of electrical conductivity after 96 hours was observed in the control
 258 aquarium (69.690 ± 0.438µs/cm), while the highest value of water conductivity was observed
 259 in the 100% paint effluent aquarium (85.625 ± 2.360µs/cm). The lowest value of water pH
 260 after 96 hours was observed in the control aquarium (7.010 ± 0.218), while the highest value
 261 of water pH was observed in the 100% aquarium (8.040 ± 0.014). The lowest DO value after
 262 96 hours was observed in the 100% paint effluent aquarium (4.415 ± 0.558mg/L), while the
 263 highest value of DO was observed in the control aquarium (0%) (6.555 ± 0.005mg/L) (Table
 264 3). Statistically, the values of temperature, conductivity, pH and DO in water varied
 265 significantly between the water contaminated with different concentrations of paint effluents
 266 at P<0.05 (Table 3).

267 Temperature, conductivity and pH of water contaminated with the paint effluents
 268 increased with increase in the concentration of effluents added to the water in each aquarium.
 269 The water DO decreased with increase in the concentration of the effluent introduced into
 270 each aquarium (Table 3). The water temperature, electrical conductivity and pH of the water
 271 contaminated with 0%, 85%, 90%, 95% and 100% effluents after 96 hours were all within the
 272 WHO acceptable limits. The level of dissolved oxygen was above WHO permissible limits
 273 for the 100% effluents group, but the 0%, 85%, 90% and 95% effluents group were all within
 274 the WHO acceptable limits (Table 3).

275 3.4 Mortality profile of *C. gariepinus* fingerlings exposed to paint effluents

276 The summary of the survival and mortality profile of *Clarias gariepinus* fingerlings
 277 exposed to different concentrations of paint effluent is shown in Table 4. The *C. gariepinus*
 278 fingerlings exposed to 0% (control) concentration of paint effluent had 10 survivors, having a
 279 100% survival. No fingerlings mortality was recorded in the control group, having a 0%

mortality. The 85% effluent concentration recorded 8 survivors, having 80% survivor. Fingerlings mortality of 2 was recorded for the 85% effluent group, having a 20% mortality. The 90% effluent concentration recorded 6 survivors (60% survivor). Fingerlings mortality of 4 was recorded for the 90% effluent group (40% mortality). The 90% effluent concentration

Table 4: A 96 Hours survival and mortality profile of *Clarias gariepinus* fingerlings exposed to different concentrations of paint effluent

Effluent Concentration (%)	Survival	% Survival	Mortality	% Mortality
0	10	100	0	0
85	8	80	2	20
90	6	60	4	40
95	1	10	9	90
100	0	0	10	100

recorded 6 survivors (60% fingerlings), while mortality of 4 was recorded for the 90% effluent group (40% fingerlings mortality). The 95% effluent concentration recorded 1 survivor (10% fingerlings survivor). Fingerlings mortality of 9 was recorded for the 95% effluent group (90% fingerlings mortality), while no survivor was observed for the 100% paint effluent group (0% survivor). Fingerlings mortality of 10 was recorded for the 100% group (100% mortality) (Table 4).

3.5 A 96 hours Probit transformation

The summary of the probit transformation mortality data for *C. gariepinus* exposed to different concentration of paint effluent is shown in Table 5. The mortality data trend of fingerlings exposed to the effluent was concentration dependent (Table 4). The fingerlings of *C. gariepinus* showed signs of stress and erratic behaviour and gasping for air when exposed to different concentrations of effluents, due to respiratory impairment.

303

304 **Table 5: A 96 Hours Probit Transformation of mortality data of *Clarias gariepinus***
 305 **fingerlings exposed to different concentrations of paint effluents**

Conc (%)	Log Conc (x)	N	R	P	M _R	Y	R _P	P
0	0.00	10	0	0.00	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
85	1.929	10	2	0.20	20	1.340	0.660	0.134
90	1.954	10	4	0.40	40	5.289	-1.289	0.529
95	1.978	10	9	0.90	90	8.827	0.173	0.883
100	2.000	10	10	1.00	100	9.877	0.123	0.988

306 n = Number of fish fingerling tested at each concentration, r = Number of fish fingerling
 307 responding, p = Response rate, r/n, M_R = Mortality rate, Y = Expected probit from visual
 308 regression line, R_P = Residual probit, P = Probability

