



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Environment & Ecology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEE_45229
Title of the Manuscript:	Understanding the Changes of the Wetland Ecosystem and Its Impact on the Biodiversity of Tanguar Haor in Sunamganj, Bangladesh.
Type of the Article	Review Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		Revised and edited accordingly
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Title: Write Sunamganj, Bangladesh</p> <p>Abstract: State the importance of the review in one sentence</p> <p>Introduction: Botanic names should be separated and italicized</p> <p>Avoid using etc, e.g</p> <p>Be consistent when quoting literature e.g (Sobhan et al., 2012), (Haque and Basak, 2017)</p> <p>Page 6: Check spellings and grammar throughout e.g Negative should be negative</p> <p>4.2.3 Fishes should be fish</p> <p>4.2.8 Table is not quoted in page 12</p> <p>5. should be conclusion while 6 is recommendations</p> <p>Acknowledgement is missing</p> <p>References: Quite few for a review paper. Review literature for other wetlands outside Bangladesh for comparison purpose.</p>	<p>At the end of the Title Bangladesh has been added.</p> <p>In the abstract, importance of the review added.</p> <p>Revised and edited to avoid etc, e.g</p> <p>Revised error for quoting literature source 12 instead of Haque and Basak, 2017 in page 6</p> <p>In Page 6: Checked spellings and grammar throughout e.g Negative and corrected as negative</p> <p>4.2.3 Fishes changes as fish</p> <p>4.2.8 Table is quoted as source 26 in page 12</p> <p>5. replaced as conclusion while 6 replaced as recommendations</p> <p>Acknowledgement has been added</p> <p>References: some references have been added further about wetlands outside Bangladesh for comparison purpose. See references 9, 10, 11</p>
Optional/General comments	A good and educative paper. Should be accepted for publication after the minor corrections are done	Minor corrections have been completed.

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	N/A as it is a review article