

Removal of heavy metals Cd (II), Fe (III) and Ni (II), from aqueous solutions by natural (clinoptilolite) zeolites and application to industrial wastewater

Hoda Abd El-Azim* and Fekry A. Mourad

National Institute of Oceanography and Fisheries, Egypt, P.O.Box 182, Suez, Egypt

ABSTRACT

The adsorption mechanism of Cd, Fe, and Ni, ions on natural (clinoptilolite) zeolites, a regional low-cost natural available adsorbent was studied in a batch adsorption system. The effect of several parameters such as contact time, zeolite dose, particle size, the effect of pH, and initial concentration of metal ions in the adsorption process was estimated. The optimum adsorption was found to occur at pH 6.0, adsorbent dose 1.0 g/L, and initial concentration 2, 20 and 10 mg/L for Cd, Fe, and Ni, respectively. The adsorption efficiency also increases with decreasing particle size of zeolites and the effect of retention time on adsorption ratio shows that 80% of the Cd, Fe, and Ni, are adsorbed by zeolite during first 120 minutes. Under these optimum conditions, the removal efficiency was 78.8, 89.1, and 65.5% of Cd⁺², Fe⁺³ and Ni⁺², ions, respectively. Sorption data have been interpreted in terms of Langmuir and Freundlich isotherms. The adsorption efficiency of heavy metals in industrial wastewater as application mode was also investigated using zeolites and these results showed that natural zeolites hold great potential to remove cationic heavy metal species from industrial wastewater and confirms the potential use of zeolite for the removal of heavy metals from industrial wastewater via adsorption. We conclude that zeolite is very promising for the removal of metal ions from aqueous solution and hence we encourage the utilization of zeolites in environmental applications.

Keywords: Natural (clinoptilolite) zeolites, Adsorption, Heavy metals, Industrial wastewater.

1. INTRODUCTION

Heavy metals pollution is one of the most serious problems facing human and other organisms due to its non-biodegradability and accumulation in the living organisms [1]. There are many sources of the heavy metals in the ecosystem that come with the wastewater streams such as electroplating, smelting, paint pigments, batteries, mining operations and agriculture activities [2]. The main concern of environmentalists toward heavy metal is that these elements are highly toxic and their serious impact on human health and surroundings can't ignore [3]. Nowadays, many studies are working on how to remove the toxic heavy metal contaminants from aqueous waste streams especially from industrial wastewater. Conventional methods, including, electro dialysis [4], chemical precipitation [5], reverse osmosis [6], ion-exchange [7], ultrafiltration [8], and adsorption used for removal of heavy metal ions from aqueous solutions. While most of these techniques are expensive and inapplicable on a large scale, ion exchange and adsorption method, among all above-mentioned processes, is the most preferable one because it is economically advantageous, high efficient and applicable [9,10], especially when using low-cost natural sorbents such as clay materials, biomass, perlites, diatomite, and zeolite. In this regard, naturally occurring

* E-mail address: Abdelazimh1968@yahoo.com

zeolites hold great potential to use as packing material in subsurface reactive barriers intercepting groundwater plumes and for fixed bed reactors designed to remove heavy metals from industrial wastewater [11]. Zeolites have a framework silicate with a three-dimensional cage structure. Their structure bears negative charges which can balance by adsorption of exchangeable cations [12]. Due to the high deposit of zeolite in nature, its low cost, stable structure, even at an acidic environment, strong adsorption capacity, it has recently drawn attention to use in the treatment of industrial wastewater. According to these attracting properties of zeolite, interest has been increased towards the method of heavy metal adsorption from aqueous solution. There are several studies carried on the effectiveness and the importance of using natural zeolite for the adsorption of heavy metals under different empirical conditions such as pH, temperature, granular phase volume, concentration, and induction speed [16 - 20].

In the present study natural zeolite was tested as an efficient adsorbent for removing of ions of Cd^{+2} , Fe^{+3} , and Ni^{+2} , from aqueous solutions and wastewater. This article aims to investigate the parameters that affect removal rates, such as contact time, particle size, pH of the initial solution and zeolite dose. Also, the maximum adsorption capacity of natural zeolite, which is used to remove the studied metal ions through equilibrium reactions, was determined. As well as comparing the empirical data of adsorption balance with Langmuir and Freundlich sorption models to evaluate the adsorption performance of natural zeolite.

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

2. 1 Preparation of Materials

Analytical grade chemicals were employed in all experiments. Compounds, $\text{Cd}(\text{NO}_3)_2 \cdot 4\text{H}_2\text{O}$, $\text{FeCl}_3 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$ and $\text{Ni}(\text{NO}_3)_2 \cdot 4\text{H}_2\text{O}$, were purchased from Sigma Aldrich (USA) and used in the experiments. Adequate amounts of the metal salts were dissolved in de-ionized water (DIW) to yield the stock solutions of concentration 1000 mg/L. Various concentrations used in the adsorption studies were obtained by dilution of stock solution with DIW. All glassware was washed with soap, followed by 10 % HNO_3 and then rinsed many times with DIW before use. Adjustment of pH was done using either 0.1 M HNO_3 or 0.1M NaOH , and pH was measured with a pocket pH meter model Orion 210. Natural zeolite from Alex Trading & Commercial Agencies Company was used. Grain size fractions were used as received from the company in two Size: <0.5 mm (fraction I), 2.0 – < 6mm (fraction II).