309

310 **Table 6: Results of regression analysis of 96 Hrs Log Concentration–probit relationship**
 311 **of *Clarias gariepinus* fingerlings exposed to different concentrations of paint**
 312 **effluent**

Conc. (Log Unit)	Response rate, p	Equation	Co-efficient of determination, r ²	Significant level, α
0.00	0.00			
1.929	0.20			
1.954	0.40	Y = 32.888X – 1.7072	0.95	0.05 (S)
1.978	0.90			
2.000	1.00			

313

314

315 **Table 7: Chi-square Tests of *Clarias gariepinus* fingerlings exposed to different**
 316 **concentrations of Paint effluents**

317

	Chi square	df ^a	Sig.
PROBIT Pearson	1.195	2	0.05 ^a

Goodness-of-Fit Test	
----------------------	--

Table 8: LC₅₀ with 95% confidence limits of *Clarias gariepinus* fingerlings exposed to concentrations of Paint effluents

LC ₅₀ with ± 95%CL	Confidence limits	
	Lower	Upper
39.81% ± 12.681	59.32	84.68




Fig 2: Probit transformation graph of *Clarias gariepinus* fingerlings exposed to different Concentrations of effluents

The regression equation for the probit transformation of *Clarias gariepinus* fingerlings exposed to different concentration of effluent was $y = 32.888X - 1.7072$ (Table 6) and was significant at $P < 0.05$, yielding a determination coefficient (r^2) of 0.95 (Table 6), chi-square value of 1.196 (Table 7), and a 96 hours LC₅₀ with 95% confidence limit values of $39.81\% \pm 12.681$ (Figure 2) (Table 8) and a lower and upper limit values of 59.32 and 84.68 respectively (Table 8).

4. DISCUSSION

The coastal water bodies in Africa are mainly polluted by run-off and industrial discharge [9]. Nutrients in sewage such as nitrogen and phosphorus promote excessive algal blooms, which depletes oxygen in the water and leads to fish kills and destruction of other aquatic life. In areas where industrial waste effluents are discharged into surface waters, there is general reduction in the quality of such water and its ability to support aquatic life is equally reduced [8].

The present study revealed variations and increase in the physico-chemical parameters of contaminated water with increase in the concentration of the paint effluent except for DO which decreased with increase in the effluent concentration, and this corroborated with the reports of [17] who also reported alterations in the physico-chemical parameters of water while studying the acute toxic effect of industrial effluents from Agbara Industrial environs of Ologe lagoon for 96hours, and the findings of [18] who reported alterations in the studied water physico-chemical parameters while studying the acute toxicity of copper (II) tetraoxosulphate on Cat fish fingerlings. The gradual reduction in the physico-chemical parameters of water with increase in the concentration of the effluent observed in the present study corroborated with the findings of [18]. The reduction in the dissolved oxygen and increase in water pH with effluent concentration increase could be due to the increase in the micro-organisms and microbial activities in the culture water, which correspondingly increases the oxygen demand of the microbes. Also, the increase in the conductivity of culture water with increased effluent concentration could be due to the increased chemical ions associated with the paint effluent. In general, temperature, conductivity, pH values were higher and the DO level was lower in the aquarium contaminated with the highest concentration of the effluent (100% paint effluent concentration) than in the control aquarium. Similar observations were reported by [17] who were studying the acute toxicity of industrial effluents from Agbara environs of Ologe lagoon on early life stages of *C. gariepinus*. Statistically, the physico-chemical parameters varied significantly across the different culture water group contaminated with different concentrations of the effluent at $P<0.05$, and this was contrary to the report of [17] who reported insignificant alterations in the physico-chemical parameters except for dissolved oxygen.

The mean water temperature and dissolved oxygen of culture water contaminated with 100% paint effluents were higher than that reported by [17]. The variation between the

two findings could be due to the difference in the toxicants, length of formation of the effluents and differences in composition of the test toxicant. All the physico-chemical parameters of the water were within the WHO acceptable limits except the dissolved oxygen for the 100% effluent group which was above the permissible limit, and as a result, the effluents made the water contaminated and uncondusive for the fingerlings thereby causing mortality. Even as most of the water parameters (pH, temperature, and conductivity) were within the WHO acceptable standard after 96 hours of contamination with paint effluents, there is a high tendency of a chronic contamination of the water over a long period of time, leading to its pollution. Apart from the alteration of the water and fingerlings mortality, the fish (biological organisms) could accumulate the toxicants from the paint effluents into their tissues, which are consumed by humans, leading to a lot of health challenges.

