



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Environment & Ecology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEE_44758
Title of the Manuscript:	The Potentials of Algae from Waste and Fresh Water as a Source of Biodiesel
Type of the Article	Original research paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		It was noted
Minor REVISION comments	The manuscript reports the advantages of biodiesel production from algae oil and describes the experimental procedure to obtain higher yields in the extraction. However, there is no novelty in the research. Here are some changes, which I consider necessary: - Improve the summary by describing part of the experimental procedure and highlighting the main results and conclusions. - Do a better organization of the introduction text. - Improve grammar and terms. - Standardize units. - Review the values and units of lines 102 through 108. Put them in a table. - Start the MATERIALS section with an explanatory text. - Do not start the RESULTS AND DISCUSSION with the Table. - Make the conclusions more specific, reporting what was concluded from the results.	All corrections pointed out has been effected to the best of the author's ability
Optional/General comments		