2. 1. 1 Characterization

The main chemical composition of zeolite analyzed by X-ray diffraction(XRD) and X-ray fluorescence(XRF) methods, as well as other Physical properties such as particle size, density, humidity, fire loss, water, porosity, surface area, and hydrogen ion concentration carried at the Central Metallurgical Research Institute (CMRDI).

2.1. 2 Industrial wastewater

Industrial wastewater samples were collected from collecting industrial wastewater treatment plant from Adabiya area at Suez Bay, Egypt. Two main samples from the inlet and outlet of this plant were collected, and then stored in acid-washed polyethylene bottles for analysis. All the precautions recommended by [21], to minimize risks of sample contamination were followed during the collection and treatment of samples.

2.2 Batch Adsorption Studies

To evaluate the process of single adsorption of Cd^{+2} , Fe^{+3} , and Ni^{+2} from aqueous solution, different parameters and factors were studied. The aqueous solutions were agitated at

78 different time intervals in a room temperature and on a mechanical shaker at 200 rpm. After
79 the reactions, the supernatant was collected in a syringe and filtered through a 0.2µm
80 membrane filter to measure the metal ion concentrations using a flame atomic absorption
81 spectroscopy (Perkin Elmer A Analyst 100). Samples were diluted before the required
82 analysis to set the calibration linear range.

83 2.2.1 Effect of pH

84 To investigate the optimum pH of adsorption, 50 ml of aqueous solution containing 2 mg/l of
85 single metal ions (Cd^{+2} , Fe^{+3} , and Ni^{+2}) and having a pH range from 2 to 8 was mixed with
86 0.5 g of adsorbent in a 250 ml conical flask. After 180 min of contact time, the suspension
87 was filtered and analyzed for heavy metal ions by atomic- absorption spectrophotometer.

88 2. 2. 2 Effect of Adsorbent Dose

89 Furthermore, to evaluate the optimum dosage of the, adsorbent dose studies were carried
90 out in 0.25, 0.5, 0.75, 1, 1.5, 2 and 4.0 g adsorbent and 50 ml of solution containing 2 mg/l of
91 heavy metal ion concentrations at optimum pH obtained from the section above during the
92 contact time of 180 min at room temperature.

93 2. 2. 3 Effect of particle size

94 In order to investigate the grain size effect of zeolite, the batch experiment was performed in
95 two sizes: < 0.5 mm (fraction I), 2.0 – < 6mm (fraction II). A 50 ml of a solution containing 2
96 mg/l of heavy metal ion concentrations at optimum pH and adsorbent dose, the contact time
97 of 180 min at room temperature.

98 2.2.4 Effect of initial heavy metal ions concentration

99 The kinetic study of metals was performed using different concentrations (1.0, 2.0, 5.0, 10.0,
100 20.0, 50.0, 100.0 mg /l) of each single metal ion. Optimum conditions such as pH and
101 adsorbent dose determined previously in the batch studies were applied.

102 2.2.5 Effect of contact time

103 The time-dependent behavior of Cd^{+2} , Fe^{+3} , and Ni^{+2} adsorption was studied by varying the
104 contact time between the adsorbate and the adsorbent at 5, 15, 30, 60, 90, 120, and 180
105 min at room temperature. The optimum conditions of the solution such as pH, adsorbent
106 dose, and metals concentrations determined previously in the batch studies were used to
107 determine the effect of contact time on the adsorption behavior of zeolite.

108 2.3 Equilibrium

109 The main objective of the equilibrium studies was to determine the maximum capacity of
110 natural zeolite towards Cd^{+2} , Fe^{+3} and Ni^{+2} removal under the studied conditions and
111 according to make a selectivity comparison for these. Experimental data were fitted to
112 conventional adsorption mathematical models. These were used to predict the adsorption
113 performance of natural zeolite. The adsorption capacity formula is given by the following
114 equation: $q_e \text{ (mg/g)} = \frac{x}{m} = \frac{(C_i - C_e) \times V}{M}$, where q_e is the quantity of dissolved
115 adsorbed material in mg per g of the adsorbent at equilibrium, C_i : initial concentration of
116 contaminant in solution at equilibrium (mg/l), V : volume of water phase (l), M : mass of solid
117 phase (g). Percentage of metal removal can also be displayed by the percentage of metal
118 removal as follows: Metal removal (%) = $100 (C_i - C_e) / C_i$.

119 2. 3. 1 Langmuir Adsorption Isotherms

120 The Langmuir model suggests that adsorption occurs on the monolayer of the surface which
121 contains an unlimited number of adsorption sites of equal energy. The linearity of Langmuir
122 equation is expressed as follows: $\frac{C_e}{q_e} = \frac{1}{q_0 b} + \frac{C_e}{q_0}$. Where q_0 is the maximal adsorption
123 capacity at the surface availability of binding sites (mg/g), b is Langmuir constant which is
124 related to the radical energy of adsorption, b and q_0 can be extrapolated from the slope of
125 the linear plot of $\frac{C_e}{q_e}$ with the index of C_e [22, 23, 24].

