



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Environment & Ecology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEE_41602
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of Sanitation and Water Supply Coverage in a Rural Community of Kogi State, Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	No.1. Abstract should not exceed 10-12 lines (Rewrite the abstract)	The information in the guideline limits abstract by WORD COUNT and NOT by LINE COUNT. A maximum of 300 words is specified and here we have 245 words which still satisfies the specification
	No.2. Rewrite the sentence (line no. 159-161)	Rewritten
	No.3. Rewrite the sentence (line no. 196-197)	Rewritten
	No.4. Line no. 187 (The reported that...)	Corrected
	No.4. Table 4: Closest Source to Households (total is 325 not 169)	Corrected
	No.5. Lines 169-170 (The explanation for this can be seen in the results of the student's t-test presented in Table 5.). But in Table 5 no t-value is mentioned.	Corrected appropriately
	No.6. Mention the calculated t-value in Table 5 and Explain a bit on students t-test in methodology	
	No.7. How many samples you have taken/considered to conduct the t-test.	325 samples...This is clearly described in the manuscript
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		