



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Environment & Ecology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEE_42881
Title of the Manuscript:	Geospatial Variability and Ecological Amplitudes of Plants along Nutrients Gradient in Imo River Wetland
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	I have four comment/suggestion to the authors: 1. It must always be a space between the numerical value and unit symbol except the plane angle and percent. There is space between the numerical value and the percentage symbol % - in Abstract three times space. 2. Value and error must be given with the same accuracy - in 3.2 "22.820±0.60; 8.820±0.60; 11.820±1.32"; Table 2 – "50±10.00"; Table 3 – "0.33±0.001; 11.00±2.0; 17.99±3.6; 82.73±10.4; 56.20±2.3; 2.90±0.0; 19.13±3.4; 84.49±5.1; 58.20±2.1"; - This type of writing is not correct. 3. There is no point at the end of the sentence 2.2.1.1 "Density of plant ..." 4. Chapter and section numbering should be harmonized – (used "1." and "5" too; "2.3." and "3.1" too) - use of the same style in the article is correct.	
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Agu Eensaar
Department, University & Country	Centre for Sciences, Tallinn University of Applied Sciences, Estonia