126 2. 3. 2 Freundlich Adsorption Isotherms

127 Freundlich model suggests energetically heterogeneous surface of porous matter so that
128 adsorption capacity is due to adsorption temperature. Linear equation of Freundlich model is
129 as follows: $\ln q_e = \ln K + \frac{1}{n} \ln C_e$, where K is Freundlich constant related to maximal
130 adsorption capacity, n is a constant related to adsorption density or binding strength $1/n$ is
131 heterogeneity coefficient i.e. values of $1/n < 1$ refer to heterogeneous adsorbents while those
132 values close or equal to 1 refer to relatively homogenous adsorbents at binding sites [23,
133 25], $\ln K$, $1/n$ can be extrapolated from the slope of linear plot $\ln q_e$ with index of $\ln C_e$.

134 2. 4 Application test on industrial wastewater:

135 Wastewater samples were preconcentrated with APDC-MIBK extraction procedure
136 according to the standard methods [26]. Total metal concentrations were measured by flame
137 atomic absorption spectrophotometer (FAAS; Perkin Elmer A Analyst 100). Concentrations
138 of heavy metals are expressed as (mgL⁻¹). Zeolite (chloropitolite), were applied to the same
139 samples at the optimum conditions ($C_i = 2$ ppm; pH = 6; $m = 0.5$ g; $V = 50$ ml; $t = 180$ min; particle
140 size < 0.5 mm), then simulated the removal rate of metals in wastewater. The same
141 extracted method was applied to the wastewater after adsorption with zeolite.

142 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

143 3. 1 Properties of studied zeolites

144 Zeolite sample is a non-dusting, hard and resistance to attrition, non-clouding in liquids (due
145 to absence of clays), good permeability, and relatively high density and high water retention.
146 The qualitative composition of natural (clinoptilolite) zeolites, has obtained by X-ray
147 spectroscopy analysis, where the physical properties and chemical compositions present in
148 the peaks of compounds as shown in Figure 1, and presented in Tables 1& 2.

149 3.1.1 Mineral component, %

150 The natural (clinoptilolite) zeolites were composed mainly of SiO₂ and Al₂O₃ components
151 whose Si/Al ratio becomes 1.88 that means there are two Si atoms for each single Al atom.
152 While the MnO and K₂O contents were very low. The zeolite contained large amounts of
153 CaO as compared with the other component, thus appears that a high CaO content might
154 enable the formation of hydroxy Sodalite. It has higher contents of calcium compound so it's
155 called calcium zeolite.

156 The XRD patterns of zeolite and iron dust are shown in Figure1. The XRD pattern of zeolite
157 proved that Clinoptilolite is the major part of it [13]. The zeolite structure causes zeolite to
158 have negatively charged surface which can be used for adsorption of alkali earth metals.
159 The oxygen atom in the tetrahedral structure of zeolite (Si-O-Al) leads to a negative charge

on the adsorbent surfaces that are available sites for metal adsorption. Therefore, Si/Al ratio decline and the presence of oxygen atoms in zeolite structure are considered as two potential factors in the metals adsorption process [27].




Figure 1. The XRD pattern of the sample confirming its Clinoptilolite mineralogy.

Table 1: Physical properties of natural (clinoptilolite) zeolites:

Physical properties	Values
Bulk (particle) Density, gmcm ⁻³	1.83
Overall Surface area, m ² gm ⁻¹	89.82
Porosity, %	27.80
Total pore area, m ² gm ⁻¹	35.836
Average pore diameter, μm	0.0181
Solubility, %	7.38
Swelling Index	2.52
pH	6.8
Apparent (Skeletal) Density, gmcm ⁻³	2.377
Appearance (Color)	Greyish-white
Humidity, %	6.75
Loss on ignition %	13.6
Hardness, Moh' no.	4
Grain Size	< 6 mm

Also, zeolite has cages and tunnels that can loosely hold water molecules in contrast to other tecto-silicates such as feldspar and quartz, These channels and cages are adequate for adsorbing molecules smaller than these channels and/or tunnels, so in this regard, zeolites are known as “molecular sieves” [28].

3.1.2 Chemical composition

Table 2: Chemical composition of natural (clinoptilolite) zeolites:

Cations, %		Major elements (oxides), %		Other elements, (trace)	
K ₂ O, %	3.266	SiO ₂	62.22	Cl	0.025
CaO, %	3.583	Al ₂ O ₃	11.096	BaO	0.085
Na ₂ O, %	0.780	Na ₂ O	0.78	P ₂ O ₅	0.033
		MgO	0.599	ZnO	0.025
		CaO	3.583	SrO	0.047
		Fe ₂ O ₃	4.033	PbO	0.002
		K ₂ O	3.266	MnO	0.120
		TiO ₂	0.339	SO ₃	0.035
		ZrO ₂	0.112		

The replacement of tetravalent silicon by trivalent **aluminum** in the mineral's structure creates a net negative charge that is counterbalanced by the presence of cations (usually Ca²⁺, Na⁺ and K⁺) which are situated in cavities [29, 30]. The removal of the heavy metal ions with zeolite is a result of ion exchange and/or adsorption property of zeolite. Both processes are parallel, but one of them is prevailing depending on the solid to the liquid ratio, [31]. Ion exchange properties of zeolites are due to the weakly bonded extra-framework cations which are mobile and easily exchanged with solution cations [32]. As it has been stated, removal of heavy metals from aqueous solution by means of zeolite is mainly based on adsorption and ion exchange process. However, other mechanisms such as physicosorption and chemisorption are employed by zeolites for the sorption [33].