In Nigeria, paint production utilizes large volume of water without adequate wastewater treatment plan. Hence, large quantities of both hazardous and non-hazardous wastes are inherently released to the environment, thus causing health related problems, ecological imbalance and bio-accumulations in aquatic organisms [3]. Increase in volume and diversity of effluent generation causes eutrophication of water bodies, destruction of fisheries and decrease in the aesthetic and recreational values of water resources, leading to water pollution [4]. Water pollution affects organisms and plants that lives in these water bodies and in almost all cases, the effect is damaging not only to the individual species and populations, but also to the natural biological communities [5]. Fishes living in rivers/lagoons receiving high discharges of effluent from industries, experience physiological abnormalities, due to estrogenic chemicals known to be present within treated or/and untreated industrial effluents [12]. The presence of environmental stress such as low dissolved oxygen, high temperature and high ammonia reduces the ability of organisms to maintain its internal environment (i.e. metabolism, catabolism) [19]. Fish growth depends on water quality to boost its production and physicochemical parameters are known to affect the biotic components of an aquatic environment in various ways [20].

The toxicity of effluents on *C. gariepinus* fingerlings in the present study was concentration dependent, and this corroborated with the findings of [17]. The fingerlings of *C. gariepinus* exposed to different concentrations of the effluents showed abnormal behaviours and appearance like; repeated darting movement within an hour of introduction, darkening in the eye and on the skin, spiral swimming and death, erratic swimming, loss of

balance due to impaired metabolism and nervous disorder due to respiratory impairment, and this was similar to the findings of [21, 22, 23].

In the present study, 100% mortality of *C. gariepinus* fingerlings was observed in the group exposed to 100% paint effluent after 96 hours, which differed from the report of [24, 25] who reported 45% and 18.5% mortality respectively for 100% exposure to different land fill leachates. This denotes that the paint effluents are less toxic than the compared land fill effluents. The differences in the mortality of the test fingerlings for the different studies could be due to the difference in composition of the toxicant, length of formation of toxicants, fish species and age of fingerlings. Also, the difference could be due to the fact that the response of fish to variety of metal and organic pollutants are transient and are dependent on species, enzymes and single or contaminants [26].

The 96 hours LC_{50} with 95% confidence limit for *C. gariepinus* exposed to different concentrations of the paint effluents was $39.81\% \pm 12.681$, and this high value indicates that the paint effluents used for the study is less toxic. The 96 hours LC_{50} value observed in this study was higher than that reported by [17] (LC_{50} of 34.03%) who were studying the acute toxicity of industrial effluents from Agbara environs of Ologe Lagoon on early life stages of *C. gariepinus* and that reported by [27] (LC_{50} of 9.50%) who were studying the toxicity and behavioural changes in *Rasbora daniconius* exposed to lethal concentration of paper mill effluents and [28] (LC_{50} of 6%) who were studying the toxic effect of paper and pulp mill effluents on different parameters of bioenergetics in the fingerlings of *Oreochromis anossambicus*. The discrepancies in the 96 hours LC_{50} value of the different study could be due to the difference in composition of the toxicant, difference in toxicant, duration of effluent existence, fish species and age of fingerlings used. The difference could also be due to the fact that the response of fish to variety of metal and organic pollutants are transient and are dependent on species, enzymes and contaminants [26]. The higher LC_{50} value observed for the present study denotes that the paint effluents are less toxic than the leachates and other effluents which have been compared in this study, although it still led to the mortality of the fingerlings and are also capable of causing bacteria or viral contamination of fisheries and shell fish beds, thus resulting in high risk to public health [6].

The mortality data trend of fingerlings exposed to the effluents was concentration dependent. The fingerlings of *C. gariepinus* showed signs of stress and erratic behaviour and gasping for air when exposed to different concentrations of the paint effluents, due to

respiratory impairment. The test toxicant was less toxic as shown by its high LC₅₀ value, but was still able to kill the test fingerlings.

