



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Environment & Ecology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEE_41704
Title of the Manuscript:	APPROACHES AND CONSTRAINTS FOR COMMUNITY PARTICIPATION IN WILDLIFE CONSERVATION: A CASE OF RUNGWA GAME RESERVE IN MANYONI DISTRICT.
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The introduction is excellent with straightforwardness in the orderly presentation. However, the researchers should conclude it with the exact research questions that pivoted the study. Please, kindly give scholarly reasons why there was the need to purposively select these sampled population: management of the Rungwa game reserves responsible for anti-poaching, hunting, tourism Community Based Conservation (CBC) activities, companies dealing with hunting and tourism Ward Executive Officers (WEOs) Village Executive Officers (VEOs) Change plates to figures and indicate their sources Abridge the length of the paper by summarizing the methodology section, especially the sampling frame, sampling unit, sampling size, data collection procedures as well as the introduction of respondents on the demographic characteristics of the informants engaged in the study.	Conquer and the conclusion corrected I purposely selected these sampled population because these were people with long term experience on the area as well as expert knowledge helped in counterchecking the credibility of data from other sources. All plates have been changed to figures and their sources have indicated The length of the paper has corrected as the methodology section has been summarized, especially the sampling frame, sampling unit, sampling size, data collection procedures as well as the introduction of respondents on the demographic characteristics of the informants engaged
Minor REVISION comments	Indicate the appropriateness of the research design adopted for the study by correlating the strengths with the nature of your study. Kindly delete the appendixes	Research design appropriateness has been corrected for the study by correlating the strengths with the nature of the study.
Optional/General comments	The authors offered a very scholarly methodological approach to the study. It is easy for replication. The discussions are comprehensive, orderly, academic and interesting with novelty recommendations. It is worthy of publication after the corrections have been made. The study broadens the frontiers of knowledge in the field of wildlife conservation and local community participation in PA systems.	