



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Environment & Ecology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEE_43423
Title of the Manuscript:	A Study of Potential Corrosivity of Borehole Waters in Eket, Nigeria by Saturation Index Measurement
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	- Figure 2 (DO values are invisible in the graph due to using high weightage line) - Provide some pictures of sampling or the source or a map from where the samples were collected. - Figure 3 shows the exact same values which are presented in Table 1. Please do not repeat things (Use either table or graph)	- Figure 2 has been corrected - Map of the study area inserted - Figure 3 is necessary for better appreciation of the results
Minor REVISION comments	- Put no space between p and H (pH) - Alkaline water's pH is greater than 7 (Line No. 56-57) - Indicate more about pfm (Line No. 223) - Figure 4 does not indicate the relationship rather it illustrates the values (Line No. 265) - It is better to show the Pearson Correlation Coefficient (R) in a table, not in a text. If you state in the text please provide a table with values. (Line 285)	- pH has been corrected in the text. - Line 56- 57 has been corrected. To read alkaline waters have pH greater than 7 - p fm indicate the activity coefficient of monovalent ionic species. - Figure 4 has been eliminated - R has been eliminated
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	