3. 2. Batch Adsorption Studies

3. 2. 1. Effect of adsorbent particle size

It's noticed from **Figure 2** that increasing the external surface area by reducing the adsorbent particle size, results in an increase in the number of available sites for metal uptake [34, 23]. The smaller particle sizes result in the shortening of the diffusion distance that heavy metals have to travel in order to get to an adsorption site, hence a faster rate of reaction [34]. It's also noticed that Cd²⁺ and Fe³⁺ revealed the greatest affinity 80.9 and 79%, while Ni²⁺ revealed the lowest affinity (65.2 %). And the more metals were sorbed, however, by fine-grained zeolite than by coarse-grained zeolite [35].




Figure 2. Adsorbent particle size affecting on the removal of Cd²⁺, Fe³⁺ and Ni²⁺, from the solution (C_i = 2 ppm; pH = 6; m=0.5g; V=50ml; t=180 min)

3. 2. 2. Effect of pH on adsorption

The solution pH is one of the crucial parameters in the sorption of heavy metals by zeolites, because of its effect on the charge state of metals and also adsorbent surface [36]. The effect of pH on studied metals uptake by zeolite was evaluated and shown in Figure 3.




Figure 3. pH affecting on the removal of Cd^{+2} , Fe^{+3} and Ni^{+2} from the solution ($C_i = 2$ ppm; size < 0.5 mm; $m = 0.5\text{g}$; $V = 50\text{ml}$; $t = 180$ min)

As is known, the cationic metals' adsorption efficiency is lower at acidic pH. It was observed that Cd^{+2} , Fe^{+3} , and Ni^{+2} uptakes increased with the increase of pH up to 6 and then it decreased with further increase in pH. Also, at pH below 6, hydrogen ions compete with positive charge ions for adsorption sites. Therefore, this condition limits the access of metal ions to the adsorption sites and decreases metal removal [37]. Furthermore, at alkaline pH values, the adsorption of metals decrease because soluble hydroxylated complexes of the metal ions are formed and compete with metal for adsorption sites. Apart from affecting the characteristics of heavy metal ions and their sorption, pH can also affect the structure of zeolites. Aluminosilicate structure is vulnerable to strong basic and acidic environments. Strong bases can lead to desilication, whereas strong acids can break Al atoms. Zeolite structure can be destroyed at extreme pH values, which in turn can reduce sorption [38, 33].

3. 2. 3. Effect of the initial heavy metal ion concentration

In the adsorption process, the initial concentration of ions in solution has a key role as a driving force to overcome the mass transfer resistance between the liquid phase and solid phase. Thus, it is expected that with an increase in metal ions the metal uptake will increase. [33]. The adsorption of Cd, Fe, and Ni as a function of their concentrations was studied at room temperature by varying the heavy metal ion concentration from 1 to 100 mg/L. The results are shown in Figure 4.





Figure 4. Initial heavy metal ion concentration (mg/l) affecting on the removal of Cd^{+2} , Fe^{+3} and Ni^{+2} from the solution (size < 0.5 mm; $m = 0.5\text{g}$; $V = 50\text{ml}$ pH = 6; $t = 180$ min)

The maximum amount of Fe^{+3} and Ni^{+2} removal percentage of natural (clinoptilolite) zeolites at concentrations of 20 and 10 mg/l were obtained 68.7 and 74.1 %, respectively. Instead, the removal percentage decreased from 80 to 42% for Cd^{+2} , for concentration 2 to 100 mg/l. The results also, showed that Fe^{+3} and Ni^{+2} uptake rate was relatively high at the beginning of the process due to abundance available adsorption sites. However, the number of active sites for the adsorption gradually decreases with an increase in processing time and the adsorption rate slightly decreased, leading to the formation of the adsorption equilibrium. At low metal concentrations, adsorption sites are available and could easily be occupied since the ratio between the number of metal moles in solution and the available surface area is low. Therefore, adsorption is independent of the initial concentration. Nevertheless, at high concentrations of metal, the removal efficiency is dependent on the initial concentration because the number of available sites is less than the number of metal moles. [39], stated when the initial concentration increases, the removal rate decreases. At higher concentration, the number of ions competing for the available binding sites on the biomass surface increases and thus reduces the number of binding sites. Meanwhile, at higher concentration, the average distance between the adsorbed species will be reduced, which affects the distribution of surface charge. Thus, the ability of adsorbate to migrate to the adsorbent surface changes and, as a result, the fixation reduces [40].

