



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Environment & Ecology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEE_43264
Title of the Manuscript:	Floristic Profile of Selected Ponds in Sub-Urban District of Akwa Ibom State, Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	About density, some of the values that you have found might be too high, please make sure it is correct. If the math is ok, would be better to use the number of individuals instead of the density.	
Minor REVISION comments	1.In Material and Methods: Please, give more information, like: - What is the size of the study area? - How many plots (5 x 5 m) were used? - What is the species naming system used? APG IV? - How the density was calculated? - How the basal area was calculated? - What method were used to calculate crown cover? - How many samples of soil did you collected? They were simple or composite? - What methods were used in the soil analysis? 2.In Results, Table 1: Please, review the name of the first and sixth species. About density, I think you meant to use individuals/ha (ind./ha) instead of st/ha, was it?	
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Diogo José Oliveira Pimentel
Department, University & Country	Rural Federal University of Pernambuco (UFRPE), Brazil