5. CONCLUSION

In conclusion, the paint effluents caused significant alterations in the physicochemical parameters of water, compared to the control aquarium water, increasing in some cases (temperature, pH and conductivity), and reducing in some cases (DO). Also, the paint effluent raised some water parameters to undesired levels, leading to the bio-accumulation of toxicants in the fingerlings, possibly causing diseases to human who consumes them. The paint effluent was less toxic, though still toxic to fingerlings at increased concentrations. The toxicological effects of the effluents were concentration dependent. Also, it is evident that concentration of some metal contaminants such as zinc, sodium and potassium were low in the paint effluent, but the effluents were polluted with the other metals evaluated. Nevertheless, continuous discharge could cause serious toxic effects to the environment.

ETHICAL CONSIDERATION

The authors ensured that all ethical and other basic principles underlying behavior and advancing welfare for the use of animals in research, including handling, relevant laws and regulations were considered before proceeding with the research. Permission was also received from the relevant bodies for the use of fish for this experiment.

REFERENCES

1. Froese R and Pauly D. Biology of *Clarias gariepinus*. New York Printing Press, USA. 2014: 62.
2. Fakayode SO. Impact of industrial effluents on water quality of the receiving Alaro River in Ibadan, Nigeria. Ajeam-Ragee. 2005; 10: 1-13.
3. Tilley E, Sylvie P, Henseler M, Güdel K, Lehnhard Y. Compendium of Sanitation Systems and Technologies (preprint). Swiss Federal Institute of Aquatic Science and Technology (Eawag), Dübendorf, Switzerland. 2008: 32.
4. Aghoghovwia OA. Assessment of Industrial and domestic Effluent/ effect on Fish species Diversity of Warri River Delta State, Nigeria. PhD Thesis, University of Ibadan, Ibadan, Nigeria. 2008: 113.
5. Agrawal A, Pandey RS and Sharma B. Water pollution with special reference to Pesticide Contamination in India. Journal of Water Resources and Protection. 2010; 2: 432-448.
6. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). Draft discharge assessment. 2013: 45.
7. Adewoye SO. Effects of detergent effluent discharges on the aspect of water quality of ASA River, Ilorin, Nigeria. Agriculture and Biology Journal of North America. 2010; 1(4): 731-736.