3. 2. 4. Effect of adsorbent dose

The adsorbent dose is one of the important parameters in adsorption processes because it determines the capacity of an adsorbent for a given initial concentration of the adsorbate under a given set of operating conditions [41]. To achieve this aim, a series of batch experiments were conducted with the adsorbent dose of 0.25, 0.5, 0.75, 1.0, 1.5, 2 and 4 g per 50 ml of test solution.



252

253 **Figure 5. Adsorbent dose affecting on the removal of Cd^{+2} , Fe^{+3} and Ni^{+2} , from the**
 254 **solution (C_i = 2 ppm; size < 0.5 mm; pH= 6; V=50ml; t=180 min)**

Figure 5 shows the effect of adsorbent dose on the adsorption of Cd^{+2} , Fe^{+3} , and Ni^{+2} ions. When the addition of the adsorbent dose increased, the percentage removal of metal ions also increased. A maximum removal of 80.1, 84.5 and 77% of Cd^{+2} , Fe^{+3} , and Ni^{+2} ions, respectively was obtained at 1.0 g of the adsorbent. It can be seen from Figure 3 that an adsorbent dose of 1.0 g is sufficient for optimal removal of metal ions in aqueous solutions. A further increase in the quantity of adsorbent dose will not have any significant effect on the removal of metal ions from the solution. The initial increment in adsorption capacity with the increase in adsorbent dose was expected because as the adsorbent dose increases the number of adsorbent particles increases, thus more surface areas were available for metal attachment [42].

265

3. 2. 5. Effect of contact time

The effect of contact time on adsorption efficiency is depicted in Figure 6. It is clear from the Figure, that the contact time needed to reach the maximum removal of metal by zeolite was dependent on the type of heavy metal [43].




Figure 6. Contact time affecting on the removal of Cd^{+2} , Fe^{+3} and Ni^{+2} , from the solution ($C_i = 2 \text{ ppm}$; size $< 0.5 \text{ mm}$; pH= 6; $V=50\text{ml}$; $m=0.5\text{g}$)

The result of the effect of contact time on removal efficiency for Cd, Fe, and Ni, using 0.5 g zeolite at room temperature ($25 \pm 0.1^\circ\text{C}$) is represented in Figure 6. The adsorption rate was observed as rapid in the first 30 min, followed by a gradual increase in time until equilibrium adsorption was noticed at 90 min. The fast adsorption at the initial stage was probably due to the initial concentration gradient between the adsorbate in solution and the number of vacant sites available on the adsorbent surface. The attainment of equilibrium adsorption might have been due to the reduction in the available active adsorption sites on the adsorbent with time [41].

3.3 Adsorption isotherms

Adsorption isotherms are an important factor in system design and describe the interaction between the adsorbent and adsorbate. Freundlich and Langmuir isotherm curves for the adsorption of Cd^{+2} , Fe^{+3} and Ni^{+2} ions from solution as shown in Table 3 and Figure 7, reveal the reliability of experimental findings where the values of correlation coefficient R^2 range from 0.994 - 0.999, the maximal capacities of the given heavy metals adsorption q_0 according to Langmuir model are 10.08, 9.971, and 9.901 mg/g for Cd^{+2} , Fe^{+3} , and Ni^{+2} respectively.


Table 3. Calculated equilibrium adsorption isotherm constants for the uptake of Cd^{+2} , Fe^{+3} and Ni^{+2} from solution by natural zeolite.

Heavy metals ions	Langmuir			Freundlich		
	$q_0 \text{ (mg/g)}$	b	R^2	k	$1/n$	R^2
Cd	10.08	1.607	0.994	1.454	0.39	0.688
Fe	9.971	3.105	0.999	6.622	0.87	0.990
Ni	9.901	2.387	0.998	9.365	0.99	0.998


The maximal value is for Cd indicating to the higher selectivity of zeolite to Cd in comparison with other metals. Heterogeneity coefficient $1/n$ from Freundlich isotherm curve is less than 1

and thus the evaluations are 0.39, 0.87, and 0.99 for Cd, Fe, and Ni respectively. The values indicate a material with relatively homogenous binding sites of natural porous Zeolite. It's been noticed from Figure 7, the higher concentration of heavy metal ions the higher content of adsorbed metal per gram of natural Zeolite q_e this is attributed to the fact that at high concentration of heavy metal there is a higher solute concentration gradient; and this provides the necessary driving force for metal ions to displace exchangeable cations on the surface and from the internal micro-pores of natural zeolite [43, 44]. The increase continues until the saturation point is achieved.


303



304



305



306

(a) Langmuir isotherms

(b) Freundlich isotherms

307 **Figure 7. Adsorption isotherms of Cd⁺², Fe⁺³, and Ni⁺² based on the Langmuir and**
308 **Freundlich models**

309 The removal efficiency (R%) of the metal ions on this adsorbent shows good affinity of
310 zeolite for a number of ions such as Cd⁺², Fe⁺³ and Ni⁺² ions in water. The Langmuir and
311 Freundlich models were investigated for adsorption isotherms that the Langmuir isotherm
312 fitted with equilibrium data better than the Freundlich isotherm.

Application test on industrial wastewater:

The results presented in table 4, indicated relatively high concentrations of measured heavy metals from both inlet and outlet of wastewater treatment plant since this sample is taken from mixed industrial wastewater and is characterized by high concentrations of these elements [48].

