



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Environment & Ecology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEE_39754
Title of the Manuscript:	The Vertical investigation of carbon storage and sequestration potentiality of a tropical coral island into the Bay of Bengal.
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	This Manuscript contributes to addresses the Vertical investigation of carbon storage and sequestration potentiality of a tropical coral island. This paper presents an overview of important concepts related to carbon storage. The writing is generally clear. You find below my comments on your Manuscript: Abstract: - Obstacles in abstract section must be moved to discussion section - Please add the meaning of the used abbreviations - The MAT and MAP framework?? - Objectives, a synthesis of the main results, and the implications are missing Introduction - The English quality: Very long sentences; punctuation and spelling must be checked - Several statements without references - SOCD, MAP, MAT, SOC, please add the meaning - The objectives and a little insight on the methodology are needed Methods - Clearly develop and discuss the experimental objectives and justify why you chose the selected method to achieve your objective; - The methodology is not original Results - Titles of figures need more description into the used points and percentages Discussion - A discussion is missing, without a scientific discussion, the manuscript becomes a technical report. Conclusion - The conclusions must adequately tie together the other elements of the paper such as objectives, methods, theory, and data. - Ad references to discuss and compare with international works References - References have been prepared in a manner not consistent with the instructions for authors For these reasons and in this state, I invite you to revise your manuscript (MAJOR REVISION IS NEEDED).	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ahmed Karmaoui
Department, University & Country	Morocco