- 470 8. Womach J. Water Supply and Sanitation in the United States. Congressional Research
471 Service Document. CWA Sections 301, 306 and 307. 2005: 53.
- 472 9. Ezemonye LN and Kadiri, MO. Bioremediation of aquatic ecosystems, the African
473 perspectives (a review paper). J. Env. Rev. 2000; 3(2): 137-147.
- 474 10. Umeham SN, Avoaja DA and Onuabuchi IA. The physicochemical status of Ibii stream,
475 Aku-okigwe, Imo State. Nig. J. Env. Sci. Policy Evalua. 2012; 2(1): 72-78.
- 476 11. Paint and Coating Industry. Paint waste water.”www.pcimag.com. Retrieved 1st Febuary.
477 2004: 55.
- 478 12. Vethaak AD, Rijs GBJ, Schrap SM, Ruiter H, Gerritsen A, Lahr J. Estrogens and Xeno-
479 estrogens in the Aquatic Environment of The Netherlands: Occurrence, Potency and
480 Biological Effects. Report no. 2002.001. Dutch National Institute of Inland Water
481 Management and Waste Water Treatment, Lelystad. The Netherlands. 2002: 78.
- 482 13. Seki M, Yokota H, Matsubara H, Tsuruda Y, Maeda N, Tadokoro H, Kobayashi K. Effect
483 of ethinylestradiol on the reproduction and induction of vitellogenin and testis ova in
484 medaka (*Oryzias latipes*). Environ. Toxicol. Chem. 2002; 21, 1692-1698.
- 485 14. Thorpe MR, Ferrieri AP, Herth MM and Ferrieri RA. C-11-imaging: methyl jasmonate
486 moves in both phloem and xylem, promotes transport of jasmonate, and of photoassimilate
487 even after proton transport is decoupled. Planta. 2007; 226: 541–551.
- 488 15. Monechot WO. Leachate, groundwater, surface stream, treated water and soil
489 characteristics of the vicinity of a municipal solid waste dumpsite at Uyo metropolis,
490 Akwa – Ibom state, Nigeria. A project submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirement
491 for the award of the Master of Science degree (Msc) in analytical chemistry in the
492 Department of pure and industrial chemistry, Faculty of Physical Sciences, University of
493 Nigeria Nsukka. 2014: 150.
- 494 16. Reish DJ and Oshida OS. Manual of methods in aquatic environment research. Part 10,
495 short term static bioassay. FAO Fish Tech. Pap. 1987:47-52.
- 496 17. Adeboyejo OA, Fagbenro OA, Adeparusi EO and Clarke EO. Acute toxicity of industrial
497 effluents from agbara environs of ologe lagoon on early life stages of African catfish
498 *Clarias gariepinus*. American Journal of Research Communication. 2013; 1(3): 50-60.
- 499 18. Agbebi FO and Owioye O. Toxicity of copper (II) Tetraoxosulphate to Catfish (*C.*
500 *Gariepinus*) fingerlings. Asian Journal of Agriculture and Rural Development. 2012;
501 2(1):46-54.
- 502 19. Ezra AG and Nwankwo DI. Composition of phytoplankton algae in Glib' reservoir,
503 Bauchi, Nigeria. Journal of Aquatic Sciences. 2001; 16 (2): 115- 118.
- 504 20. Ligwumba AO and Ugwumba AA. A study of the physicochemical hydrology and
505 Plankton of Awba lake in Ibadan, Nigeria. Fish Acadbiz. Comm. 1993: 20-39.
- 506 21. Sambasivam S, Chandran R, Karpagam G, Ajmal S. Toxicity of leaf extract of yellow
507 Oleander *Thevetia nerifolia* on Tilapia. Journal of Environmental Biology. 2013;
508 24(2):201-4.
- 509 22. Oti EE. Acute toxicity of water extracts of bark of the *Thevetia peruviana* to the African
510 freshwater catfish —Heteroclarias hybrid fingerling. J. Fish.Tech. 2003a; 2: 124-130.
- 511 512
- 513
- 514
- 515
- 516
- 517
- 518
- 519
- 520
- 521
- 522
- 523
- 524

- 525
526 23. Oti EE. Acute toxicity of water extracts of bark of neem plant *Azardirachta indica* (Cod)
527 to the African river pike (*Hepsetus odoe*) (Sarcodaceae) (Bloch). In: Fisheries Society of
528 Nigeria Conference Proceeding (Eds.: A. A. Eyo and J.O. Ayanda). 2003b: 34.
529
- 530 24. Adeola AO, Amusat TH and Peijun L. Toxicity of Leachates from the Aba-Eku Landfill
531 Leachate Lagoon, Ibadan, South-Western Nigeria. *Advances in Applied Science*
532 *Research*. 2011; 2 (2): 450-460.
- 533 25. Oshode OA, Bakare AA, Adeogun AO, Efuntoye MO and Sowunmi AA.
534 Ecotoxicological Assessment Using *Clarias gariepinus* and Microbial Characterization of
535 Leachate from Municipal Solid Waste Landfill. *Int. J. Environ. Res*. 2008; 2(4): 391-400.
- 536 26. Pavlović SZ, Mitić SSB, Radovanović TB, Perendija BR, Despotović SG, Gavrić JP and
537 Saicić ZS. Seasonal variations of the activity of antioxidants defense enzymes in the
538 Red mullet (*Mullus barbatus*) from Adriatic Sea. *Mar. Drugs*. 2010; 8(3): 413-428. 4.
- 539 27. Pathan TS, Sonawane DL and Khillare YK. Toxicity and Behavioural Changes in
540 Freshwater Fish *Rasbora daniconius* Exposed to Paper Mill Effluent. *Journal of biotech*
541 *research international*. 2009; 2 (4): 263-266.
- 542 28. Varadaraj G and Subramanian MA. Toxic effect of paper and pulp mill effluent on
543 different parameters of bioenergetics in the fingerlings of *Oreochromis anossambicus*.
544 *Env. Eco*. 1991; 9(4):857-859. 50.