Table 4. The concentration of different heavy metals at industrial wastewater before and after application of zeolite.

Heavy metals mgL ⁻¹	Inlet to wastewater treatment plant			An outlet from wastewater treatment plant			Standard Limit Egypt
	Before	After	% removal	Before	After	% removal	
Cd	0.588	0.189	68.00	0.462	0.111	76.00	0.05
Fe	91.32	17.35	81.01	83.88	14.25	83.00	1.50
Mn	3.102	0.713	77.00	1.890	0.378	80.00	1.00
Ni	3.641	1.456	60.01	1.860	0.651	65.00	0.10
Pb	3.840	1.512	60.60	2.286	0.873	61.77	0.50
Zn	19.08	8.853	53.60	12.52	5.245	58.10	5.00

Industrial wastewater contains various metal ions with influence on the potentiality of adsorbent to treat wastewater in competition for exchange sites and within adsorbent [23].




Figure 8. Removal % of different heavy metals in both inlet and outlet samples from industrial wastewater after applying of zeolite.

From table 4, it was observed that the metals in industrial wastewater follow the order: Fe > Zn > Pb > Ni > Mn > Cd, without application of zeolite. These variations depend on the amount and the type of the effluent drained in the study area [46, 47]. These concentration values for metals in both inlet and outlet samples exceed the maximum levels of the standard limitations for the discharge of industrial effluents in Egypt (Law 4/1994 for the disposal of the marine environment in Egypt). By applying zeolite the order became Fe > Mn > Cd > Ni > Pb > Zn. Each metal has a unique electronegativities, ionic size and bond strength which influence the adsorption capacity of the zeolite [48]. During treatment with zeolite, the removal of heavy metals from industrial wastewater sample is high whereby the percentage of removal is more than 50 percent of the initial concentration. The removal of heavy metals from industrial wastewater in an individual industry is effective using zeolite, but when using with mixed industrial wastewater, it can diminish the pollution problem to a certain and a considerable extent.

4. CONCLUSION

The removal of heavy metals from aqueous solution was carried out in batch adsorption mode using natural (clinoptilolite) zeolites adsorbent. The natural zeolites exhibited effectiveness in the removal of Cd (II), Fe (III) and Ni (II) ions from aqueous solutions. There are many factors affected the general reaction rate of adsorption process using natural zeolite from which contact time, zeolite dose, particle size, pH, and initial concentration of metal ions. It was found that the adsorption process is pH-dependent for studying metals, and the maximum uptake was obtained at the pH of 6. The optimal removal rate occurs after 2 hours of contact time when using adsorbent particles of small size. The best isotherm fit for Cd, Fe, and Ni was obtained with Langmuir model. By applying to industrial wastewater, the result of the experiments proved that the natural (clinoptilolite) zeolites could be used as an effective and low-cost method in removing metals from industrial wastewater. It is recommended to conduct more researches on the other toxic pollutant.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors gratefully acknowledge Mrs. Safaa youssif Abo Elwafa for her kind cooperation in bring (clinoptilolite) zeolites and its characterization.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Maleki A, Mahvi AH, Zazouli MA, Izanloo H, Barati AH. Aqueous Cadmium Removal by Adsorption on Barley Hull and Barley Hull Ash. *Asian J Chem*; 2011; 23(3): 1373-6.
2. Ibrahim WM, Mutawie HH. Bioremoval of heavy metals from industrial effluent by fixed-bed column of red macroalgae. *Toxicol Ind Health*; 2013; 29(1):38–42.
3. Hamedreza J, Ghorbani F, Tayebi H, Asl S. Study of the adsorption of Cd (II) from aqueous solution using zeolite-based geopolymer, synthesized from coal fly ash; kinetic, isotherm and thermodynamic studies. *Arabian Journal of Chemistry*, 2015; 8: 837–849. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.arabjc.2013.02.018>
4. Mohammadi T, Moheb A, Sadrzadeh M, Razmi A. Modeling of metal ion removal from wastewater by electrodialysis. *Separation and Purification Technology*, 2005; 41 (1): 73-82.
5. Matlock M, Howerton S B, Atwood A D. Chemical Precipitation of Heavy Metals from Acid Mine Drainage. *Water Research*, 2002; 36(19):4757-64. DOI: 10.1016/S0043-1354(02)00149-5.
6. Bakalár T, Milan B, Gajdošová L. Heavy Metal Removal Using Reverse Osmosis. *Acta Montanistica Slovaca. Ročník* , 2009; 14, číslo (3): 250-253.
7. Benítez P, Castro R, Barroso CG. Removal of iron, copper and manganese from white wines through ion exchange techniques: effects on their organoleptic characteristics and susceptibility to browning. *Analytica Chimica Acta*. 2002; 458: 197–202.

- 390 8. Ennigrou D J, Ali M. B, Dhahbi M, Ferid M. Removal of heavy metals from aqueous
391 solution by polyacrylic acid enhanced ultrafiltration. *Desalination and Water Treatment*,
392 2015; 56 (10): 2682-2688. <https://doi.org/10.1080/19443994.2014.982958>
- 393 9. Mohan D, Pittman, CU Jr. Activated carbons and low cost adsorbents for remediation of
394 tri- and hexavalent chromium from water. *J Hazard Mater*, 2006; 137(2):762-811. DOI:
395 10.1016/j.jhazmat.2006.06.060
- 396 10. Mousavi, H Z, Seyedi S R. Nettle ash as a low cost adsorbent for the removal of nickel
397 and cadmium from wastewater. *Int J Environ Sci Technol*; 2011; 8 (1):195–202.
- 398 11. Khachatryan Sh V. Heavy Metal Adsorption by Armenian Natural Zeolite from natural
399 aqueous solutions. *Proc. of the Yerevan State Univ. Chemistry and Biology*, 2014; (2): 31–
400 35.
- 401 12. Ahali Abadeh, Z, and Irannajad, M. Removal of Ni and Cd Ions from Aqueous Solution
402 Using Iron Dust-zeolite Composite: Analysis by thermodynamic, Kinetic and Isotherm
403 Studies *Chem. Res. Chin. Univ.*, 2017; 33(2), 318—326. doi: 10.1007/s40242-017-6150-x
- 404 13. Ibrahim M M, Sayyadi A S. Application of natural and modified Zeolites in removing
405 heavy metal Cations from aqueous media: an overview of including parameters affecting the
406 process. *International Journal of Geology, Agriculture and Environmental Sciences*, 2015;
407 3 (1-7).
- 408 14. Inglezakis V J, Loizidou, M M, Grigoropoulou HP. Ion exchange studies on natural and
409 modified zeolites and the concept of exchange site accessibility. *Journal of Colloid and*
410 *Interface Science*, 2004; 275(2):570-576. DOI: 10.1016/j.jcis.2004.02.070
- 411 15. Trgo M, Perić J. Interaction of the zeolitic tuff with Zn-containing simulated pollutant
412 solutions. *Journal of Colloid and Interface Science*. 2003; 260 (1): 166-175
- 413 16. Perić J, Trgo M.N, Medvidović V. Removal of zinc, copper and lead by natural zeolite -a
414 comparison of adsorption isotherms. *Water Research*, 2004; 38: 1893–1899.
- 415 17. Salunkhe B, Raut S J. Removal of Heavy Metal Ni (II) and Cr (VI) from aqueous solution
416 by scolecite natural zeolite. *Int. J. Chem. Sci.* 2012; 10 (2): 1133-1148.
- 417 18. Shaheen S M, Derbalah A S, Moghanm F S. Removal of Heavy Metals from Aqueous
418 Solution by Zeolite in Competitive Sorption System. *International Journal of Environmental*
419 *Science and Development*, 2012; 3 (4): 362- 367.
- 420 19. Visa M, Popa N. Adsorption of Heavy Metals Cations onto Zeolite Material from Aqueous
421 Solution. *J. Membra Sci Technol*, 2015; 5: 133. doi:10.4172/2155-9589.1000133
- 422 20. Hesnawi R, fateas J, Eswayah A, Maga R, Elshibany Y. Heavy Metal Removal from
423 Aqueous Solution Using Natural Libyan Zeolite and Activated Carbon. *MAYFEB Journal of*
424 *Environmental Science*, 2017; 1: 34-45.
- 425 21. Kremling K. Trace metals fronts in European shelf waters. *Nature*, 1983; 303: 225 - 227.

- 426 22. Gunay A, Arslankaya E, and Tosun I. Lead removal from aqueous solution by natural
427 and pretreated clinoptilolite: Adsorption equilibrium and kinetics. *Journal of Hazardous*
428 *Materials*. 2007; 146:362-371.
- 429 23. Salman H, Shaheen H, Abbas Gh, Khalouf N. Use of Syrian natural zeolite for heavy
430 metals removal from industrial **wastewater**: Factors and mechanism. *Journal of Entomology*
431 *and Zoology Studies* 2017; 5(4): 452-461.
- 432 24. Langmuir, I. (1916). The constitution and fundamental properties of solids and liquids.
433 *Journal of the American Chemical Society*. 38, 2221-2295
- 434 25. Freundlich, H. M. F. (1906). Over the adsorption in solution. *Journal of Physical*
435 *Chemistry*. 57, 385-471
- 436 26. APHA (American Public Health Association). Celesceri L-S, Greenberg A-E and
437 Rhodes, R, Trussell R-R (ed). B. Standard methods for the examination of water and
438 **wastewater**. Washington, D.C., 17th edition, part 3, determination of metals, 1989: p 164.
- 439 27. Khashij M, Mousavi S A, Mehralian M, Massoudinejad M R. Removal of Fe²⁺ from
440 Aqueous Solution Using Manganese Oxide Coated Zeolite and Iron Oxide Coated Zeolite,
441 *International Journal of Engineering (IJE), TRANSACTIONS B: Applications*, 2016; 29 (11):
442 1587-1594. doi: 10.5829/idosi.ije.2016.29.11b.13
- 443 28. Mier M V, Callejas R L, Gehr R, Cisneros B E J, Alvarez P J J. Heavy metal removal
444 with Mexican clinoptilolite: multi-component ionic exchange. *Water Research*, 2001; 35:
445 373–378.
- 446 29. Dimirkou A, Doula M K. "Use of clinoptilolite and an fe-overexchanged clinoptilolite in
447 Zn²⁺ and Mn²⁺ removal from drinking water", *Desalination*, 2008; 224, (1–3): 280-292.
- 448 30. Motsi T, Rowson NA, Simmons M J H. "Adsorption of heavy metals from acid mine
449 drainage by natural zeolite", *Mineral Processing*, 2009; 92 (2): 42-48.
- 450 31. Cabrera C, Gabaldón C, Marzal P. Sorption characteristics of heavy metal ions by a
451 natural zeolite, *J. Chem. Technol. Biotechnol.*, 2005; 80: 477–481.
- 452 32. Barkat M, Nibou D, Amokrane S, Chegrouche S, Mellah A. "Uranium (VI) adsorption on
453 synthesized 4A and P1 zeolites: Equilibrium, kinetic, and thermodynamic studies", *Comptes*
454 *Rendus Chimie*, 2015; 18 (3): 261-269.
- 455 33. Ziyath A M, Mahbub P, Goonetilleke A, Adebajo M O, Kokot S, Oloyede A. Influence of
456 Physical and Chemical Parameters on the Treatment of Heavy Metals in Polluted
457 Stormwater Using Zeolite—A Review. *Journal of Water Resource and Protection*, 2011; 3:
458 758-767 doi:10.4236/jwarp.2011.310086

- 459 34. Zorpas A A, Vassilis I, Loizidou M, Grigoropoulou H. Particle size effects on uptake of
460 heavy metals from sewage sludge compost using natural zeolite clinoptilolite. J. Colloid
461 Interface Sci., 2002; 1(250): 1- 4.
- 462 35. Wingenfelder U, Hansen C, Furrer G, Schulin R. Removal of Heavy Metals from Mine
463 Waters by Natural Zeolites Environ. Sci. Technol., 2005; 39: 4606-4613.
- 464 36. Ebrahimi R, Zandi Sh, and Gharibi F. Removal of nickel and total chromium using
465 *Escherichia coli* biofilm supported on clinoptilolite. J Adv Environ Health Res; 2014; 2(2):
466 126-33.
- 467 37. Lameiras S, Quintelas C, Tavares T. Biosorption of Cr (VI) using a bacterial biofilm
468 supported on granular activated carbon and on zeolite. Bioresour Technol, 2008; 99(4): 801-
469 6.
- 470 38. Mishra T, Tiwari S K. "Studies on Sorption Proper-ties of Zeolite Derived from Indian Fly
471 Ash," Journal of Hazardous Materials, 2006; 137 (1): 299-303.
472 doi:10.1016/j.jhazmat.2006.02.004
- 473 39. Horsfall M, Ogban F, Akporhonor EE. Sorption of chromium (VI) from aqueous solution
474 by cassava (*Manihot sculenta* Cranz.) waste biomass. Chem Biodivers, 2006; 3(2): 161-74.
- 475 40. Quintelas C, Fonseca B, Silva B, Figueiredo H, Tavares T. Treatment of chromium(VI)
476 solutions in a pilot-scale bioreactor through a biofilm of *Arthrobacter viscosus* supported on
477 GAC. Bioresour Technol; 2009; 100(1): 220-6.
- 478 41. Mehdizadeh S, Sadjadi S, Ahmadi S J, Outokesh M. Removal of heavy metals from
479 aqueous solution using platinum nanoparticles/Zeolite-4A. Journal of environmental Health
480 Science & Engineering 2014; 12:7.
- 481 42. Acharya J, Sahu, J N, Mohanty, C R, Meikap B C. Removal of lead (II) from wastewater
482 by activated carbon developed from Tamarind wood by zinc chloride activation. J Chem Eng
483 2009; (149):249–262.
- 484 43. Taamneh Y, Sharadqah S. The removal of heavy metals from aqueous solution using
485 natural Jordanian zeolite. Appl Water Sci , 2017; 7:2021–2028. DOI 10.1007/s13201-016-
486 0382-7
- 487 44. Abadzic S D, Ryan, J N. Particle release and permeability reduction in a natural zeolite
488 (clinoptilolite) and sand porous medium. Environmental Science and Technology, 2001;
489 (35):4501-4508.
- 490 45. Food and Agriculture Organization, FAO, (1992): Committee for inland fisheries of Africa.
491 Report of the third session of the working party on pollution and fisheries. FAO Fisheries
492 report. No. 471.
- 493 46. Abd El-Azim, H. Heavy metals in Suez Canal relevant to land based sources. Ph. D.
494 Thesis, 2002; Fac. Sci., Mansoura Univ., Egypt.

- 495 47. Halimoon N, Yin R G. Removal of Heavy Metals from Textile Wastewater using Zeolite.
496 EnvironmentAsia, 2010; 3(special issue): 124-130.
- 497 48. Gomes P C, Fontes M P F, da Silva A G, de S, Mendonça E, Netto A R. Selectivity
498 sequence and competitive adsorption of heavy metals by Brazilian soils. Soil Sci. Soc. Am.
499 J., 200; 65:1115–1121.
- 500